

On the equivalence of the frontier-to-root tree transducers II.

By Z. ZACHAR

In this paper we continue our study started in [6] about the equivalent and isomorphic frontier-to-root transducers (F -transducers). First we introduce the superior F -transducer which can be seen the dual of the inferior F -transducer from part I. Then we deal with a subclass of the class of deterministic F -transducers, namely the class of normalized F -transducers. It will be proved that the strongly normalized forms of equivalent deterministic F -transducers are isomorphic.

Since this paper connects with [6] closely thus we use the notions, notations and results of part I.

1. Notions and notations

Take an arbitrary positive integer k . Let $p_1, p_2 \in T_F(X_n \cup Z_k)$ be arbitrary trees and $z_i \in Z_k$. Then the z_i -product $p_1 \cdot_i p_2$ of p_1 by p_2 is the tree

$$p_2(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, p_1, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_k).$$

For an F -transducer $A = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$ and sets $A_i \subseteq A$ ($i=0, \dots, k$) we denote by $\tau_{A, A_1, \dots, A_k}^A$ the transformation induced by

$$(T_F(X_n \cup Z_k), A, T_G(Y_m \cup Z_k), A_0, \Sigma \cup \{z_i \rightarrow a_i z_i \mid a_i \in A_i, i=1, \dots, k\}).$$

Finally, when we will refer to a definition or a result from a part of our paper if the serial number of the part is I then it will be marked otherwise it will not be.

2. Superior F -transducers

Definition 1. Let $A = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$ be an AF -transducer. The transformation induced by the state $a (\in A)$ can be increased by the tree $q^a \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m) \setminus \{\#\}$ if for all $p \in \text{dom } \tau_{A, a}$ and $q \in \tau_{A, a}(p)$, there is a tree $\tilde{q} \in T_G(Y_m \cup \{\#\})$ satisfying $q = q^a \cdot \tilde{q}$, provided that $\text{range } \tau_A^a$ is not a singleton. The tree q^a increases the transformation τ_A^a maximally if the tree q^a is a proper subtree of a tree \tilde{q}^a then τ_A^a cannot be increased by \tilde{q}^a .

Definition 2. An AF -transducer $A=(T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$ is called a *superior AF -transducer* if none of the transformations induced by its states can be increased by any trees.

Take an AF -transducer $A=(T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$. Assume that for each state $a \in A$ the tree q^a increases τ^a maximally if τ^a can be increased and $q^a = \#$ otherwise. It means that for all $a \in A, p \in \text{dom } \tau_a$ and $q \in \tau_a(p)$ there is a tree \bar{q} such that $q = q^a \cdot \bar{q}$. We suppose that the tree q^a is given for every state $a (\in A)$. Then the following lemma is valid under these notations.

Lemma 3. There is a superior AF -transducer $\bar{A}=(T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \bar{\Sigma})$ which is equivalent to A .

Proof. We shall show that one can construct an AF -transducer

$$\bar{A} = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \bar{\Sigma})$$

such that for each state $a \in A$ the following conditions hold.

- (1) $\text{dom } \tau_A^a = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{A},a}^a$.
- (2) $\text{dom } \tau_{A,a} = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{A},a}$ and $\text{dom } \tau_{A,a} = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{A},a}$.
- (3) $\{(p, q \cdot q^a) | q \in \tau_A^a(p), p \in \text{dom } \tau_A^a\} = \tau_{\bar{A},a}^a$.
- (4) $\{(p, q^a \cdot q) | q \in \tau_{\bar{A},a}(p), p \in \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{A},a}\} = \tau_{A,a}$.

In a way similar to that in the proof of Lemma I.7 we can see that \bar{A} is an equivalent superior AF -transducer for A .

Next we define the rules of $\bar{\Sigma}$ in the following way:

- (i) $x \rightarrow ar \in \Sigma$ ($x \in X_n \cup F_0$) if and only if
 $x \rightarrow a\bar{r} \in \bar{\Sigma}$ where $\bar{r} = r \cdot q^a$,
- (ii) $f(a_1, \dots, a_k) \rightarrow ar \in \Sigma$ ($f \in F_k, k > 0$) if and only if
 $f(a_1, \dots, a_k) \rightarrow a\bar{r} \in \bar{\Sigma}$ where the tree $\bar{r}(q^{a_1}(z_1), \dots, q^{a_k}(z_k))$ equals the tree $r \cdot q^a$.

It is clear that this construction can be made for each rule of form (i). Assume that $f(a_1, \dots, a_k) \rightarrow ar \in \Sigma$ ($f \in F_k, k > 0$).

Then let $p^j \in \text{dom } \tau_A^{a_j}$ and $t_j \in \tau_A^{a_j}(p^j)$ be arbitrary fixed trees ($j=1, \dots, k$). For each index j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} p_j &= f(p^1, \dots, p^{j-1}, \#, p^{j+1}, \dots, p^k), \\ r_j &= r(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, \#, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_k) \text{ and} \\ \bar{r}_j &= r_j \cdot q^a. \end{aligned}$$

It is sufficient to show that for each index j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) there is a tree \bar{q}_j such that $\bar{r}_j = q^{a_j} \cdot \bar{q}_j$. From this we obtain easily that the tree \bar{r} with

$$r \cdot q^a = \bar{r}(q^{a_1}(z_1), \dots, q^{a_k}(z_k))$$

can be constructed.

Let j be an arbitrary index ($1 \leq j \leq k$). If $\bar{r}_j \in T_G(Y_m)$ or $q^{a_j} = \#$ then let $\bar{q}_j = \bar{r}_j$. In this case our statement holds obviously.

