

On isomorphic representations of commutative automata with respect to α_i -products

By B. IMREH

The purpose of this paper is to study the α_i -products (see [1]) from the point of view of isomorphic completeness for the class of all commutative automata. Namely, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a system of automata to be isomorphically complete for the class of all commutative automata with respect to the α_i -products. It will turn out that if $i \geq 1$ then such isomorphically complete systems coincide with each other with respect to different α_i -products. Furthermore they coincide with isomorphically complete systems of automata.

By an *automaton* we mean a finite automaton $A=(X, A, \delta)$ without output. Moreover *isomorphism* and *subautomaton* will mean A -isomorphism and A -subautomaton.

Take an automaton $A=(X, A, \delta)$ and let us denote by X^* the free monoid generated by X . The elements $p \in X^*$ are called *input words* of A . The transition function δ can be extended to $A \times X^* \rightarrow A$ in a natural way: for any $p=p'x$ ($p' \in X^*$, $x \in X$) and $a \in A$ $\delta(a, p) = \delta(\delta(a, p'), x)$. Further on we shall use the more convenient notation ap_A for $\delta(a, p)$ and $A'p_A$ for the set $\{ap_A: a \in A'\}$ where $A' \subset A$ and $p \in X^*$. If there is no danger of confusion, then we omit the index A in ap_A and $A'p_A$. Define a binary relation σ on X^* in the following manner: for two input words $p, q \in X^*$, $p \equiv q$ (σ) if and only if $ap = aq$ for all $a \in A$. The quotient semigroup X^*/σ is called the *characteristic semigroup* of A , and it will be denoted by $S(A)$. We use the notation $[p]$ for the element of $S(A)$ containing $p \in X^*$.

An automaton $A=(X, A, \delta)$ is *commutative* if $ax_1x_2 = ax_2x_1$ for any $a \in A$ and $x_1, x_2 \in X$. Denote by \mathfrak{A} the class of all commutative automata.

Take an automaton $A=(X, A, \delta)$ and let ω be an equivalence relation of the set A . It is said that ω is a congruence relation of A if $a \equiv b$ (ω) implies $ax \equiv bx$ (ω) for all $a, b \in A$ and $x \in X$. The partition induced by the congruence relation ω is called *compatible partition* of A .

Let $A=(X, A, \delta)$ be an automaton. Define the relation C of A in the following way: $a \equiv b$ (C) if and only if there exist $p, q \in X^*$ such that $ap = b$ and $bq = a$. It is clear that C is a congruence relation of A if the automaton A is commutative. In the following we use the notation $C(a)$ for the block of the partition induced by C which contains a . On the set $A/C = \{C(a): a \in A\}$ we define a partial ordering in the following way: for any $a, b \in A$, $C(a) \leq C(b)$ if there exists $p \in X^*$ such that $ap = b$. If $C(a) \leq C(b)$ and $C(a) \neq C(b)$ then we write $C(a) < C(b)$.

The automaton $A=(X, A, \delta)$ is called a *permutation automaton* if for any $a, b \in A$ and $p \in X^*$, $ap=bp$ implies $a=b$. The automaton A is *connected* if for any $a, b \in A$ there exist $p, q \in X^*$ such that $ap=bq$.

Let $A_t=(X_t, A_t, \delta_t)$ ($t=1, \dots, n$) be a system of automata. Moreover, let X be a finite nonvoid set and φ a mapping of $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \times X$ into $X_1 \times \dots \times X_n$ such that $\varphi(a_1, \dots, a_n, x)=(\varphi_1(a_1, \dots, a_n, x), \dots, \varphi_n(a_1, \dots, a_n, x))$, and each φ_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) is independent of states having indices greater than or equal to $j+i$, where i is a fixed nonnegative integer. We say that the automaton $A=(X, A, \delta)$ with $A=A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ and $\delta((a_1, \dots, a_n), x)=(\delta_1(a_1, \varphi_1(a_1, \dots, a_n, x)), \dots, \delta_n(a_n, \varphi_n(a_1, \dots, a_n, x)))$ is the α_t -product of A_t ($t=1, \dots, n$) with respect to X and φ . For this product we use the notation $\prod_{t=1}^n A_t(X, \varphi)$ and $A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$ for $n=2$. Moreover, if in α_t -product $A, A_t=B$ for all t ($t=1, \dots, n$), then A is called an α_t -power of B and we use the notation $A=B^n(X, \varphi)$.

Let \mathfrak{B} be an arbitrary class of automata. Further on let Σ be a system of automata. Σ is called *isomorphically complete* for \mathfrak{B} with respect to the α_t -product if any automaton from \mathfrak{B} can be embedded isomorphically into an α_t -product of automata from Σ . If \mathfrak{B} is the class of all automata and Σ is isomorphically complete for \mathfrak{B} , then it is said that Σ is *isomorphically complete*.

Let us denote by $E_2=(\{x, y\}, \{0, 1\}, \delta_E)$ the automaton for which $\delta_E(0, y)=0$, $\delta_E(0, x)=1$, $\delta_E(1, x)=\delta_E(1, y)=1$.

An automaton $A=(X, A, \delta)$ is called *monotone* if there exists a partial ordering \cong on A such that $a \cong \delta(a, x)$ holds for any $a \in A$ and $x \in X$.

For monotone automata the following result holds:

Lemma 1. Every connected monotone automaton can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -power of E_2 .

