

# On a representation of deterministic uniform root-to-frontier tree transformations

By F. GÉCSEG

The concepts of products and complete systems of finite automata can be generalized for ascending algebras in a natural way (see [4]). Results in finite automata theory imply that for most types of products there are no finite complete systems of ascending algebras. Therefore, it is reasonable to investigate a weaker form of completeness to be called  $m$ -completeness when tree transformations are represented up to a finite but not bounded height. In this paper we give necessary and sufficient conditions under which a system of ascending algebras is  $m$ -complete for the class of all deterministic uniform root-to-frontier tree transformations with respect to different kinds of products. Moreover, we show the existence of such finite  $m$ -complete systems.

## 1. Notions and notations

The terms "node of a tree" and "subtree at a given node of a tree" will be used in an informal and obvious way.

The symbol  $R$  will stand for a nonvoid finite rank type with  $0 \notin R$ .

By a *path* of rank type  $R$  we mean a word over  $U(R) = \cup(\{(m, 1), \dots, (m, m)\} | m \in R)$ . The set of all paths with rank type  $R$  will be denoted by  $\text{pt}(R)$ .

Take a ranked alphabet  $\Sigma$  of rank type  $R$ , a tree  $p \in F_X(X_n)$  and a path  $u \in \text{pt}(R)$ . Then the *realization*  $u(p)$  of  $u$  in  $p$  (if it exists) is defined in the following way:

1. if  $u=e$  then  $u(p)=e$  and  $u$  ends in  $p$  at the root of  $p$ ,
2. if  $u=u_1(m, i)$ ,  $u_1(p)$  exists,  $u_1$  ends in  $p$  at the node  $d$  of  $p$  labelled by  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$  then  $u(p)=u_1(p)(\sigma, i)$  and  $u$  ends in  $p$  at the  $i^{\text{th}}$  descendent of  $d$ .

For  $U \subseteq \text{pt}(R)$  and  $T \subseteq F_X(X_n)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) let  $U(T) = \{u(p) | u \in U, p \in T\}$ . One can easily see, that for arbitrary  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\text{pt}(R)(F_X(X_n)) = U(\Sigma)^*$ , where  $U(\Sigma) = \cup(\{(\sigma, 1), \dots, (\sigma, m)\} | \sigma \in \Sigma_m, m > 0)$ .

Let  $\Sigma$  be an operator domain with  $\Sigma_0 = \emptyset$ . A (deterministic) *ascending  $\Sigma$ -algebra*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a pair consisting of a nonempty set  $A$  and a mapping that assigns

to every operator  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  an  $m$ -ary ascending operation  $\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}: A \rightarrow A^m$ , where  $m$  is the arity of  $\sigma$ . The mapping  $\sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$  will not be mentioned explicitly, but we write  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$ . If  $\Sigma$  is not specified then we speak about an ascending algebra. The ascending  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite if both  $A$  and  $\Sigma$  are finite. Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}$  has rank type  $R$  if  $\Sigma$  is of rank type  $R$ . The class of all finite ascending  $\Sigma$ -algebras of rank type  $R$  will be denoted by  $K(R)$ . If there is no danger of confusion then we omit  $\mathcal{A}$  in  $\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}$ .

In this paper by an algebra we mean a finite deterministic ascending algebra.

A (*deterministic*) *root-to-frontier*  $\Sigma X_n$ -recognizer or a  $(D)R\Sigma X_n$ -recognizer, for short, is a system  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathcal{A}, a_0, X_n, \mathbf{a})$ , where

- (1)  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$  is a finite  $\Sigma$ -algebra,
- (2)  $a_0 \in A$  is the *initial state*,
- (3)  $\mathbf{a} = (A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)}) \in P(A)^n$  is the *final-state vector*.

Next we recall the concept of a tree transducer.

A *root-to-frontier tree transducer* ( $R$ -transducer) is a system  $\mathfrak{U} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, A', P)$ , where

- (1)  $\Sigma$  and  $\Omega$  are ranked alphabets,
  - (2)  $X_n$  and  $Y_m$  are the *frontier alphabets*,
  - (3)  $A$  is a ranked alphabet consisting of unary operators, the *state set* of  $\mathfrak{U}$ .
- (It is assumed that  $A$  is disjoint with all other sets in the definition of  $\mathfrak{U}$ , except  $A'$ .)
- (4)  $A' \subseteq A$  is the set of *initial states*,
  - (5)  $P$  is a finite set of *productions* of the following two types:
    - (i)  $ax_i \rightarrow q$  ( $a \in A, x_i \in X_n, q \in F_\Omega(Y_m)$ ),
    - (ii)  $a\sigma \rightarrow q$  ( $a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma, l \geq 0, q \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup A\xi_l)$ ). ( $\xi = \{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots\}$  is the set of auxiliary variables.)

The *transformation* induced by  $\mathfrak{U}$  will be denoted by  $\tau_{\mathfrak{U}}$ .