We may assume that $\bar{r}_j \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ and $\bar{q}^{a_j} \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m) \setminus \{\#\}$. If $\text{range } \tau^a$ is a singleton then by the construction from Lemma I.2 the tree r is in $T_G(Y_m)$. It follows that $\bar{r}_j \in T_G(Y_m)$ which is a contradiction. It means that $\text{range } \tau^a$ is not a

singleton. From this we obtain that there are trees $p \in \text{dom } \tau_a$ and $q \in \tau_a(p)$ such that $q \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$. It implies that $r_j \cdot q \in \tau_{a_j}(p_j \cdot p)$ and $r_j \cdot q \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$. By Definition 2 we know that $r_j \cdot q = q^{a_j} \cdot \tilde{q}$ under a suitable \tilde{q} . It means that $\tilde{q} \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$. Moreover, one of the inclusions $q^{a_j} \in \text{sub}(r_j)$ and $r_j \in \text{sub}(q^{a_j})$ holds.

Firstly, assume that $q^{a_j} \in \text{sub}(r_j)$. Then there exists a tree $\bar{q} \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ for which $r_j = q^{a_j} \cdot \bar{q}$. In this case let $\bar{q}_j = \bar{q} \cdot q^a$. It means that $\bar{r}_j = r_j \cdot q^a = q^{a_j} \cdot \bar{q} \cdot q^a = q^{a_j} \cdot \bar{q}_j$.

Secondly, assume that $r_j \in \text{sub}(q^{a_j})$. Then there is a tree $\bar{q} \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m) \setminus \{\#\}$ for which $q^{a_j} = r_j \cdot \bar{q}$. We have that for each tree $p \in \text{dom } \tau_a$ and $q \in \tau_a(p)$, the inclusion $r_j \cdot q \in \tau_{a_j}(p_j \cdot p)$ holds. Moreover, there is a tree \tilde{q} such that $r_j \cdot q = q^{a_j} \cdot \tilde{q}$. From this we obtain that $r_j \cdot q = r_j \cdot \bar{q} \cdot \tilde{q}$. Since $r_j \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ the equality $q = \bar{q} \cdot \tilde{q}$ holds, too. It means that τ^a can be increased by the tree \bar{q} .

On the other hand we have that $q = q^a \cdot \tilde{q}$ under a suitable tree \tilde{q} . Since the tree q^a increases τ^a maximally thus from the two equalities above we get $\bar{q} \in \text{sub}(q^a)$ i.e., there exists a \bar{q} for which $\bar{q} \cdot \tilde{q} = q^a$. Let $\bar{q}_j = \bar{q}$. It follows that $\bar{r}_j = r_j \cdot q^a = r_j \cdot \bar{q} \cdot \tilde{q} = q^{a_j} \cdot \bar{q} = q^{a_j} \cdot \bar{q}_j$.

It means that our statement is valid, thus the rules of $\bar{\Sigma}$ can be constructed.

Finally, one can see easily that the F -transducer $\bar{A} = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \bar{\Sigma})$ constructed in this way satisfies conditions (1)–(4).

This ends the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. There is an algorithm to decide for each AF -transducer

$$A = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$$

and arbitrary state $a (\in A)$ whether the transformation τ^a can be increased. Moreover, every tree q^a can be given effectively which increases τ^a .

Proof. We have that if the transformation τ^a can be increased by the tree q^a then $h(q^a) \leq \min(\tau_a(p) | p \in \text{dom } \tau_a)$. It means that the number of trees which increases τ^a is finite. Moreover, by the proof of Lemma 3 it is easy to see that for each tree q^a the transformation τ^a is increased by q^a if and only if the rules of Σ can be rewritten according to the conditions (i)–(ii) from the proof of Lemma 3. From this the statement of our lemma is obtained obviously.

3. Normalized F -transducers

Definition 5. A deterministic AF -transducer $A = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$ is called a *normalized F -transducer* (NF -transducer) if conditions (i) and (ii) below hold.

(i) For every state $a \in A$, range τ^a is either a singleton or infinite.

(ii) For all states a, \bar{a} if both range τ^a and range $\tau^{\bar{a}}$ are infinite, $\text{dom } \tau_a = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a}}$ and there exist trees $q, \bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$ such that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a$, $q \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ then at least one of the following conditions are satisfied.

(ii₁) There are trees $r, \bar{r} \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ such that at least one of them is equal to the tree $\#$ and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a$ the equality $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ holds.

(ii₂) The sets range $\tau^a \cap q$ and range $\tau^{\bar{a}} \cap \bar{q}$ are empty.

The next lemma, in a different form, can be found in [2]. The proof can be performed easily thus it is omitted.

Lemma 6. Let $q_j, r_j \in T_G(Y_m \cup Z)$ be arbitrary trees ($j=1, \dots, 5$). For each positive integer i the equalities (1)—(7) imply the equality (8).

- (1) $r_1 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_5$
- (2) $r_1 \cdot i r_2 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_2 \cdot i q_5$
- (3) $r_1 \cdot i r_3 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_3 \cdot i q_5$
- (4) $r_1 \cdot i r_4 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_4 \cdot i q_5$
- (5) $r_1 \cdot i r_2 \cdot i r_3 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_2 \cdot i q_3 \cdot i q_5$
- (6) $r_1 \cdot i r_2 \cdot i r_4 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_2 \cdot i q_4 \cdot i q_5$
- (7) $r_1 \cdot i r_3 \cdot i r_4 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_3 \cdot i q_4 \cdot i q_5$
- (8) $r_1 \cdot i r_2 \cdot i r_3 \cdot i r_4 \cdot i r_5 = q_1 \cdot i q_2 \cdot i q_3 \cdot i q_4 \cdot i q_5$

Lemma 7. For any deterministic F -transducer $A = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$ an equivalent NF -transducer can be constructed.