Proof. We proceed by induction on the number of states of the automaton. In the cases $n=1$ and $n=2$ our statement is trivial. Now let $n>2$ and suppose that the statement is valid for any natural number $m<n$. Denote by $A=(X, A, \delta)$ an arbitrary connected monotone automaton with n states. Since A is connected thus among the blocks $C(a)$ ($a \in A$) there exists exactly one maximal element under our partial ordering of blocks. On the other hand, since A is monotone thus the partition induced by C has one-element blocks only. Denote by a_n the element of the maximal block. Since $n>2$ thus there exists an $a \in A$ such that $C(a)<C(a_n)$. Denote by a_k an element of A for which $C(a_k)<C(a_n)$ and $C(a_k)<C(a)$ implies $a=a_n$ for any $a \in A$. Obviously there exists such an a_k . It is also obvious that $(X, H, \delta_{|_{H \times X}})$ is a subautomaton of A , where $H=\{a_k, a_n\}$ and the restriction to $H \times X$ of the function δ is denoted by $\delta_{|_{H \times X}}$. Let us define the automata $A_1=(X, (A \setminus H) \cup \{*\}, \delta_1)$ and $A_2=((A \setminus H) \cup \{*\}) \times X, H \cup \{\square\}, \delta_2)$ in the following way:

$$\delta_1(a, x) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \notin H, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_1(*, x) = *,$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (a, x)) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in H, \\ \square & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_2(a', (a, x)) = a', \delta_2(a', (*, x)) = \delta(a', x), \delta_2(\square, (*, x)) = \square$$

for all $a \in A \setminus H, x \in X$ and $a' \in H$. Take the α_0 -product $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2(X, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(x) = x, \varphi_2(v, x) = (v, x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $v \in (A \setminus H) \cup \{*\}$. It is easy to prove that the correspondence

$$v(a) = \begin{cases} (a, \square) & \text{if } a \in A \setminus H, \\ (*, a) & \text{if } a \in H, \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbf{A} into \mathbf{B} .

Now let us consider the automata \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 . Since \mathbf{A}_1 is a connected monotone automaton with $n-1$ states thus, by our assumption, \mathbf{A}_1 can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -power of \mathbf{E}_2 . Denote by U the set of input signals of \mathbf{A}_2 and take the following partitions of U :

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus H, x \in X, \delta(a, x) \notin H\} \cup \{(*, x): x \in X\}, \\ U_2 &= \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus H, x \in X, \delta(a, x) = a_k\}, \\ U_3 &= \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus H, x \in X, \delta(a, x) = a_n\}, \\ V_1 &= \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus H, x \in X\} \cup \{(*, x): x \in X, \delta(a_k, x) = a_k\}, \\ V_2 &= \{(*, x): x \in X, \delta(a_k, x) = a_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the α_0 -product $\mathbf{E}^2(U, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(u_1) = y, \varphi_1(u_2) = \varphi_1(u_3) = x, \varphi_2(0, u_1) = \varphi_2(0, u_2) = y, \varphi_2(0, u_3) = x, \varphi_2(1, v_1) = y$ and $\varphi_2(1, v_2) = x$ for all $u_i \in U_i (i=1, 2, 3)$ and $v_j \in V_j (j=1, 2)$. It can easily be seen that the correspondence $\square \rightarrow (0, 0), a_k \rightarrow (1, 0)$ and $a_n \rightarrow (1, 1)$ is an isomorphism of \mathbf{A}_2 into $\mathbf{E}^2(U, \varphi)$. Since the formation of the α_0 -product is associative thus we have proved that \mathbf{A} can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -power of \mathbf{E}_2 .

For any natural number $n \geq 1$ let $\mathbf{M}_n = (\{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}, \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \delta)$ denote the automaton for which $\delta(j, x_l) = j+l \pmod n$ for any $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ and $x_l \in \{x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}\}$, where $j+l \pmod n$ denotes the least nonnegative residue of $j+l$ modulo n . Moreover let \mathfrak{M} denote the set of all \mathbf{M}_n such that n is a prime number.

It holds the following

Lemma 2. If the number of states of a strongly connected commutative automaton \mathbf{A} is a prime number, then there exists an automaton $\mathbf{M} \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of \mathbf{M} with a single factor.

Proof. First we prove that every strongly connected commutative automaton is a permutation automaton. Indeed, denote by $\mathbf{A} = (X, A, \delta)$ a strongly connected commutative automaton and assume that there exist $a, b \in A$ and $p \in X^*$ with $ap = bp$. Since \mathbf{A} is strongly connected thus there exist input words $q, w \in X^*$ such $apq = a$ and $aw = b$. Using the commutativity of \mathbf{A} , we have $bpq = awpq = apqw = aw = b$. Therefore, $a = apq = bpq = b$, showing that \mathbf{A} is a permutation automaton.

Now let us assume that the number of states of \mathbf{A} is prime and denote it by r . Let $a \in A$ and $p \in X^*$ be arbitrary and consider the states a, ap, ap^2, \dots . Since \mathbf{A} is a permutation automaton thus there exists a $t (1 \leq t \leq r)$ such that $a = ap^t$. Denote by (a, p) the set $\{a, ap, \dots, ap^{t-1}\}$. Assume that $(a, p) \subset A$. Let $a' \in A \setminus (a, p)$ and consider the set (a', p) , which is defined as above. Since \mathbf{A} is a strongly connected

automaton thus there exists a $q \in X^*$ such that $aq = a'$. Using the commutativity of A we have $ap^i q = aqp^i = a'p^i$ ($i=0, \dots, t-1$). From this it follows that (a, p) and (a', p) have the same cardinality since A is a permutation automaton. On the other hand it can easily be seen that (a, p) and (a', p) are disjoint subsets of A . Therefore, the set $\varrho_p = \{(a, p) : a \in A\}$ is a partition of A and the blocks of ϱ_p have the same cardinality. Since r is prime thus we get that ϱ_p has one-element blocks only, or it has one block only. Now we choose an $x \in X$ such that ϱ_x has one block only. The automaton A is strongly connected therefore such an $x \in X$ exists. Let $a \in A$ be a fixed state of A and write $a_0 = a$, $a_i = a_0 x^i$ ($i=1, \dots, r-1$). Thus the mapping induced by x on A can be described in the form $a_i x = a_{i+1 \pmod{r}}$ ($i=0, \dots, r-1$). Now let y be an arbitrary input signal of A and assume that $a_0 y = a_j$ for some $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$. From the commutativity of A we have $a_i y = a_0 x^i y = a_0 y x^i = a_j x^i = a_{i+j \pmod{r}}$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$. Take the α_0 -product $B = \Pi M_r(X, \varphi)$ with a single factor, where $\varphi(x) = x_k$ if $a_0 x = a_k$ for all $x \in X$. It is easy to prove that A is isomorphic to B , which completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. Every strongly connected commutative automaton can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of automata from \mathfrak{M} .