The  $R$ -transducer  $\mathfrak{U}$  is *deterministic* if  $A' = \{a_0\}$  is a singleton and there are no distinct productions in  $P$  with the same left side. Moreover, the  $R$ -transducer  $\mathfrak{U}$  is *uniform* if each production  $a\sigma \rightarrow q$  ( $a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma, l \geq 0, q \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup A\xi_l)$ ) can be written in the form  $a\sigma \rightarrow \bar{q}(a_1\xi_1, \dots, a_l\xi_l)$  for some  $\bar{q} \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup \xi_l)$ . In this paper by a transducer we shall mean a deterministic uniform  $R$ -transducer. One can easily see that for every transducer  $\mathfrak{U} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, a_0, P)$  there exists a transducer  $\mathfrak{B} = (\Sigma, X_n, B, \Omega', Y_m, b_0, P')$  such that (i) for arbitrary  $b \in B$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma_m$  with  $m > 0$  there is exactly one production in  $P'$  with left side  $b\sigma$ , and (ii)  $\tau_{\mathfrak{B}} = \tau_{\mathfrak{U}}$ . In the sequel we shall confine ourselves to transducers having property (i) and  $\Sigma_0 = \emptyset$ .

To a transducer  $\mathfrak{U} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, a_0, P)$  we can correspond an  $R\Sigma X_n$ -recognizer  $\mathbf{A} = (\mathcal{A}, a_0, X_n, \mathbf{a})$  with  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{a} = (A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)})$ , where

- (1) for arbitrary  $l > 0, \sigma \in \Sigma_l, a \in A$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in A^l$  if  $(a_1, \dots, a_l) = \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a)$  then  $a\sigma \rightarrow q(a_1\xi_1, \dots, a_l\xi_l) \in P$  for some  $q \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup \xi_l)$ ,
- (2)  $a \in A^{(i)}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) if and only if  $ax_i \rightarrow q \in P$  for some  $q \in F_\Omega(Y_m)$ .

The class of all recognizers obtained from  $\mathfrak{U}$  in the above way will be denoted by  $\text{rec}(\mathfrak{U})$ .

Now take an  $R\Sigma X_n$ -recognizer  $\mathbf{A}=(\mathcal{A}, a_0, X_n, \mathbf{a})$  with  $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{a}=(A^{(1)}, \dots, A^{(n)})$ . Define a transducer  $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, Y_m, a_0, P)$  by

$$P = \{ax_i \rightarrow q^{(a,i)} \mid a \in A^{(i)}, q^{(a,i)} \in F_\Omega(Y_m), i = 1, \dots, n\} \cup \\ \cup \{a\sigma \rightarrow q^{(a,\sigma)}(a_1\xi_1, \dots, a_l\xi_l) \mid a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma_l, l > 0, \\ (a_1, \dots, a_l) = \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a), q^{(a,\sigma)} \in F_\Omega(Y_m \cup \Xi_l)\},$$

where the ranked alphabet  $\Omega$ , the integer  $m$  and the trees on the right sides of the productions in  $P$  are fixed arbitrarily. Denote by  $\text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$  the class of all transducers obtained from  $\mathbf{A}$  in the above way. Obviously, for arbitrary transducer  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \in \text{rec}(\mathfrak{A})$  the inclusion  $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$  holds. Therefore, we have

**Statement 1.** For every transducer  $\mathfrak{A}$  there exists a recognizer  $\mathbf{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A} \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$ .

Next we recall the concept of a product of ascending algebras (see [4]).

Let  $\Sigma, \Sigma^1, \dots, \Sigma^k$  be ranked alphabets of rank type  $R$ , and consider the  $\Sigma^i$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}_i=(A_i, \Sigma^i)$  ( $i=1, \dots, k$ ). Furthermore, let

$$\psi = \{\psi_m : A_1 \times \dots \times A_k \times \Sigma_m \rightarrow \Sigma_m^1 \times \dots \times \Sigma_m^k \mid m \in R\}$$

be a family of mappings. Then by the *product* of  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$  with respect to  $\psi$  we mean the  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\psi(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k, \Sigma) = \mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$  with  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_k$  and for arbitrary  $m \in R, \sigma \in \Sigma_m$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in A$

$$\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{a}) = ((\text{pr}_1(\sigma_1^{\mathcal{A}^1}(\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}))), \dots, \text{pr}_1(\sigma_k^{\mathcal{A}^k}(\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a})))), \dots \\ \dots, (\text{pr}_m(\sigma_1^{\mathcal{A}^1}(\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{a}))), \dots, \text{pr}_m(\sigma_k^{\mathcal{A}^k}(\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{a}))))),$$

where  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \psi_m(\mathbf{a}, \sigma)$  and  $\text{pr}_i(\mathbf{a})$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) denotes the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\mathbf{a}$ .