Proof. Let $K = \max(h(\tau^a(p)) | p \in \text{dom } \tau^a, a \in A, h(p) \leq \|A\|)$ and $L_1 = \max(h(\tau_a(p)) | p \in \text{dom } \tau_a, a \in A, h(p) \leq 4 \cdot \|A\|^2)$, $L_2 = \max(h(\tau_a(p)) | p \in \text{dom } \tau_a, a \in A, h(p) \leq 2 \cdot \|A\|, h^\#(p) \leq \|A\|)$ and $L = L_1 + L_2$.

Moreover, set $Q = \{q | q \in T_G(Y_m), h(q) \leq \max(K, L)\}$ and $C = Q \cup \{\#\}$. Construct the deterministic F -transducer

$$A^1 = (T_F(X_n), A \times C, T_G(Y_m), A' \times C, \Sigma^1)$$

such that $x \rightarrow (a, c)r \in \Sigma^1$ if and only if $x \rightarrow ar \in \Sigma$ and $c=r$, moreover,

$$f((a_1, c_1), \dots, (a_k, c_k)) \rightarrow (a, c)r \in \Sigma^1$$

if and only if $f(a_1, \dots, a_k) \rightarrow ar \in \Sigma$ where c and r are defined in the following way. Let $q = \bar{r}(c_1(z_1), \dots, c_k(z_k))$. If $q \in Q$ then $c=q$ otherwise $c=\#$. If $a \notin A'$ and $q \in Q$ then $r=y_1$ otherwise $r=q$. It is obvious that A and A^1 are equivalent. Eliminating surplus states and rules in a standard way we get a connected deterministic F -transducer $B = (T_F(X_n), B, T_G(Y_m), B', \Sigma_B)$ where $B \subseteq A \times C$, $B' \subseteq A' \times C$ and $\Sigma_B \subseteq \Sigma^1$. It is clear that B and A^1 are equivalent.

We will show that B is an NF -transducer. Take an arbitrary state $b = (a, c) \in B$. By our construction it is clear that $\text{dom } \tau_{A,a} = \text{dom } \tau_{B,b}$ and if $p \in \text{dom } \tau_B^b$ then $p \in \text{dom } \tau_A^a$, moreover, if $c = \#$ then the equality $\tau_A^a(p) = \tau_B^b(p)$ holds, too.

Assume that $c = \#$. Then for each tree $p \in \text{dom } \tau_B^b (\subseteq \text{dom } \tau_A^a)$ the inequality $h(\tau_B^b(p)) > \max(K, L) \geq K$ holds. It follows that there are trees p_1, p_2, p_3 and a state \bar{a} such that $p = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot p_3$, $p_1 \in \text{dom } \tau_{A,\bar{a}}^a$, $p_2 \in \text{dom } \tau_{A,\bar{a}}^a$, $p_3 \in \text{dom } \tau_{A,\bar{a}}^a$ and $h^\#(\tau_{A,\bar{a}}^a(p_2)) > 0$, $\tau_{A,\bar{a}}^a(p_3) \in \bar{T}_G(Y_m)$. From this we obtain that $p^k = p_1 \cdot p_2^k \cdot p_3 \in \text{dom } \tau_A^a$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$) and the trees $\tau_A^a(p^k)$ are pairwise different. Since $\text{range } \tau_B^b = \text{range } \tau_A^a \setminus Q$ thus $\text{range } \tau_B^b$ is infinite. Moreover, we have that for all trees $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_{B,b}$ and $p \in \text{dom } \tau_B^b$ the equality $\tau_A^a(\bar{p}) \cdot \tau_{A,a}(\bar{p}) = \tau_B^b(p) \cdot \tau_{B,b}(\bar{p})$ holds. From this we obtain that $\tau_{A,a} = \tau_{B,b}$.

Furthermore, we know that if $c \in Q$ then $\text{range } \tau_B^b$ is a singleton and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_{B,b} \setminus \{\#\}$, $\tau_{B,b}(\bar{p}) \in T_G(Y_m)$. It follows that **B** is a deterministic *AF*-transducer and condition (i) of Definition 5 holds for **B**.

Then we have to prove that condition (ii) of Definition 5 can be satisfied. Take arbitrary states $b, \bar{b} \in B$ and trees $q, \bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$. Let $b = (a, c)$ and $\bar{b} = (\bar{a}, \bar{c})$. Assume that $\text{dom } \tau_b = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{b}}$, both $\text{range } \tau^b$ and $\text{range } \tau^{\bar{b}}$ are infinite, moreover, for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_b$ the equality $q \cdot \tau_b(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \tau_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ holds. In this case we have that $c = \bar{c} = \#$ and $\text{dom } \tau_a = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a}}$.

It is sufficient to show that if at least one of two trees q and \bar{q} is higher than L then the following condition (ii₁)' holds.

(ii₁)' There are trees $r, \bar{r} \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ such that at least one of them is equal to the tree $\#$ and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a \cap R$ the equality $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ holds where $R = \{\bar{p} \mid \bar{p} \in \tilde{T}_F(X_n), h(\bar{p}) \leq 4 \cdot \|A\|^2\}$.

Now we prove this statement. First we show that $h(q), h(\bar{q}) > L_1$. Assume that $h(q) > L = L_1 + L_2$. It is clear that there is a tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a$ for which $h(\bar{p}) \leq 2 \cdot \|A\|$, $h(\#(\bar{p})) \leq \|A\|$ and $\tau_a(\bar{p}) \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$. Since $h(\tau_a(\bar{p})) \leq L_2$ and $q \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ thus $h(q \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p})) > L$ and $h(\bar{q} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})) \leq h(\bar{q}) + L_2$. It follows that $h(\bar{q}) > L_1$. Similarly, the inequality $h(\bar{q}) > L$ implies $h(q) > L_1$.