Proof. We prove by induction on the number of states of the automaton. In case $n < 4$, by Lemma 2, the statement holds. Now let $n \geq 4$ and assume that our statement is valid for any natural number $m < n$. Denote by $A = (X, A, \delta)$ an arbitrary strongly connected commutative automaton with n states. If n is prime then, by Lemma 2, the statement holds. Assume that n is not prime. Let $p \in X^*$ be arbitrary. Consider the partition ϱ_p . Since A is commutative thus ϱ_p is a compatible partition of A . Denote by Ω the set of all partitions ϱ_p of A such that $[p] \in S(A) \setminus \{\{e\}\}$, where e denotes the empty word of X^* . Take the partition ϱ of A given by $\varrho = \bigcap_{\varrho_p \in \Omega} \varrho_p$. We distinguish two cases.

First assume that ϱ has one-element blocks only. In this case it can easily be seen that A can be embedded isomorphically into the direct product of the quotient automata A/ϱ_p ($\varrho_p \in \Omega$). On the other hand, for any $\varrho_p \in \Omega$ the quotient automaton A/ϱ_p is a strongly connected commutative automaton with number of states less than n . Therefore, by our induction hypothesis the statement is valid.

Now assume, that there exist $a, b \in A$ such that $a \neq b$ and $a \equiv b(\varrho)$. Take an input signal x of A such that the mapping induced by it on A is not the identity. Then $\varrho_x \in \Omega$ and thus $\varrho_x \cong \varrho$. Therefore, $a \equiv b(\varrho_x)$. This means that there exists a natural number $l > 0$ such that $ax^l = b$. Since ϱ is compatible thus $ax^l \equiv bx^l(\varrho)$. From this, by the above equality, we get that the states a, ax^l, ax^{2l}, \dots are in $\varrho(a)$. Therefore, $(a, x^l) \subseteq \varrho(a)$. On the other hand $\varrho_x \cong \varrho$ thus $(a, x^l) = \varrho(a)$, showing that $\varrho_x = \varrho$. Denote by p the word x^l and assume that $\varrho(a) = \{a, ap, \dots, ap^{k-1}\}$. We show that k is prime. Indeed, if $1 < v < k$ and $v \mid k$ then $(a, p^v) \subset (a, p)$ which contradicts the relation $\varrho_{p^v} \cong \varrho$. Denote by $\varrho(a_0), \varrho(a_1), \dots, \varrho(a_{s-1})$ the blocks of ϱ . From the equality $\varrho = \varrho_p$ it follows that $\varrho(a_i) = \{a_i, a_i p, \dots, a_i p^{k-1}\}$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s-1$). Thus $n = k \cdot s$. From this we get that $s \neq 1$ because k is prime. On the other hand, since A is strongly connected thus there exist words p_i, q_i ($i=0, \dots, s-1$) such that $a_0 p_i = a_i$ and $a_i q_i = a_0$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$. Using the commutativity of A we have $a_0 p^j p_i = a_i p^j$ and $a_i p^j q_i = a_0 p^j$ for any $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$. Now define two automata $A_1 = (X, \varrho, \delta_1)$ and $A_2 = (\varrho \times X, \varrho(a_0), \delta_2)$ in the following way: $\delta_1(\varrho(a_i), x) = \varrho(\delta(a_i, x))$ for all $\varrho(a_i) \in \varrho$

and $x \in X, \delta_2(a_0 p^j, (\varrho(a_i), x)) = a_0 p^j p_i x q_u$ if $\varrho(\delta(a_i, x)) = \varrho(a_u)$ for all $a_0 p^j \in \varrho(a_0)$ and $(\varrho(a_i), x) \in \varrho \times X$. Take the α_0 -product $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2(X, \varphi)$, where $\varphi_1(x) = x$ and $\varphi_2(\varrho(a_i), x) = (\varrho(a_i), x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $\varrho(a_i) \in \varrho$. It is not difficult to prove that the correspondence $\nu: a_i p^j \rightarrow (\varrho(a_i), a_0 p^j)$ ($i=0, 1, \dots, s-1; j=0, 1, \dots, k-1$) is an isomorphism of \mathbf{A} into \mathbf{B} . Now consider the automata \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 . They are strongly connected commutative automata with number of states less than n . Therefore, by our assumption, the statement holds.

For any prime number r , let $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_r = (\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_r\}, \{0, \dots, r\}, \delta)$ denote the automaton for which $\delta(l, x_j) = l + j \pmod r, \delta(r, x_j) = r, \delta(l, x_r) = r$ and $\delta(r, x_r) = r$ for any $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ and $x_j \in \{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$.

The next Theorem gives necessary and sufficient conditions for a system of automata to be isomorphically complete for \mathfrak{R} with respect to the α_0 -product.