To define special types of products let us write  $\psi_m$  in the form  $\psi_m = (\psi_m^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_m^{(k)})$  where for arbitrary  $\mathbf{a} \in A$  and  $\sigma \in \Sigma_m, \psi_m(\mathbf{a}, \sigma) = (\psi_m^{(1)}(\mathbf{a}, \sigma), \dots, \psi_m^{(k)}(\mathbf{a}, \sigma))$ . We say that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an  $\alpha_i$ -product ( $i=0, 1, \dots$ ) if for arbitrary  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ) and  $m \in R, \psi_m^{(j)}$  is independent of its  $u^{\text{th}}$  component if  $i+j \leq u \leq k$ . If  $\Sigma^1 = \dots = \Sigma^k = \Sigma$  and  $\psi_m(\mathbf{a}, \sigma) = (\sigma, \dots, \sigma)$  for arbitrary  $m \in R, \sigma \in \Sigma_m$  and  $\mathbf{a} \in A$  then  $\mathcal{A}$  is the *direct product* of  $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k$ . In the case of an  $\alpha_i$ -product in  $\psi_m^{(j)}$  we shall indicate only those variables on which  $\psi_m^{(j)}$  may depend.

One can see easily that the formation of the product,  $\alpha_0$ -product and direct product is associative. (This is not true for the  $\alpha_i$ -product with  $i > 0$ .)

Let  $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_u, A, \Omega, Y_v, a_0, P)$  and  $\mathfrak{B}=(\Sigma, X_u, B, \Omega, Y_v, b_0, P')$  be two transducers and  $m \geq 0$  an integer. We write  $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$  if  $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}}(p) = \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}(p)$  for every  $p \in F_\Sigma^m(X_u)$ , where  $F_\Sigma^m(X_u)$  denotes the set of all trees from  $F_\Sigma(X_u)$  with height less than or equal to  $m$ .

Take a class  $K$  of algebras of rank type  $R$ . We say that  $K$  is *metrically complete* ( $m$ -complete, for short) with respect to the product ( $\alpha_i$ -product) if for arbitrary transducer  $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_u, A, \Omega, Y_v, a_0, P)$  and integer  $m \geq 0$  there exist a product ( $\alpha_i$ -product)  $\mathfrak{B}=(B, \Sigma)$  of algebras from  $K$ , an element  $b_0 \in B$  and a vector  $\mathbf{b} \in P(B)^u$  such that  $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$  for some  $\mathfrak{B} \in \text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$ , where  $\mathbf{B}=(\mathfrak{B}, b_0, X_u, \mathbf{b})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)$  be an arbitrary algebra from  $K(R)$ . We correspond to  $\mathcal{A}$  a semiautomaton  $s(\mathcal{A})=(I_{\mathcal{A}}, A, \delta_{\mathcal{A}})$ , where  $I_{\mathcal{A}}=U(\Sigma)$  and for arbitrary  $a \in A$  and  $(\sigma, i) \in I_{\mathcal{A}}, \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, (\sigma, i)) = \text{pr}_i(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{a}))$ .

Take a  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)\in K(R)$ , an element  $a\in A$  and an integer  $m\geq 0$ . We say that the system  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  is  $m$ -free if the initial semiautomaton  $s(\mathcal{A}, a)=(I_{\mathcal{A}}, A, a, \delta_{\mathcal{A}})$  is  $m$ -free. (For the definition of  $m$ -free semiautomata, see [1]. In [1] initial semiautomata are called initial automata. Moreover, here it is not supposed that  $s(\mathcal{A}, a)$  is connected.)

For the system  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  and integer  $m\geq 0$  set  $A_a^{(m)}=\{\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, p)|p\in I_{\mathcal{A}}^*, |p|\leq m\}$ , where  $|p|$  denotes the length of  $p$ . Moreover,  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, e)=a$  and  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, p(\sigma, i))=\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, p), (\sigma, i))$  ( $p\in I_{\mathcal{A}}^*$ ,  $(\sigma, i)\in I_{\mathcal{A}}$ ).

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  be two systems with  $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma)\in K(R)$ . A mapping  $\varphi$  of  $A_a^{(m)}$  onto  $B_b^{(m)}$  is an  $m$ -homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  onto  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $\varphi(a)=b$ ,
- (2)  $\varphi(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a'))=\sigma^{\mathcal{B}}(\varphi(a'))$  ( $a'\in A_a^{(m-1)}$ ,  $\sigma\in\Sigma_l$ ,  $l>0$ ).

If the above  $\varphi$  is also one-to-one then we speak about an  $m$ -isomorphism and say that  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  are  $m$ -isomorphic. In notation,  $(\mathcal{A}, a)\stackrel{m}{\cong}(\mathcal{B}, b)$ . One can easily prove the following statements.

**Statement 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma)\in K(R)$  and  $a\in A$ ,  $b\in B$  be arbitrary. For an integer  $m\geq 0$ ,  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  is an  $m$ -homomorphic image of  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  if and only if  $s(\mathcal{B}, b)$  is an  $m$ -homomorphic image of  $s(\mathcal{A}, a)$ .