We have that there is a tree \bar{p} for which $h(\bar{p}) \leq 4 \cdot \|A\|^2$ and at least one of the trees $\tau_a(\bar{p})$ and $\tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ is in $\tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$. In this case there exist an $s \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ and $q_1, \dots, q_m, \bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_m \in T_G(Y_m \cup \{\#\})$ ($m \geq 1$) such that the equalities $\tau_a(\bar{p}) = s\langle q_1, \dots, q_m \rangle$ and $\tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p}) = s\langle \bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_m \rangle$ hold, moreover, for each index j ($1 \leq j \leq m$) at least one of the trees q_j and \bar{q}_j equals $\#$. It means that $q \cdot q_j = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{q}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). Since $h(q_j), h(\bar{q}_j) \leq L_1$ we get $q_j, \bar{q}_j \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$).

Let j be an arbitrarily fixed index ($1 \leq j \leq m$) and let $r = q_j$ and $\bar{r} = \bar{q}_j$. It follows that $q \cdot r = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{r}$. We show that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a \cap R$ the equality $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ holds.

Take an arbitrary tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a \cap R$. If both $\tau_a(\bar{p})$ and $\tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ are in $T_G(Y_m)$ then $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ because of the equality $q \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$.

In the opposite case the equalities $\tau_a(\bar{p}) = s\langle q_1, \dots, q_m \rangle$ and $\tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p}) = s\langle \bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_m \rangle$ hold where the trees $s, q_1, \dots, q_m, \bar{q}_1, \dots, \bar{q}_m$ satisfy the above conditions. Similarly, we have that $q \cdot q_j = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{q}_j$ and $q_j, \bar{q}_j \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$).

Assume that $r = \#$, consequently, $q = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{r}$. It follows that $\bar{q} \cdot \bar{r} \cdot q_j = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{q}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). If $\bar{r} = \#$ then $\bar{q} \cdot q_j = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{q}_j$. Since $h(\bar{q}) > L_1$ and $h(q_j), h(\bar{q}_j) \leq L_1$ thus $q_j = \bar{q}_j = \#$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). From this we obtain $\tau_a(\bar{p}) = \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ i.e. $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$. We may suppose that $\bar{r} \neq \#$. Then $\bar{q}_j \neq \#$, because in the opposite case $\bar{q} \cdot \bar{r} \cdot q_j = \bar{q}$ which is a contradiction ($j = 1, \dots, m$). It means that for each index j ($1 \leq j \leq m$), $q_j = \#$ and $\bar{q} \cdot \bar{r} = \bar{q} \cdot \bar{q}_j$. From this we obtain that $\bar{r} = \bar{q}_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, m$). It implies that $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$.

From the assumption $\bar{r} = \#$ we arrive at the equality $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ in a similar way.

Now we can prove that conditions (ii) of Definition 5 are satisfied. If $h(q), h(\bar{q}) \leq L$ then (ii₂) holds because each tree of both $\text{range } \tau^b$ and $\text{range } \tau^{\bar{b}}$ is higher than L . In the opposite case condition (ii₁)' holds. We will show by induction that $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a$.

If $h(\bar{p}) \leq 4 \cdot \|A\|^2$ then $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ by (ii₁)'. Now let $h(\bar{p}) > 4 \cdot \|A\|^2$. We have that there are trees p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 and states $\bar{a}, \bar{\bar{a}} \in A$ such that

$\bar{p} = p_1 \cdot^2 p_2 \cdot^2 p_3 \cdot^2 p_4 \cdot^2 p_5$ and $p_1 \in \text{dom } \tau_a^{\bar{a}} \cap \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}, p_i \in \text{dom } \tau_{a,\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}} \cap \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a},\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}} (i=2, 3, 4), p_5 \in \text{dom } \tau_{a,\bar{a}} \cap \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a},\bar{a}}$, where $p_i \in T_F(X_n \cup Z_2) (i=1, \dots, 5)$ and the symbol z_2 occurs exactly once in the frontier of the tree $p_i (i=2, \dots, 5)$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 &= r \cdot \tau_a^{\bar{a}}(p_1), & r_1 &= \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}(p_1), \\ q_2 &= \tau_{a,\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}(p_2), & r_2 &= \tau_{\bar{a},\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}(p_2), \\ q_3 &= \tau_{a,\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}(p_3), & r_3 &= \tau_{\bar{a},\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}(p_3), \\ q_4 &= \tau_{a,\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}(p_4), & r_4 &= \tau_{\bar{a},\bar{a}}^{\bar{a}}(p_4), \\ q_5 &= \tau_{a,\bar{a}}(p_5), & r_5 &= \tau_{\bar{a},\bar{a}}(p_5). \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis it is clear that the trees $r_i, q_i (i=1, \dots, 5)$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6. It means that $q_1 \cdot^2 q_2 \cdot^2 q_3 \cdot^2 q_4 \cdot^2 q_5 = r_1 \cdot^2 r_2 \cdot^2 r_3 \cdot^2 r_4 \cdot^2 r_5$ i.e., $r \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$.

We have that $\tau_a = \tau_b$ and $\tau_{\bar{a}} = \tau_{\bar{b}}$. It follows that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_b$ the equality $r \cdot \tau_b(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \tau_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ holds. It means that **B** is an *NF-transducer*. Consequently the statement of this lemma is valid.

Lemma 8. Let $\bar{A} = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \bar{\Sigma}_A)$ be a deterministic *F-transducer*. Then there is an superior *NF-transducer* **B** which is equivalent to \bar{A} .