Theorem 1. A system Σ of automata is isomorphically complete for \mathfrak{R} with respect to the α_0 -product if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) There exists $\mathbf{A}_0 \in \Sigma$ such that the automaton \mathbf{E}_2 can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of \mathbf{A}_0 with a single factor;
- (2) For any prime number r there exists $\mathbf{A} \in \Sigma$ such that the automaton $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_r$ can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of the automata \mathbf{A}_0 and \mathbf{A} .

Proof. In order to prove the necessity assume that Σ is isomorphically complete for \mathfrak{R} with respect to the α_0 -product. Then \mathbf{E}_2 can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_i(\{x, y\}, \varphi)$ of automata from Σ . Assume that $k > 1$ and

let μ denote a suitable isomorphism. For any $j \in \{0, 1\}$ denote by (a_{j1}, \dots, a_{jk}) the image of j under μ . Among the sets $\{a_{0t}, a_{1t}\}$ ($t=1, \dots, k$) there should be at least one which has more than one element. Let l be the least index for which $a_{0l} \neq a_{1l}$. It is obvious that the automaton $\mathbf{A}_l \in \Sigma$ satisfies condition (1).

Now take an arbitrary prime number r and consider the automaton $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_r$. By our assumption $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_r$ can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{A}_i(\{x_0, \dots, x_r\}, \varphi)$ of automata from Σ . Assume that $k > 1$ and let μ denote a suitable isomorphism. For any $t \in \{0, \dots, r\}$ denote by (a_{t1}, \dots, a_{tk}) the image of t under μ . Define compatible partitions π_j ($j=1, \dots, k$) of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_r$ in the following way: for any $u, v \in \{0, \dots, r\}, u \equiv v \pmod{\pi_j}$ if and only if $a_{u1} = a_{v1}, \dots, a_{uj} = a_{vj}$. It is obvious that $\pi_1 \equiv \pi_2 \equiv \dots \equiv \pi_k$ and π_k has one-element blocks only. On the other hand $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_r$ has only one nontrivial compatible partition: $\sigma = \{\{0, \dots, r-1\}, \{r\}\}$. Denote by s the least index for which $\sigma > \pi_s$. It is not difficult to prove that the automaton $\mathbf{A}_s \in \Sigma$ satisfies condition (2).

To prove the sufficiency of the conditions of Theorem 1 we shall show that arbitrary commutative automaton can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of automata from \mathfrak{R} where $\mathfrak{R} = \{\mathbf{E}_2\} \cup \{\overline{\mathbf{M}}_r: r \text{ is a prime number}\}$.

We prove by induction on the number of states of the automaton. In the case $n \leq 2$ our statement is trivial. Now let $n > 2$ and assume that for any $m < n$ the statement is valid. Denote by $\mathbf{A} = (X, A, \delta)$ an arbitrary commutative automaton with n states.

If \mathbf{A} is not connected then it can be given as a direct sum of its connected subautomata. Denote by $\mathbf{A}_t = (X, A_t, \delta_t)$ ($t=1, \dots, k$) these subautomata of \mathbf{A} . Take

an arbitrary symbol z such that $z \notin X$. Define the automata $\bar{A}_i = (X \cup \{z\}, A_i, \bar{\delta}_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, k$) in the following way: $\bar{\delta}_i(a_i, x) = \delta_i(a_i, x)$ and $\bar{\delta}_i(a_i, z) = a_i$, for all $a_i \in A_i$ and $x \in X$ ($i=1, \dots, k$). Take the α_0 -products $B_i = E_2 \times \bar{A}_i(X, \varphi^{(i)})$ ($i=1, \dots, k$) where $\varphi_1^{(i)}(x) = y$, $\varphi_2^{(i)}(0, x) = z$ and $\varphi_2^{(i)}(1, x) = x$ for all $x \in X$. It is clear that A can be embedded isomorphically into the direct product $\prod_{i=1}^k B_i$. On the other hand, for any index i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) the automaton \bar{A}_i is commutative with number of states less than n . Therefore, by our induction hypothesis the statement holds.

Now assume that A is connected. Consider the partition $\{C(a): a \in A\}$ and the partial ordering of blocks introduced on page 1. Since A is connected thus among the blocks there exists one maximal only. Let $C(\bar{a})$ denote this block. We distinguish two cases.

(I) Assume that the cardinality of $C(\bar{a})$ is greater than one. In this case $(X, C(\bar{a}), \delta_{|C(\bar{a}) \times X})$ is a strongly connected subautomaton of A . If $C(\bar{a}) = A$ then, by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, the statement holds. If $C(\bar{a}) \subset A$ then we distinguish three cases.

(a) Assume that the cardinality of $C(\bar{a})$ is prime and denote it by r . Let us define the automata $A_1 = (X, (A \setminus C(\bar{a})) \cup \{*\}, \delta_1)$ and $A_2 = ((A \setminus C(\bar{a})) \cup \{*\}) \times X, C(\bar{a}) \cup \{\square\}, \delta_2)$ in the following way:

$$\delta_1(a, x) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \notin C(\bar{a}), \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_1(*, x) = *,$$

$$\delta_2(a', (a, x)) = a', \quad \delta_2(a', (*, x)) = \delta(a', x), \quad \delta_2(\square, (*, x)) = \square,$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (a, x)) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in C(\bar{a}), \\ \square & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for all $x \in X$, $a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a})$ and $a' \in C(\bar{a})$. Take the α_0 -product $B = A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(x) = x$ and $\varphi_2(v, x) = (v, x)$ for any $x \in X$, $v \in (A \setminus C(\bar{a})) \cup \{*\}$. It can be proved easily that the correspondence