**Statement 3.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  be the systems of Statement 2. For arbitrary  $m\geq 0$ ,

- (1) if  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  is  $m$ -free then  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  is an  $m$ -homomorphic image of  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$ ,
- (2) if  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  is  $m$ -free and  $m$ -isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  then  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  is also  $m$ -free, and
- (3) if both  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  are  $m$ -free then they are  $m$ -isomorphic.

The next statement is also obvious.

**Statement 4.** Take two systems  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  and  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  ( $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)$ ,  $\mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma)\in K(R)$ ,  $a\in A$ ,  $b\in B$ ). Moreover, let  $m\geq 0$  be an integer. If  $(\mathcal{B}, b)$  is an  $m$ -homomorphic image of  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  then for arbitrary  $u\geq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{b}\in P(B)^u$ ,  $\mathbf{B}=(\mathcal{B}, b, X_u, \mathbf{b})$  and  $\mathfrak{B}=(\Sigma, X_u, B, \Omega, Y_u, b, P')\in\text{tr}(\mathbf{B})$  there exist an  $\mathbf{a}\in P(A)^u$ , an  $\mathbf{A}=(\mathcal{A}, a, X_u, \mathbf{a})$  and an  $\mathfrak{A}=(\Sigma, X_u, A, \Omega, Y_u, a, P)\in\text{tr}(\mathbf{A})$  such that  $\tau_{\mathfrak{B}}\stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  be a system with  $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Sigma)\in K(R)$  and  $a\in A$  an element. We say that for an integer  $m\geq 0$  the algebra  $\mathcal{B}=(B, \Sigma)$   $m$ -isomorphically represents  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  if there exists a  $b\in B$  such that  $(\mathcal{A}, a)\stackrel{m}{\cong}(\mathcal{B}, b)$ .

The  $\alpha_i$ -product and the  $\alpha_j$ -product ( $i, j\geq 0$ ) will be called *metrically equivalent* ( $m$ -equivalent) provided that a system of algebras is  $m$ -complete with respect to the  $\alpha_i$ -product if and only if it is  $m$ -complete with respect to the  $\alpha_j$ -product. The  $m$ -equivalence between an  $\alpha_i$ -product and the product is defined similarly.

Finally, we shall suppose that every finite index set  $I=\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  is given together with a (fixed) ordering of its elements. Furthermore, for arbitrary system  $\{a_i|i_j\in I\}$ ,  $(a_i|i_j\in I)$  is the vector  $(a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, \dots, a_{i_k})$  if  $i_1<i_2<\dots<i_k$  is the ordering of  $I$ .

For terminology not defined here, see [2] and [3].

### 2. Metrically complete systems

In this section we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a system of ascending algebras to be  $m$ -complete with respect to the  $\alpha_i$ -products ( $i=0, 1, \dots$ ) and the product. We shall see that the  $\alpha_i$ -products are  $m$ -equivalent to each other and they are  $m$ -equivalent to the product.

We start with

**Theorem 1.** A system  $K \subseteq K(R)$  is  $m$ -complete with respect to the product ( $\alpha_i$ -product) if and only if for every  $m \geq 0$  each  $m$ -free system  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  with  $\mathcal{A} \in K(R)$  can be represented  $m$ -isomorphically by a product ( $\alpha_i$ -product) of algebras from  $K$ .

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious by Statements 3 and 4.

To prove the necessity take an arbitrary  $m$ -free system  $(\mathcal{A}, a_0)$  with  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma) \in K(R)$ . Consider the transducer  $\mathfrak{A} = (\Sigma, X_n, A, \Omega, A \times X_n, a_0, P)$ , where  $n > 1$  is an arbitrary natural number,  $\Omega_l = A \times \Sigma_l$  ( $l > 0$ ) and  $P$  consists of the following productions:

- (1)  $ax_i \rightarrow (a, x_i)$  ( $a \in A, x_i \in X_n$ ),
- (2)  $a\sigma \rightarrow (a, \sigma)$  ( $a_1\xi_1, \dots, a_l\xi_l$ ) ( $a \in A, \sigma \in \Sigma, l > 0, \sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a) = (a_1, \dots, a_l)$ ).

Let  $\mathfrak{B} = (B, \Sigma)$  be a product ( $\alpha_i$ -product) of algebras from  $K$  such that for a  $\mathfrak{B} = (\Sigma, X_n, B, \Omega, A \times X_n, b_0, P') \in \text{tr}(\mathfrak{B})$  we have  $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , where  $\mathfrak{B} = (\mathfrak{B}, b_0, X_n, \mathfrak{b})$  ( $b_0 \in B, \mathfrak{b} \in P(\mathfrak{B})^n$ ). We show that  $(\mathfrak{B}, b_0)$  is  $m$ -free. This, by Statement 3, will imply that  $(\mathcal{A}, a_0) \stackrel{m}{=} (\mathfrak{B}, b_0)$ .