Proof. By Lemma 3 we can construct a superior *F-transducer*

$$A = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma_A)$$

with $\tau_A = \tau_{\bar{A}}$. From the proof of Lemma 3 one can see that **A** is deterministic, too. Next we consider the *NF-transducer* $B = (T_F(X_n), B, T_G(Y_m), B', \Sigma_B)$ constructed for **A** by Lemma 7. From the proof we have that for each state $b \in B$ if $\text{range } \tau_B^b$ is not a singleton then there exists a state $a \in A$ such that $\tau_{A,a} = \tau_{B,b}$. It follows that τ_B^b can not be increased by any tree q^b , because in the opposite case the transformation τ_A^a is increased by q^b which is a contradiction. It means that **B** is a superior *NF-transducer* equivalent to \bar{A} .

Definition 9. Let $A = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$ be a superior *NF-transducer*. We say that the state $\bar{a} (\in A)$ can be substituted by the state $a (\in A)$ if the condition (i) holds or there is a tree $\bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$ such that the conditions (ii₁)—(ii₆) are satisfied.

- (i) $\tau_a = \tau_{\bar{a}}$, and if $\text{range } \tau^a$ is a singleton then $\text{range } \tau^a = \text{range } \tau^{\bar{a}}$.
- (ii₁) $\text{dom } \tau_a = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a}}$.
- (ii₂) $\text{range } \tau^a$ is infinite.
- (ii₃) $\text{range } \tau^{\bar{a}}$ is a singleton.
- (ii₄) For each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a \setminus \{\#\}$ the equality $\bar{q} \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ holds.
- (ii₅) If $\bar{a} \in A'$ then $\text{range } \tau^{\bar{a}} = \bar{q}$.
- (ii₆) If there is a state $\bar{a} (\in A \setminus \{a, \bar{a}\})$ for which $\text{dom } \tau_a = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a}}$ and $\text{range } \tau^{\bar{a}}$ is infinite, moreover, there exist trees $q, \bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$ such that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a$ the equality $q \cdot \tau_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ holds then either $q \neq \bar{q}$ or $\tau_a(\bar{p}) = \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a$.

We note that $\tau_a = \tau_{\bar{a}}$ if and only if $\text{dom } \tau_a = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{a}}$ and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_a$ the equality $\tau_a(\bar{p}) = \tau_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ holds.

Definition 10. A superior *NF*-transducer $\mathbf{A} = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma)$ is called a *strongly normalized F-transducer (SNF-transducer)* if none of the states can be substituted by another state.

Theorem 11. For each deterministic *F*-transducer

$$\bar{\mathbf{A}} = (T_F(X_n), \bar{A}, T_G(Y_m), \bar{A}', \bar{\Sigma}_A)$$

an equivalent *SNF*-transducer can be constructed.

Proof. By Lemma 8 we construct a superior *NF*-transducer

$$\mathbf{A} = (T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma_A)$$

which is equivalent to $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$. Next we will show that by rewriting rules and eliminating states an equivalent *SNF*-transducer is obtained.

Assume that the states $a, \bar{a} \in A$ satisfy the condition (i) of Definition 9. Then we construct the *F*-transducer $\mathbf{A}^1 = (T_F(X_n), A \setminus \{\bar{a}\}, T_G(Y_m), A' \setminus \{\bar{a}\}, \Sigma_A^1)$ in the following way. Let us eliminate the rules of Σ_A wherein the state \bar{a} is in the left side. Then we replace the state \bar{a} by a in each rule. It is clear that \mathbf{A}^1 is deterministic. Moreover, for each state $\bar{a} \in A \setminus \{a, \bar{a}\}$, $\tau_{A, \bar{a}} = \tau_{\mathbf{A}^1, \bar{a}}$ and $\tau_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{a}} = \tau_{\mathbf{A}^1}^{\bar{a}}$ hold. We have that $\text{dom } \tau_{\mathbf{A}^1}^{\bar{a}} = \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{a}} \cup \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{a}}$ and $\text{range } \tau_{\mathbf{A}^1}^{\bar{a}} = \text{range } \tau_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{a}} \cup \text{range } \tau_{\bar{A}}^{\bar{a}}$. From this one can easily show that \mathbf{A}^1 is a superior *NF*-transducer equivalent to \mathbf{A} . It means that for \mathbf{A} we construct an equivalent superior *NF*-transducer $\mathbf{B} = (T_F(X_n), B, T_G(Y_m), B', \Sigma_B)$ such that there are no states $b, \bar{b} \in B$ satisfying condition (i) of Definition 9.

Next we assume that there are states $a, \bar{a} \in B (\subseteq A)$ and a tree $\bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$ for which the conditions (ii₁)–(ii₆) hold. In this case we eliminate the rules containing the state \bar{a} in their left side. Then we replace by $a\bar{q}$ the right side of rules wherein the state \bar{a} occurs. Let $\mathbf{B}^1 = (T_F(X_n), B \setminus \{\bar{a}\}, T_G(Y_m), B' \setminus \{\bar{a}\}, \Sigma_B^1)$ be the *F*-transducer obtained this way. By the construction it is obvious that \mathbf{B}^1 is deterministic. We have that for each state $\bar{a} \in B \setminus \{a, \bar{a}\}$, $\tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, \bar{a}} = \tau_{\mathbf{B}, \bar{a}}$ and $\tau_{\bar{B}^1}^{\bar{a}} = \tau_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{a}}$ hold. Moreover, $\text{dom } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1}^{\bar{a}} = \text{dom } \tau_{\mathbf{B}}^{\bar{a}} \cup \text{dom } \tau_{\bar{B}}^{\bar{a}}$ and $\text{range } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1}^{\bar{a}} = \text{range } \tau_{\mathbf{B}}^{\bar{a}} \cup \bar{q}$. It is clear that \mathbf{B}^1 is a superior *AF*-transducer equivalent to \mathbf{B} .