$$v(a) = \begin{cases} (a, \square) & \text{if } a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}), \\ (*, a) & \text{if } a \in C(\bar{a}), \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of A into B . Consider the automata A_1 and A_2 . A_1 is a commutative automaton with number of states less than n . Therefore, by our induction assumption, it can be decomposed in the form required. For investigating A_2 we need the automaton $C = (\{x_0, \dots, x_r\}, \{0, \dots, r\}, \delta_C)$ where $\delta_C(l, x_i) = l + i \pmod{r}$, $\delta_C(l, x_r) = l$, $\delta_C(r, x_i) = i$ and $\delta_C(r, x_r) = r$ for any $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$, $x_i \in \{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$. Now denote by U the set of the input signals of A_2 and consider the following partitions of U :

$$U_1 = \{(*, x): x \in X\} \cup \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}), x \in X, \delta(a, x) \notin C(\bar{a})\},$$

$$U_2 = \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}), x \in X, \delta(a, x) \in C(\bar{a})\},$$

$$V_1 = \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}), x \in X\},$$

$$V_2 = \{(*, x): x \in X\}.$$

By Lemma 2, we have that $(X, C(\bar{a}), \delta_{|C(\bar{a}) \times X})$ is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of M_r with a single factor. Denote by μ this isomorphism. We write $a = a_i$ if $\mu(i) = a$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$). Now take the α_0 -product $E_2 \times C(U, \varphi)$ where for any $u_1 \in U_1$, $u_2 \in U_2$ and $v_1 \in V_1$, $v_2 \in V_2$, $\varphi_1(u_1) = y$, $\varphi_1(u_2) = x$, $\varphi_2(0, u_1) = x_r$, $\varphi_2(0, u_2) = x_i$ if $\delta_2(\square, u_2) = a_i$, $\varphi_2(1, v_1) = x_r$ and $\varphi_2(1, v_2) = x_j$ if $\delta_2(a_0, v_2) = a_j$. It is clear that the correspondence ν given by $\nu(\square) = (0, r)$ and $\nu(a_i) = (1, i)$ ($i = 0, \dots, r-1$) is an isomorphism of A_2 into $E_2 \times C(U, \varphi)$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to prove that C can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of E_2 and M_r . Thus A_2 can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of E_2 and M_r . Taking into consideration the above decomposition of A_1 , this ends the discussion of (a) in case (I).

(b) Assume that the cardinality of $C(\bar{a})$ is not prime and the partition ϱ of $(X, C(\bar{a}), \delta_{|C(\bar{a}) \times X})$ has one-element blocks only where ϱ is defined for $(X, C(\bar{a}), \delta_{|C(\bar{a}) \times X})$ in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3. Now for any $\varrho_p \in \Omega$, define the partition $\bar{\varrho}_p$ of A in the following way:

$$\bar{\varrho}_p(a) = \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{if } a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}), \\ \varrho_p(a) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now let $\bar{\Omega}$ denote the set of all such $\bar{\varrho}_p$. It can easily be seen that A can be embedded isomorphically into the direct product $\prod_{\bar{\varrho}_p \in \bar{\Omega}} A/\bar{\varrho}_p$. On the other hand for any $\bar{\varrho}_p \in \bar{\Omega}$

the quotient automaton $A/\bar{\varrho}_p$ is commutative with number of states less than n . Thus, by our induction assumption, we have a required decomposition of A .

(c) Assume that the cardinality of $C(\bar{a})$ is not prime and the partition ϱ of $(X, C(\bar{a}), \delta_{|C(\bar{a}) \times X})$ has at least one block whose cardinality is greater than one. Then, by the proof of Lemma 3, $(X, C(\bar{a}), \delta_{|C(\bar{a}) \times X})$ can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of automata $\bar{A}_1 = (X, \varrho, \bar{\delta}_1)$ and $\bar{A}_2 = (\varrho \times X, \varrho(a_0), \bar{\delta}_2)$ where \bar{A}_2 is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of M_r with a single factor for some prime $r < n$. Define the automata $A_1 = (X, (A \setminus C(\bar{a})) \cup \varrho, \delta_1)$ and $A_2 = (((A \setminus C(\bar{a})) \cup \varrho) \times X, \varrho(a_0) \cup \{\square\}, \delta_2)$ in the following way: for any $a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a})$, $\varrho(a_i) \in \varrho$, $x \in X$ and $a_0 p^j \in \varrho(a_0)$

$$\delta_1(\varrho(a_i), x) = \bar{\delta}_1(\varrho(a_i), x),$$

$$\delta_1(a, x) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}) \\ \varrho(a_i) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in C(\bar{a}) \text{ and } \delta(a, x) \in \varrho(a_i), \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_2(a_0 p^j, (a, x)) = a_0 p^j, \delta_2(a_0 p^j, (\varrho(a_i), x)) = \bar{\delta}_2(a_0 p^j, (\varrho(a_i), x)),$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (\varrho(a_i), x)) = \square,$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (a, x)) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x)q_s & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in \varrho(a_s), \\ \square & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \notin C(\bar{a}). \end{cases}$$

Notations used in the above definition coincide with those used in the proof of Lemma 3. Take the α_0 -product $A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(x) = x$ and $\varphi_2(v, x) = (v, x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $v \in (A \setminus C(\bar{a})) \cup \varrho$. It can easily be seen that the correspondence

$$\nu(a) = \begin{cases} (a, \square) & \text{if } a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}), \\ (\varrho(a_i), a_0 p^j) & \text{if } a \in \varrho(a_i) \text{ and } a = a_i p^j, \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of A into $A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$. Consider the automata A_1 and A_2 . The automaton A_1 is commutative with number of states less than n . Therefore, by our induction hypothesis, it can be decomposed in the form required. The automaton A_2 can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of automata E_2 and M_r . This can be proved in a similar way as in the case (a). Thus we get a required decomposition of A .