First of all observe that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a totally defined, linear, nondeleting transducer inducing a one-to-one transformation. Moreover, in a tree  $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}}(p)$  with  $h(p) \leq m$  no subtree occurs more than once. Therefore, by  $\tau_{\mathfrak{A}} \stackrel{m}{=} \tau_{\mathfrak{B}}$ , all productions occurring in a derivation  $b_0 p \Rightarrow^* q$  ( $p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n), q \in F_{\Omega}(X_n \times A)$ ) with  $h(p) \leq m$  are linear and nondeleting. Thus, we have the following relation between derivations in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Let  $u \in \text{pt}(R)$  be a path with  $|u| \leq m$ . Take a tree  $p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$  with  $h(p) \leq m$ , and assume that  $u(p)$  is defined, it ends in  $p$  at the node  $d$ ,  $p'$  is the subtree of  $p$  at  $d$ ,  $\bar{p}(\xi_1)$  is obtained from  $p$  by replacing the occurrence of  $p'$  at  $d$  by  $\xi_1$ ,  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(p)) = a$  and  $\delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_0, u(p)) = b$ . Then the following derivations are valid:

$$a_0 p = a_0 \bar{p}(p') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}}^* q_1(a p') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}}^* q_1(q') = q$$

and

$$b_0 p = b_0 \bar{p}(p') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2(b p') \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2(q'') = q,$$

where  $a_0 \bar{p}(\xi_1) \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}}^* q_1(a \xi_1)$ ,  $b_0 \bar{p}(\xi_1) \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2(b \xi_1)$  ( $q_1, q_2 \in F_{\Omega}(A \times X_n \cup \xi_1)$ ) and  $a p' \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{A}}^* q'$ ,  $b p' \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q''$  ( $q', q'' \in F_{\Omega}(A \times X_n)$ ). (Observe that  $\xi_1$  occurs exactly once in  $q_1$  and  $q_2$ .) Furthermore, if  $v_1 \in \text{pt}(R)$  is the path such that  $v_1(q_1)$  ends in  $q_1$  at the node labelled by  $\xi_1$  and  $v_2 \in \text{pt}(R)$  is the path for which  $v_2(q_2)$  ends in  $q_2$  at the node labelled by  $\xi_1$  then  $v_2(q_2)$  is a subword of  $v_1(q_1)$ .

Now assume that  $(\mathfrak{B}, b_0)$  is not  $m$ -free, that is there are two distinct words  $u, v \in I_{\mathfrak{B}}^*$  ( $= I_{\mathcal{A}}^*$ ) such that  $|u|, |v| \leq m$  and  $\delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_0, u) = \delta_{\mathfrak{B}}(b_0, v) = b$ . Let  $\bar{u}, \bar{v} \in \text{pt}(R)$  be paths and  $p_1, p_2 \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$  trees such that  $\bar{u}(p_1) = u, \bar{v}(p_2) = v, h(p_1), h(p_2) \leq m, u$  ends in  $p_1$  at the node  $d_1$  and  $v$  ends in  $p_2$  at the node  $d_2$ . Replace in  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  the subtrees at  $d_1$  resp.  $d_2$  by  $x_1$ , and denote by  $\bar{p}_1$  resp.  $\bar{p}_2$  the resulting

trees. Moreover, let  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u) = a_1$  and  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, v) = a_2$ . (Note that  $a_1 \neq a_2$  since  $u \neq v$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, a_0)$  is  $m$ -free.) Then, by the choice of  $\mathfrak{U}$ , if  $q_1, q_2 \in F_{\Omega}(A \times X_n)$  are obtained by the derivations  $a_0 \bar{p}_1 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{U}}^* q_1$  and  $a_0 \bar{p}_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{U}}^* q_2$  then  $\bar{u}(q_1)$  ends in  $q_1$  at a node labelled by  $(a_1, x_1)$  and  $\bar{v}(q_2)$  ends in  $q_2$  at a node labelled by  $(a_2, x_1)$ . Moreover, by  $\tau_{\mathfrak{B}}^m = \tau_{\mathfrak{A}}$ ,  $b_0 \bar{p}_1 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_1$  and  $b_0 \bar{p}_2 \Rightarrow_{\mathfrak{B}}^* q_2$  hold also. From this, taking into consideration our observation concerning the relation between derivations in  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{B}$ , we get that at the ends of  $\bar{u}(q_1)$  and  $\bar{v}(q_2)$  the same label should occur which is a contradiction.

The next theorem gives necessary conditions for a system of ascending algebras to be  $m$ -complete with respect to the product.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $K \subseteq K(R)$  be a system which is  $m$ -complete with respect to the product. Then the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) for arbitrary integer  $m \geq 0$ , path  $\bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$  with  $|\bar{u}| = m$ , rank  $l \in R$  and natural number  $1 \leq i \leq l$  there exist an  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma') \in K$ , an  $a_0 \in A$ ,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma'_i$  and a  $u \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma'}(X_1))$  such that  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_1, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_2, i))$ ,

(ii) for arbitrary integer  $m \geq 0$ , path  $\bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$  with  $|\bar{u}| = m$ , rank  $l \in R$  ( $l > 1$ ) and integers  $1 \leq i < j \leq l$  there exist an  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma) \in K$ , an  $a_0 \in A$ , a  $\sigma \in \Sigma_l$  and a  $u \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma}(X_1))$  such that  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma, j))$ .