We will show that \mathbf{B}^1 is normalized. By the construction of \mathbf{B}^1 condition (i) of Definition 5 holds. Let $b, \bar{b} \in B \setminus \{\bar{a}\}$ be arbitrary states of \mathbf{B}^1 . Assume that $\text{dom } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, b} = \text{dom } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, \bar{b}}$, both $\text{range } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1}^b$ and $\text{range } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1}^{\bar{b}}$ are infinite, moreover, there are trees $q, \bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$ such that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, b}$, $q \cdot \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, b}(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, \bar{b}}(\bar{p})$. If none of the states b, \bar{b} is a then by the above connections it is obvious that condition (ii) holds.

We may assume that $b = a$. In this case we know that for \mathbf{B} condition (ii₆) are satisfied by the states a, \bar{a}, \bar{b} and the trees q, \bar{q}, \bar{q} . Furthermore, we have that the equality $\tau_{\mathbf{B}, a}(\bar{p}) = \tau_{\mathbf{B}, \bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ does not hold for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \tau_{\mathbf{B}, a}$. Indeed, in the opposite case $\tau_{\mathbf{B}, a} = \tau_{\mathbf{B}, \bar{b}}$ which is a contradiction. By condition (ii₆) it means that $q \neq \bar{q}$. From this we obtain that $\text{range } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, a} \cap q = \emptyset$ and $\text{range } \tau_{\mathbf{B}^1, \bar{b}} \cap \bar{q} = \emptyset$. Consequently, condition (ii) of Definition 5 holds for \mathbf{B}^1 thus \mathbf{B}^1 is a superior normalized *F*-transducer.

Applying this construction we can get an *SNF*-transducer which is equivalent to \bar{A} .

Let $A=(T_F(X_n), A, T_G(Y_m), A', \Sigma_A)$ and $B=(T_F(X_n), B, T_G(Y_m), B', \Sigma_B)$ be *SNF*-transducers such that A and B are equivalent. First we construct the inferior *AF*-transducers $A(B)$ and $B(A)$ as in part I. Next we consider the superior *AF*-transducers $\bar{A}(B)=(T_F(X_n), \overline{A \times C}, T_G(Y_m), \overline{A' \times C'}, \bar{\Sigma}_A)$ and

$$\bar{B}(A) = (T_F(X_n), \overline{B \times C}, T_G(Y_m), \overline{B' \times C'}, \bar{\Sigma}_B)$$

which are constructed from $A(B)$ and $B(A)$ by Lemma 3, respectively. We have that $\overline{A \times C} \subseteq A \times C$, $\overline{A' \times C'} \subseteq A' \times C'$ and $\overline{B \times C} \subseteq B \times C$, $\overline{B' \times C'} \subseteq B' \times C'$ where $C=A \times B$ and $C'=A' \times B'$. From Theorem I.11 we know that $A(B)$ and $B(A)$ are isomorphic. By conditions (i)–(iv) from the proof of Lemma 3, it follows that $\bar{A}(B)$ and $\bar{B}(A)$ are isomorphic, too. It means that $\tau_A = \tau_{\bar{A}(B)}$ thus both of these transformations shall be denoted by φ . Similarly, ψ can be used instead of τ_B and $\tau_{\bar{B}(A)}$.

The next lemmas are valid under the above notations.

Lemma 12. For each state $(a, c) \in \overline{A \times C}$ ($c=(a, b)$) the following conditions hold.

- (i) $\text{dom } \varphi_a = \text{dom } \varphi_{a,c} = \text{dom } \psi_{b,c} = \text{dom } \psi_b$.
- (ii) If $\text{range } \varphi^{a,c}$ is infinite then for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equalities $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$ hold.
- (iii) If $\text{range } \varphi^{a,c}$ is a singleton then there are trees $q, \bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$ such that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a \setminus \{\#\}$ the equalities $q \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \psi_b(\bar{p})$ hold, moreover $q = \varphi^a(p)$ and $\bar{q} = \psi^b(p)$ where $p \in \text{dom } \varphi^{a,c}$.

Proof. Let $(a, c) \in \overline{A \times C}$ be an arbitrary state where $c=(a, b)$. Let $p \in \text{dom } \varphi^{a,c}$ be a fixed tree. Then $p \in \text{dom } \varphi^a \cap \text{dom } \psi^{b,c} \cap \text{dom } \psi^b$. Let $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$. Since $p \cdot \bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi$ the tree \bar{p} is in $\text{dom } \varphi_{a,c}$. Consequently, $\text{dom } \varphi_a \subseteq \text{dom } \varphi_{a,c}$. In the same way one can prove the inclusions $\text{dom } \varphi_a \subseteq \text{dom } \varphi_{a,c} \subseteq \text{dom } \psi_{b,c} \subseteq \text{dom } \psi_b \subseteq \text{dom } \varphi_a$. From this we obtain that condition (i) holds.

Assume that $\text{range } \varphi^{a,c}$ is infinite. It follows that $\text{range } \psi^{b,c}$ is infinite, too. Then there are trees $p_1, p_2 \in \text{dom } \varphi^{a,c}$ such that $\varphi^{a,c}(p_1) \neq \varphi^{a,c}(p_2)$. For each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equality $\varphi^{a,c}(p_i) \cdot \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi^{b,c}(p_i) \cdot \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p})$ holds ($i=1, 2$). In a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 3, we can obtain that there exist trees r, \bar{r} such that at least one of them equals the tree $\#$ and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$, $r \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p})$.

On the other hand we have that both $\bar{A}(B)$ and $\bar{B}(A)$ are superior *F*-transducers. It means that $r = \bar{r} = \#$ i.e., for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equality $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p})$ holds.

Furthermore we know that $\text{range } \psi^{b,c}$ is infinite. In the same way we get that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_b$, $\psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$. Since $\bar{A}(B)$ and $\bar{B}(A)$ are isomorphic it follows that condition (ii) of our lemma holds.