(II) Now assume that the cardinality of $C(\bar{a})$ is equal to one. Denote by R' the set of all $a \in A$ for which the cardinality of $C(a)$ is equal to one and $C(a) < C(b)$ implies $b = \bar{a}$ for all $b \in A$. Let R be the set $R' \cup \{\bar{a}\}$. We distinguish two cases:

(a) First assume that R' is nonvoid. Then $(X, R, \delta_{|R \times X})$ is a connected monotone subautomaton of A . Define the automata $A_1 = (X, (A \setminus R) \cup \{*\}, \delta_1)$ and $A_2 = ((A \setminus R) \cup \{*\}) \times X, R \cup \{\square\}, \delta_2)$ in the following way: for any $a \in A \setminus R, a' \in R$ and $x \in X$

$$\delta_1(a, x) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \notin R, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_1(*, x) = *,$$

$$\delta_2(a', (a, x)) = a', \delta_2(a', (*, x)) = \delta(a', x), \delta_2(\square, (*, x)) = \square,$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (a, x)) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in R, \\ \square & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Take the α_0 -product $A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(x) = x, \varphi_2(v, x) = (v, x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $v \in (A \setminus R) \cup \{*\}$. It is obvious that the correspondence

$$v(a) = \begin{cases} (a, \square) & \text{if } a \in A \setminus R, \\ (*, a) & \text{if } a \in R, \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of A into $A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$. Consider A_1 and A_2 . A_1 is commutative with number of states less than n . Thus by our induction assumption, it can be decomposed in the form required. On the other hand A_2 is a connected monotone automaton thus, by Lemma 1, it can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -power of E_2 . Therefore, we get a required decomposition of A .

(b) Now assume that R' is empty. Denote by Q the set of all blocks $C(a)$ for which the cardinality of $C(a)$ is greater than one, and $C(a) < C(b)$ implies $b = \bar{a}$ for all $b \in A$. Since A is connected and R' is empty thus the set Q contains at least one block. We distinguish two cases.

(1) First assume that Q contains the blocks $C(a_1), \dots, C(a_k)$ where $k > 1$. Define compatible partitions ϱ_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) of A in the following way:

$$\varrho_i(a) = \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{if } a \notin C(a_i) \cup \{\bar{a}\}, \\ C(a_i) \cup \{\bar{a}\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to prove that $\bigcap_{1 \leq i \leq k} \varrho_i = \{\{a\} : a \in A\}$. From this we get that A can

be embedded isomorphically into the direct product $\prod_{i=1}^k A/\varrho_i$. On the other hand, for any $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ the quotient automaton A/ϱ_i is commutative with number of

states less than n . Therefore, by our induction assumption, we have a required decomposition of \mathbf{A} .

(2) Now assume that Q contains one block only and denote it by $C(b)$. Since C is a compatible partition of \mathbf{A} thus $\{X_1, X_2\}$ is a partition of X where $X_1 = \{x: x \in X, C(b)x \subseteq C(b)\}$ and $X_2 = \{x: x \in X, C(b)x = \bar{a}\}$. It is clear that X_1 and X_2 are nonvoid sets and $\mathbf{B} = (X_1, C(b), \delta_{|C(b) \times X_1})$ is a strongly connected commutative automaton. Now we distinguish three cases according to Lemma 3.

(i) Assume that the number of states of \mathbf{B} is prime and denote it by r . Define the automata $\mathbf{A}_1 = (X, (A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\})) \cup \{*\}, \delta_1)$ and $\mathbf{A}_2 = (((A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\})) \cup \{*\}) \times X, C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}, \square\}, \delta_2)$ in the following way: for any $x \in X, a \in A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\})$ and $a' \in C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}$

$$\delta_1(a, x) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \notin C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}, \\ * & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_1(*, x) = *,$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (a, x)) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}, \\ \square & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_2(a', (a, x)) = a', \quad \delta_2(a', (*, x)) = \delta(a', x), \quad \delta_2(\square, (*, x)) = \square.$$

Take the α_0 -product $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2(X, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(x) = x$ and $\varphi_2(v, x) = (v, x)$ for any $x \in X, v \in (A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\})) \cup \{*\}$. It is clear that the correspondence

$$v(a) = \begin{cases} (a, \square) & \text{if } a \notin C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}, \\ (*, a) & \text{if } a \in C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\} \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of \mathbf{A} into $\mathbf{A}_1 \times \mathbf{A}_2(X, \varphi)$. Consider the factors of the previous α_0 -product. \mathbf{A}_1 is commutative with number of states less than n . Thus, by our induction assumption it can be decomposed in the required form. For investigating \mathbf{A}_2 , we need the following automaton. Denote by $\mathbf{W} = (\{x_0, \dots, x_r, \bar{x}\}, \{0, \dots, r, \bar{r}\}, \delta_{\mathbf{W}})$ the automaton where $\delta_{\mathbf{W}}(l, x_i) = l + i \pmod{r}$, $\delta_{\mathbf{W}}(\bar{r}, x_i) = i$, $\delta_{\mathbf{W}}(l, x_r) = r$, $\delta_{\mathbf{W}}(l, \bar{x}) = l$, $\delta_{\mathbf{W}}(r, x_i) = r$ for any $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ and $x_i \in \{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$, and $\delta_{\mathbf{W}}(r, x_r) = \delta_{\mathbf{W}}(r, \bar{x}) = \delta_{\mathbf{W}}(\bar{r}, x_r) = r$, $\delta_{\mathbf{W}}(\bar{r}, \bar{x}) = \bar{r}$. Now denote by U the set of the input signals of \mathbf{A}_2 and take the following partitions of U .

$$U_1 = \{(*, x): x \in X\} \cup \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}), x \in X, \delta(a, x) \notin C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}\},$$

$$U_2 = \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}), x \in X, \delta(a, x) \in C(b)\},$$

$$U_3 = \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}), x \in X, \delta(a, x) = \bar{a}\},$$

$$V_1 = \{(a, x): a \in A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}), x \in X\},$$

$$V_2 = \{(*, x): x \in X_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad V_3 = \{(*, x): x \in X_2\}.$$