*Proof.* We start with the necessity of (i). Assume that there are  $m \geq 0$ ,  $\bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$  with  $|\bar{u}| = m$ ,  $l \in R$  and  $1 \leq i \leq l$  such that for arbitrary  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma') \in K$ ,  $a_0 \in A$ ,  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma'_i$  and  $u \in \bar{u}(F_{\Sigma'}(X_1))$  the equation  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_1, i)) = \delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a_0, u(\sigma_2, i))$  holds. Take a ranked alphabet  $\Sigma$  of rank type  $R$  such that  $\Sigma_l$  contains two distinct elements  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ . Moreover, consider a product  $\mathcal{B} = (B, \Sigma) = \psi(\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_k, \Sigma)$  ( $\mathcal{A}_i = (A_i, \Sigma^i) \in K$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ) and an element  $\mathbf{b}_0 \in B$ . We show that the system  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{b}_0)$  is not  $(m+1)$ -free.

First of all let us introduce a notation. Consider the above product  $\mathcal{B}$  and define the mappings  $\psi^i: B \times F_{\Sigma}(X_n) \rightarrow F_{\Sigma^i}(X_n)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, k; n \geq 0$ ) in the following way: for arbitrary  $\mathbf{b} \in B$  and  $p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$

- (1) if  $p = x_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) then  $\psi^i(\mathbf{b}, p) = x_j$ ,
- (2) if  $p = \sigma(p_1, \dots, p_l)$  then  $\psi^i(\mathbf{b}, p) = \sigma_i(\psi^i(\mathbf{b}_1, p_1), \dots, \psi^i(\mathbf{b}_l, p_l))$ , where  $(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k) = \psi_l(\mathbf{b}, \sigma)$  and  $(\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_l) = \sigma^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b})$ .

One can see easily that for arbitrary  $\mathbf{b} \in B$ ,  $p \in F_{\Sigma}(X_n)$  and  $\bar{u} \in \text{pt}(R)$  the equation  $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, \bar{u}(p)) = (\delta_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{b}), \bar{u}(\psi^1(\mathbf{b}, p))), \dots, \delta_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{b}), \bar{u}(\psi^k(\mathbf{b}, p))))$  holds.

Now take two trees  $p, q \in F_{\Sigma}(X_1)$  such that  $(\bar{u}(l, i))(p) = u(\sigma, i)$  and  $(\bar{u}(l, i))(q) = u(\sigma', i)$ . For every  $j (= 1, \dots, k)$  let  $(\bar{u}(l, i))(\psi^j(\mathbf{b}_0, p)) = u_j(\sigma^{(j)}, i)$  and  $(\bar{u}(l, i))(\psi^j(\mathbf{b}_0, q)) = v_j(\bar{\sigma}^{(j)}, i)$ . By the definition of the product, the equations  $u_j = v_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) obviously hold. Moreover,

$$\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}_0, u(\sigma, i)) = (\delta_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{b}_0), u_1(\sigma^{(1)}, i)), \dots, \delta_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{b}_0), u_k(\sigma^{(k)}, i)))$$

and

$$\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}_0, u(\sigma', i)) = (\delta_{\mathcal{A}_1}(\text{pr}_1(\mathbf{b}_0), u_1(\bar{\sigma}^{(1)}, i)), \dots, \delta_{\mathcal{A}_k}(\text{pr}_k(\mathbf{b}_0), u_k(\bar{\sigma}^{(k)}, i))).$$

But, by our assumptions,  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}_j}(\text{pr}_j(\mathbf{b}_0), u_j(\sigma^{(j)}, i)) = \delta_{\mathcal{A}_j}(\text{pr}_j(\mathbf{b}_0), u_j(\bar{\sigma}^{(j)}, i))$  for every  $j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ), i.e.,  $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}_0, u(\sigma, i)) = \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}_0, u(\sigma', i))$ . Therefore,  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{b}_0)$  is not  $(m+1)$ -free which, by Theorem 1, implies that  $K$  is not  $m$ -complete with respect to the product.

The necessity of (ii) can be shown in a similar way.

**Theorem 3.** If a system  $K \subseteq K(R)$  satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 2 then  $K$  is  $m$ -complete with respect to the  $\alpha_0$ -product.

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be a fixed ranked alphabet of rank type  $R$ . We shall show by induction on  $m$  that for every integer  $m \geq 0$  there are an  $\alpha_0$ -product  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}, \Sigma)$  of algebras from  $K$  and an element  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{b})$  is  $m$ -free. This, by Theorem 1, will end the proof of Theorem 3.