Next we assume that $\text{range } \varphi^{a,c}$ is a singleton. Let $p \in \text{dom } \varphi^{a,c}$ be an arbitrarily fixed tree. We have that $p \in \text{dom } \varphi^a$. Let $q = \varphi^a(p)$. It means that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a \setminus \{\#\}$ the equalities $q \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi(p \cdot \bar{p}) = \varphi^{a,c}(p) \cdot \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p})$ hold. In this case $\text{range } \psi^{b,c}$ is a singleton, too. It follows that if $\psi^{b,c}(p) = \bar{q}$ then

for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_a \setminus \{\#\}$, $\bar{q} \cdot \psi_b(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p})$. It is clear that if $a \in A'$ then b , (a, c) , (b, c) are final states. From this we obtain $q = \bar{q}$. It means that condition (iii) holds, too.

Lemma 13. For each state $a \in A$ there is exactly one state $b (\in B)$ satisfying the inclusion $(a, (a, b)) \in \overline{A \times C}$, and conversely, for each state $b \in B$ there is exactly one state $a (\in A)$ with $(b, (a, b)) \in \overline{B \times C}$. Moreover, if $(a, c) \in \overline{A \times C}$ ($c = (a, b)$) then for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equalities $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$ hold.

Proof. Let $a \in A$ be an arbitrary state. Denote by B_a the set

$$\{b | c = (a, b), (a, c) \in \overline{A \times C}\}.$$

It is clear that B_a is a nonvoid set.

Firstly, we assume that $\text{range } \varphi^a$ is infinite. Then there are trees $p_i \in \text{dom } \varphi^a$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) such that the trees $\varphi^a(p_i)$ are pairwise different. Moreover, we know that there exists a state $b_i (\in B_a)$ such that $p_i \in \text{dom } \psi^{b_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). Since B_a is a finite set of states there are indices k, l ($k < l$) satisfying $b_k = b_l$. Denote by b this state. Let $c = (a, b)$. It is clear that neither $\text{range } \varphi^{a,c}$ nor $\text{range } \psi^{b,c}$ are a singleton. By Lemma 12 we get that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equalities $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$ hold.

Next we show that the set B_a is a singleton. Assume that there is a state $\bar{b} \in B_a$ differing from b . Let $\bar{c} = (a, \bar{b})$. Now there are three cases.

First, suppose that $\text{range } \varphi^{a,\bar{c}}$ is infinite. By Lemma 12 we have that $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,\bar{c}}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b},\bar{c}}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ hold for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$. It means that the state \bar{b} can be substituted by b which is a contradiction because \mathbf{B} is an *SNF*-transducer.

In the second case assume that both $\text{range } \varphi^{a,\bar{c}}$ and $\text{range } \varphi^{b,\bar{c}}$ are singleton. Then we know that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a \setminus \{\#\}$ the equalities $q \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,\bar{c}}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b},\bar{c}}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ hold, where $q = \varphi^a(p)$ and $p \in \text{dom } \varphi^{a,\bar{c}}$. It is clear that $q = \text{range } \psi^{\bar{b}}$ if \bar{b} is a final state. From this we obtain $q \cdot \psi_b(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_b \setminus \{\#\}$. Since the state \bar{b} cannot be substituted by the state b and conditions (ii₁)–(ii₅) of Definition 9 hold for the states b, \bar{b} and the tree q condition (ii₆) can not be satisfied. It means that there is a state $\bar{b} \in B \setminus \{b, \bar{b}\}$ and a tree $\bar{q} \in T_G(Y_m)$ for which $\text{dom } \psi_b = \text{dom } \psi_{\bar{b}}$ and $\text{range } \psi^{\bar{b}}$ is infinite, moreover, for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_b$ the equality $q \cdot \psi_b(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ holds. One can see easily that there is a state $\bar{a} \in A \setminus \{a, \bar{a}\}$ such that $\text{dom } \varphi_{\bar{a}} = \text{dom } \psi_{\bar{b}}$ and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_{\bar{b}}$, $\varphi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$. It implies that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$, $q \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \varphi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$. Since \mathbf{A} is an *NF*-transducer condition (ii) of Definition 5 has to hold. We have that $q \cap \text{range } \varphi^a \neq \emptyset$ thus there are trees $r, \bar{r} \in T_G(Y_m)$ such that $r \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \bar{r} \cdot \varphi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$, where at least one of the trees r, \bar{r} equals $\#$. It is clear that $r = \bar{r} = \#$ because \mathbf{A} is a superior *NF*-transducer. It implies that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equality $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{\bar{a}}(\bar{p})$ holds which is a contradiction.

In the third case suppose that $\text{range } \varphi^{a,\bar{c}}$ is a singleton and $\text{range } \psi^{\bar{b}}$ is infinite. We have that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a \setminus \{\#\}$ the equalities $q \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,\bar{c}}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b},\bar{c}}(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ hold where $q \in \text{range } \varphi^a$ and $\bar{q} \in \text{range } \psi^{\bar{b}}$. We have that if a is a final state then \bar{b} is also a final state and $q = \bar{q}$. It implies that for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_b$, $q \cdot \psi_b(\bar{p}) = \bar{q} \cdot \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$. From Definition 5 we obtain that either for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_b$ the equality $\psi_b(\bar{p}) = \psi_{\bar{b}}(\bar{p})$ holds or $\text{range } \psi^{\bar{b}} \cap \bar{q} = \emptyset$. It contra-

dicts the above statements. It means that if $\text{range } \varphi^a$ is infinite then B_a is a singleton.

Similarly, we can show that for each state $b \in B$ if $\text{range } \psi^b$ is infinite then there is exactly one state $a \in A$ satisfying the inclusion $(b, c) \in \overline{B \times C}$ where $c = (a, b)$. Moreover, for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \psi_b$ the equalities $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$ hold.