By definitions, we have that $(V_1 \cup V_2, C(b), \delta_{2|C(b) \times (V_1 \cup V_2)})$ is a strongly connected commutative automaton with r states. Thus, by Lemma 2, it is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of \mathbf{M}_r with a single factor. Denote by μ a suitable isomorphism, and for any $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ denote by b_t the image of t under μ . Now take the α_0 -product $\mathbf{E}_2 \times \mathbf{W}(U, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(u_1) = y$, $\varphi_1(u_2) = \varphi_1(u_3) = x$, $\varphi_2(0, u_1) = \bar{x}$,

$\varphi_2(0, u_2) = x_i$ if $\delta_2(\square, u_2) = b_i$, $\varphi_2(0, u_3) = x_r$, $\varphi_2(1, v_1) = \bar{x}$, $\varphi_2(1, v_2) = x_s$ if $\delta_2(b_0, v_2) = b_s$, $\varphi_2(1, v_3) = x_r$ for any $u_t \in U_t$ ($t=1, 2, 3$), $v_j \in V_j$ ($j=1, 2, 3$). It is obvious that the correspondence ν given by $\nu(\square) = (0, \bar{r})$, $\nu(\bar{a}) = (1, r)$, $\nu(b_i) = (1, i)$ ($i=0, \dots, r-1$) is an isomorphism of A_2 into $E_2 \times W(U, \varphi)$. On the other hand, it is not difficult to prove that the automaton W can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of E_2 and \bar{M}_r . Thus we get a required decomposition of A .

(ii) Assume that the number of states of B is not prime and the partition ϱ of B has one-element blocks only where ϱ is defined for B in the same way as above. Now for any $\varrho_p \in \Omega$ define a partition $\bar{\varrho}_p$ of A in the following way:

$$\bar{\varrho}_p(a) = \begin{cases} \{a\} & \text{if } a \in A \setminus C(\bar{a}), \\ \varrho_p(a) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\bar{\Omega}$ denote the set of all such $\bar{\varrho}_p$. It is clear that A can be embedded isomorphically into the direct product $\prod_{\bar{\varrho}_p \in \bar{\Omega}} A/\bar{\varrho}_p$. The quotient automaton $A/\bar{\varrho}_p$ is commutative and its number of states is less than n for any $\bar{\varrho}_p \in \bar{\Omega}$. Thus, by our induction assumption we have a required decomposition of A .

(iii) Assume that the number of states of B is not prime and the partition ϱ of B has at least one block whose cardinality is greater than one. Then, by Lemma 3, B can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of the automata $B_1 = (X_1, \varrho, \delta_1)$ and $B_2 = (\varrho \times X_1, \varrho(b_0), \delta_2)$ where B_2 is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of M_r with a single factor for some prime r . Define the automata $A_1 = (X, (A \setminus C(b)) \cup \varrho, \delta_1)$ and $A_2 = (((A \setminus C(b)) \cup \varrho) \times X, \varrho(b_0) \cup \{*, \square\}, \delta_2)$ in the following way: for any $a \in A \setminus C(b)$, $\varrho(b_i) \in \varrho$, $x \in X$ and $b_0 p^j \in \varrho(b_0)$

$$\delta_1(a, x) = \begin{cases} \delta(a, x) & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \notin C(b), \\ \varrho(\delta(a, x)) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_1(\varrho(b_i), x) = \begin{cases} \bar{\delta}_1(\varrho(b_i), x) & \text{if } x \in X_1, \\ \bar{a} & \text{if } x \in X_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_2(b_0 p^j, (\varrho(b_i), x)) = \begin{cases} \bar{\delta}_2(b_0 p^j, (\varrho(b_i), x)) & \text{if } x \in X_1, \\ * & \text{if } x \in X_2, \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (a, x)) = \begin{cases} \square & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}), \\ \delta(a, x) q_s & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \in \varrho(b_s), \\ * & \text{if } \delta(a, x) = \bar{a}, \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_2(b_0 p^j, (a, x)) = b_0 p^j, \quad \delta_2(*, (a, x)) = \delta_2(*, (\varrho(b_i), x)) = *,$$

$$\delta_2(\square, (\varrho(b_i), x)) = \square.$$

(The notations coincide with those used in the proof of the Lemma 3.) Take the α_0 -product $A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$ where $\varphi_1(x) = x$ and $\varphi_2(v, x) = (v, x)$ for any $x \in X$ and $v \in (A \setminus C(b)) \cup \varrho$. It is not difficult to prove that the correspondence

$$\nu(a) = \begin{cases} (a, \square) & \text{if } a \in A \setminus (C(b) \cup \{\bar{a}\}), \\ (\varrho(b_i), b_0 p^j) & \text{if } a \in C(b) \text{ and } a = b_i p^j, \\ (\bar{a}, *) & \text{if } a = \bar{a}, \end{cases}$$

is an isomorphism of A into $A_1 \times A_2(X, \varphi)$. Consider the automata A_1 and A_2 . The automaton A_1 is commutative with number of states less than n . Thus, by our induction assumption, it can be decomposed in the required form. The automaton A_2 can be embedded isomorphically into an α_0 -product of E_2 and \bar{M}_r . This can be proved in a similar way as in the case (i). Thus we get a required decomposition of A .

The following statement is obvious for arbitrary natural number $i \geq 0$.

Lemma 4. If A can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of B with a single factor and B can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of C with a single factor, then A can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of C with a single factor.

The next Theorem holds for α_i -products with $i \geq 1$.