If  $m = 0$  then our claim is obviously valid. Let us suppose that our statement has been proved for an  $m \geq 0$ , and take a product  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$  of algebras from  $K$  and an element  $a \in A$  such that  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  is  $m$ -free. By our assumption, for every  $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_1(l, i)$  ( $\bar{u}_1 \in \text{pt}(R)$ ,  $l \in R$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) there are an  $\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})} = (A^{(\bar{u})}, \Sigma^{(\bar{u})}) \in K$ , an  $a^{(\bar{u})} \in A^{(\bar{u})}$ , two operators  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_l^{(\bar{u})}$  and a  $u_1 \in \bar{u}_1(F_\Sigma(X_1))$  such that  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}}(a^{(\bar{u})}, u_1(\sigma_1, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}}(a^{(\bar{u})}, u_1(\sigma_2, i))$ . Moreover, for arbitrary  $\bar{u} = \bar{u}_1(l, i)$ ,  $\bar{v} = \bar{v}_1(l, j)$  ( $\bar{u}_1 \in \text{pt}(R)$ ,  $l \in R$ ,  $l > 1$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ ) there are an  $\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} = (A^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, \Sigma^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})})$ , an  $a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})} \in A^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}$ , a  $u_1 \in \bar{u}_1(F_\Sigma(X_1))$  and a  $\bar{\sigma} \in \Sigma_l^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}$  such that  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}}(a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, u_1(\bar{\sigma}, i)) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}}(a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, u_1(\bar{\sigma}, j))$ . Consider an index set  $I$  consisting of all pairs  $(u, v)$  where  $u, v \in U(\Sigma)^*$ ,  $u \neq v$ ,  $|u| = m + 1$  and  $|v| \leq m + 1$ . For the pair  $(u, v)$  with  $u = u'(\sigma, i) \in \bar{u}(F_\Sigma(X_1))$  and  $v = v'(\sigma', j)$  if  $u' \neq v'$  or  $\sigma \neq \sigma'$  take the  $\alpha_0$ -product  $\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)} = \psi^{(u, v)}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}, \Sigma) = (A^{(u, v)}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\psi^{(u, v)}$  is defined in the following way. For every  $s \in R$ ,  $\psi_s^{(u, v)(1)}$  is the identity mapping on  $\Sigma_s$ . If  $w = w_1(\sigma', j)$  ( $\sigma' \in \Sigma_k$ ) is a proper subword of  $u'$  and  $w' = w'_1(\sigma'', j)$  is the subword of  $u_1$  with  $|w'| = |w|$  then let

$$\psi_k^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, w_1), \sigma') = \sigma''.$$

In all other cases, except  $\psi_s^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, u'), \sigma)$ ,  $\psi_s^{(u, v)(2)}$  ( $s \in R$ ) is given arbitrarily in accordance with the definition of the  $\alpha_0$ -product. Since  $u' \neq v'$  or  $\sigma \neq \sigma'$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  is  $m$ -free  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}((a, a^{(\bar{u})}), v)$  is defined. Now let

$$\psi_s^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, u'), \sigma) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1 & \text{if } \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}((a, a^{(\bar{u})}), v) = (a_1, a_2) \\ & \text{and } \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u})}}(a^{(\bar{u})}, u_1(\sigma_1, i)) \neq a_2 \\ \sigma_2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously,  $(\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}, a^{(u, v)})$  with  $a^{(u, v)} = (a, a^{(\bar{u})})$  is  $m$ -free and  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, u) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, v)$ .

Now assume that  $u' = v'$  and  $\sigma = \sigma'$ ; that is  $u = u'(\sigma, i) \in \bar{u}(F_\Sigma(X_1))$  and  $v = u'(\sigma, j) \in \bar{v}(F_\Sigma(X_1))$ . Take the  $\alpha_0$ -product  $\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)} = \psi^{(u, v)}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})}, \Sigma) = (A^{(u, v)}, \Sigma)$ , where  $\psi^{(u, v)}$  is given as follows. Again for every  $s \in R$ ,  $\psi_s^{(u, v)(1)}$  is the identity mapping on  $\Sigma_s$ . If  $w = w_1(\sigma', t)$  ( $\sigma' \in \Sigma_k$ ) is a proper subword of  $u'$  and  $w' = w'_1(\sigma'', t)$  is the subword of  $u_1$  with  $|w'| = |w|$  then let  $\psi_k^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, w_1), \sigma') = \sigma''$ . Moreover,  $\psi_l^{(u, v)(2)}(\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a, u'), \sigma) = \bar{\sigma}$ . In any other cases  $\psi_s^{(u, v)(2)}$  ( $s \in R$ ) is given arbitrarily in accordance with the definition of the  $\alpha_0$ -product. Since  $(\mathcal{A}, a)$  is  $m$ -free  $\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}$  is well defined. Again,  $(\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}, a^{(u, v)})$  with  $a^{(u, v)} = (a, a^{(\bar{u}, \bar{v})})$  is  $m$ -free and  $\delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, u) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)}}(a^{(u, v)}, v)$ .