Secondly, we may assume that $\text{range } \varphi^a$ is a singleton. It is clear that $B_a \neq \emptyset$ and for each state $b \in B_a$ $\text{range } \psi_b$ is a singleton, too. Let $b \in B_a$ be arbitrary. Then for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equalities $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$ hold where $c = (a, b)$. We have that b is a final state if and only if a is a final state. It implies that $\text{range } \varphi^a = \text{range } \psi^b$. From this and Definition 9 we get that B_a is a singleton.

In a similar way we can see that for each $b \in B$ if $\text{range } \psi^b$ is a singleton then there is exactly one state $a \in A$ such that $(b, c) \in \overline{B \times C}$ ($c = (a, b)$) and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a$ the equalities $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b,c}(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$ hold. This ends the proof of Lemma 13.

Lemma 14. The SNF-transducers **A** and **B** are isomorphic.

Proof. Let us define a mapping $\mu: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\mu(a) = b$ if and only if $(a, (a, b)) \in \overline{A \times C}$. By Lemma 13 it is clear that μ is a bijective mapping of A onto B , moreover, $\mu(A') = B'$.

Next suppose that $x \rightarrow aq \in \Sigma_A$ ($x \in X_n \cup F_0$) and $b = \mu(a)$. We have that $x \rightarrow br \in \Sigma_B$ and for each tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_a = \text{dom } \psi_b$ the equality $\varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \psi_b(\bar{p})$ holds. It implies that $q \cdot \varphi_a(\bar{p}) = \varphi(x \cdot \bar{p}) = \psi(x \cdot \bar{p}) = r \cdot \psi_b(\bar{p})$. From this we can obtain that $q = r$. It means that $x \rightarrow bq \in \Sigma_B$. Similarly, if $x \rightarrow br \in \Sigma_B$ and $a = \mu^{-1}(b)$ then $x \rightarrow ar \in \Sigma_A$.

Let $f(a_1, \dots, a_k) \rightarrow a_0 q \in \Sigma_A$ where $f \in F_k$ ($k > 0$) and $a_i \in A$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k$). We have that there is a rule of the form $f(b_1, \dots, b_k) \rightarrow b_0 r$ in Σ_B where $b_i = \mu(a_i)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k$). Moreover, it is clear that $\text{dom } \varphi^{a_i} = \text{dom } \psi^{b_i}$, and for each tree $p_i \in \text{dom } \varphi^{a_i}$, $\varphi^{a_i}(p_i) = \psi^{b_i}(p_i)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k$). From the proof of Lemma 13 we know that if $\text{range } \varphi^{a_0}$ is a singleton then $q = \text{range } \varphi^{a_0} = \text{range } \psi^{b_0} = r$.

Next we may assume that $\text{range } \varphi^{a_0}$ is infinite. In this case we have that there is a tree $\bar{p} \in \text{dom } \varphi_{a_0}$ for which $\varphi_{a_0}(\bar{p}) \in \tilde{T}_G(Y_m)$. Let $p_i \in \text{dom } \varphi^{a_i}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) be arbitrary trees and let j be an arbitrary index ($1 \leq j \leq k$). We define the trees s_i, t_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$) in the following way. If $i = j$ then $s_i = t_i = \#$, otherwise $s_i = p_i$ and $t_i = \varphi^{a_i}(p_i) = \psi^{b_i}(p_i)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Denote by \bar{s}_j, \bar{q}_j and \bar{r}_j the trees $f(s_1, \dots, s_k)$, $q(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ and $r(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, respectively. By Lemma 13 we have that the equalities $\bar{q}_j \cdot \varphi_{a_0}(\bar{p}) = \varphi_{a_j}(\bar{s}_j \cdot \bar{p}) = \psi_{b_j}(\bar{s}_j \cdot \bar{p}) = \bar{r}_j \cdot \psi_{b_0}(\bar{p})$ and $\varphi_{a_0}(\bar{p}) = \psi_{b_0}(\bar{p})$ hold. It follows that $\bar{q}_j = \bar{r}_j$. Since j is arbitrary we get $r = q$. It means that $f(b_1, \dots, b_k) \rightarrow b_0 q \in \Sigma_B$.

Similarly, one can see that if $f(b_1, \dots, b_k) \rightarrow b_0 r \in \Sigma_B$ then $f(a_1, \dots, a_k) \rightarrow a_0 r \in \Sigma_A$ where $a_i = \mu^{-1}(b_i)$ ($i = 0, 1, \dots, k$). Therefore, the SNF-transducers **A** and **B** are isomorphic.

By this lemma we get the following theorem.

Theorem 15. The SNF-transducers **A** and **B** are equivalent if and only if they are isomorphic.

References

- [1] ENGELFRIET, J., Bottom-up and top-down tree transformations — A comparison, *Math. Systems Theory*, v. 9, 1975, pp. 198—231.
- [2] ENGELFRIET, J., Some open questions and recent results on tree transducers and languages, *Formal language theory*, ed. by R. V. Book, Academic Press, 1980, pp. 241—286.
- [3] ÉSIK, Z., Decidability results concerning tree transducers I, *Acta Cybernet.*, v. 5, 1980, pp. 1—20.
- [4] GÉCSEG, F.—STEINBY, M., A faautomaták algebrai elmélete I, *Matematikai Lapok*, v. 26, 1975, pp. 169—207.
- [5] GÉCSEG, F.—STEINBY, M., A faautomaták algebrai elmélete II, *Matematikai Lapok*, v. 27, 1976—1979, pp. 283—336.
- [6] ZACHAR, Z., On the equivalence of the frontier-to-root transducers I, *Acta Cybernet.*, to appear.

Received Apr. 19, 1984

TATABÁNYA COAL MINES
VÉRTANÚK TERE 1
2800 TATABÁNYA, HUNGARY