Theorem 2. A system Σ of automata is isomorphically complete for \mathfrak{R} with respect to the α_i -product ($i \geq 1$) if and only if for any prime number r there exists an automaton $A \in \Sigma$ such that M_r can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of A with a single factor.

Proof. To prove the sufficiency, by Lemma 4, it is enough to show that arbitrary automaton with n states can be embedded isomorphically into an α_1 -product of M_r with a single factor for some prime $r > n$. This is trivial.

To prove the necessity take a prime r . First we prove that M_r can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of automata from Σ with at most i factors if M_r can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of automata from Σ . Indeed, assume that M_r can be embedded isomorphically into the α_i -product

$$B = \prod_{j=1}^k A_j(\{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}, \varphi)$$

of automata from Σ with $k > i$ and denote by μ such an isomorphism. For any $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ denote by (a_{1l}, \dots, a_{kl}) the image of l under μ . We may suppose that there exist natural numbers $s \neq t$ ($0 \leq s, t \leq r-1$) such that $a_{s1} \neq a_{t1}$ since in the opposite case M_r can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of automata from Σ with $k-1$ factors. Now assume that there exist natural numbers $u \neq v$ ($0 \leq u, v \leq r-1$) such that $a_{ul} = a_{vl}$ ($l = 1, \dots, i$). Then $\varphi_1(a_{u1}, \dots, a_{ui}, x_j) = \varphi_1(a_{v1}, \dots, a_{vi}, x_j)$ for any $x_j \in \{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$. Thus in the α_i -product B the automaton A_1 obtains the same input signal in the states a_{u1} and a_{v1} for any $x_j \in \{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$. Since μ is an isomorphism thus we have that $a_{u+j(\text{mod } r)1} = a_{v+j(\text{mod } r)1}$ for any $j \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$. On the other hand, r is prime thus from the above equations we get that $a_{u1} = a_{v1}$ for any $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, we have that the elements (a_{1l}, \dots, a_{il}) ($0 \leq l \leq r-1$) are pairwise different. Take the following α_i -product

$$C = \prod_{i=1}^i A_i(\{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}, \psi)$$

where for any $j \in \{1, \dots, i\}$, $(a_1, \dots, a_i) \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_i$ and $x_s \in \{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}$

$$\psi_j(a_1, \dots, a_i, x_s) = \begin{cases} \varphi_j(a_{1l}, \dots, a_{i, j+i-1}, x_s) & \text{if } j+i-1 \leq k \text{ and there exists} \\ & 0 \leq l \leq r-1 \text{ such that } a_u = a_{lu} \text{ (} u = 1, \dots, i\text{),} \\ \varphi_j(a_{1l}, \dots, a_{ik}, x_s) & \text{if } j+i-1 > k \text{ and there exists} \\ & 0 \leq l \leq r-1 \text{ such that } a_u = a_{lu} \text{ (} u = 1, \dots, i\text{),} \\ \text{arbitrary input signal from } X_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to prove that the correspondence $v(l) = (a_{l1}, \dots, a_{li})$ ($l=0, \dots, r-1$) is an isomorphism of M_r into C .

Now we prove that if M_r can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product $\prod_{j=1}^k A_j(\{x_0, \dots, x_{r-1}\}, \varphi)$ of automata from Σ with $k \leq i$, then there exists an automaton $A \in \Sigma$ such that $M_{\text{prime}[\sqrt[r]{r}]}$ can be embedded isomorphically into an α_i -product of A with a single factor, where $\text{prime}[\sqrt[r]{r}]$ denotes the largest prime less than $\sqrt[r]{r}$. Denote by μ such an isomorphism. For any $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ denote by (a_{l1}, \dots, a_{lk}) the image of l under μ . Since μ is a 1-1 mapping thus the elements (a_{l1}, \dots, a_{lk}) ($l=0, \dots, r-1$) are pairwise different. Therefore, there exists an s ($1 \leq s \leq k$) such that the number of pairwise different elements among $a_{0s}, a_{1s}, \dots, a_{r-1s}$ is greater than or equal to $\text{prime}[\sqrt[r]{r}]$. Let $a_{j_{0s}}, \dots, a_{j_{u-1s}}$ denote pairwise different elements, where $u = \text{prime}[\sqrt[r]{r}]$, and denote by \bar{X} the set $\{x_0, \dots, x_{u-1}\}$. Take the α_0 -product $C = \Pi A_s(\bar{X}, \psi)$ with a single factor, where for any $a_{j_{ts}} \in \{a_{j_{0s}}, \dots, a_{j_{u-1s}}\}$ and $x_v \in \bar{X}$, $\psi(a_{j_{ts}}, x_v) = \varphi_s(a_{j_{t1}}, \dots, a_{j_{tk}}, x_d)$ if $\delta_{M_r}(\mu^{-1}(a_{j_{t1}}, \dots, a_{j_{tk}}), x_d) = \mu^{-1}(a_{j_{t+v(\bmod u)1}}, \dots, a_{j_{t+v(\bmod u)k}})$. It is not difficult to prove that M_u can be embedded isomorphically into C which ends the proof of Theorem 2.

From Theorem 2 we get the following.

COROLLARY. A system Σ of automata is isomorphically complete for \mathfrak{R} with respect to the α_i -product if and only if it is isomorphically complete with respect to the α_i -product ($i \geq 1$).

DEPT. OF COMPUTER SCIENCE
A. JÓZSEF UNIVERSITY
ARADI VÉRTANUK TERE 1.
SZEGED, HUNGARY
H-6720

Reference

- [1] GÉCSEG, F., Composition of automata, Proceedings of the 2nd Colloquium on Automata, Languages and Programming, Saarbrücken, Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, v, 14, 1974, pp. 351-363.

(Received Feb. 29, 1980)