Finally, take the direct product  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathcal{B}, \Sigma) = \Pi(\mathcal{A}^{(u, v)} | (u, v) \in I)$  and the vector  $\mathbf{b} = (a^{(u, v)} | (u, v) \in I)$ . Then  $(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{b})$  is  $(m + 1)$ -free. Indeed, for two different words  $u, v \in U(\Sigma)^*$  if  $|u|, |v| < m + 1$  then  $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, u) \neq \delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, v)$  since they differ in all of their components, and if  $|u| = m + 1$  and  $|v| \leq m + 1$  then  $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, u)$  and  $\delta_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{b}, v)$

are different at least in their  $(u, v)^{\text{th}}$  components. Since the direct product is a special  $\alpha_0$ -product and the formation of the  $\alpha_0$ -product is associative  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $\alpha_0$ -product of algebras from  $K$ .

From Theorems 2 and 3 we get

**Corollary 4.** For arbitrary  $i, j \geq 0$  the  $\alpha_i$ -product and the  $\alpha_j$ -product are  $m$ -equivalent to each other and they are  $m$ -equivalent to the product.

We now give an algorithm to decide for a finite  $K \subseteq K(R)$  whether  $K$  is  $m$ -complete with respect to the product.

Take an algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma) \in K$ . For arbitrary  $l \in R$  and  $1 \leq i \leq l$  set  $A^{(l,i)} = \{a \in A \mid \text{pr}_i(\sigma_1^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \neq \text{pr}_i(\sigma_2^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \text{ for some } \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_i\}$ . Moreover, for every  $a \in A$  let  $L_a^{(l,i)}$  be the language recognized by the automaton  $\mathcal{A}_a^{(l,i)} = (I_{\mathcal{A}}, A, a, \delta_{\mathcal{A}}, A^{(l,i)})$ . Furthermore, let  $L_{\mathcal{A}}^{(l,i)} = \cup \{L_a^{(l,i)} \mid a \in A\}$  and  $L^{(l,i)} = \cup \{L_{\mathcal{A}}^{(l,i)} \mid \mathcal{A} \in K\}$ . For arbitrary  $l \in R$  ( $l > 1$ ) and  $1 \leq i < j \leq l$  define  $L^{(l,i,j)}$  in a similar way with  $A^{(l,i,j)} = \{a \in A \mid \text{pr}_i(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \neq \text{pr}_j(\sigma^{\mathcal{A}}(a)) \text{ for some } \sigma \in \Sigma_i\}$  instead of  $A^{(l,i)}$ . Finally, denote by  $\bar{\Sigma}$  the union of all ranked alphabets belonging to algebras from  $K$ , and take the language homomorphism  $\varphi: U(\bar{\Sigma})^* \rightarrow U(R)^*$  given by  $\varphi(\sigma, i) = (k, i)$  ( $\sigma \in \bar{\Sigma}$ ,  $r(\sigma) = k$ ), where  $r(\sigma)$  denotes the rank of  $\sigma$ . Then, by Theorems 2 and 3,  $K$  is  $m$ -complete with respect to the product if and only if

- (1) for arbitrary  $l \in R$  and  $1 \leq i \leq l$ ,  $\varphi(L^{(l,i)}) = U(R)^*$ ,
- (2) for arbitrary  $l \in R$  ( $l > 1$ ) and  $1 \leq i < j \leq l$ ,  $\varphi(L^{(l,i,j)}) = U(R)^*$ .

The validity of these equations is decidable effectively.

Finally, for a given rank type  $R$  we give a one-element system which is  $m$ -complete with respect to the product. Let  $\Sigma$  be a ranked alphabet of rank type  $R$  such that for every  $l \in R$ ,  $\Sigma_l = \{\sigma_1^{(l)}, \sigma_2^{(l)}\}$ . Assume that the greatest natural number in  $R$  is  $n$ . Take the  $\Sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (A, \Sigma)$ , where  $A = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $\sigma_1^{(l)}(a_i) = (a_{i+1 \pmod{n+1}}, \dots, a_{i+1 \pmod{n+1}})$  ( $l \in R$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ),  $\sigma_2^{(l)}(a_n) = (a_n, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_{n-l+1})$  ( $l \in R$ ) and for arbitrary  $l \in R$  and  $a_i$  with  $i \neq n$ ,  $\sigma_2^{(l)}(a_i)$  is defined arbitrarily. ( $i+1 \pmod{n+1}$  denotes the least residue of  $i+1$  modulo  $n+1$ .) One can see easily that the system  $K = \{\mathcal{A}\}$  satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] GÉCSEG, F. and I. PEÁK, *Algebraic theory of automata*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1972.
- [2] GÉCSEG, F. and M. STEINBY, Minimal ascending tree automata, *Acta Cybernet.*, v. 4, 1978, pp. 37–44.
- [3] GÉCSEG, F. and M. STEINBY, *Tree automata*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, to appear.
- [4] VIRÁGH, J., Deterministic ascending tree automata II, *Acta Cybernet.*, to appear.

(Received Nov. 2, 1982)