

Varieties and general products of top-down algebras

By Z. ÉSIK

Unrestricted, i.e. both finite and infinite general products of unoids were treated in [2]. It has been shown that the unoid varieties arising with general products are exactly those classes of unoids which have equational presentation in terms of so-called p -identities. In addition, these type independent varieties coincide with the varieties obtainable with the more special α_0 -products. In other words this means that the unrestricted general product is homomorphically as general as the α_0 -product. Although unoids do have certain specialities as shown in [1] and [2], using a new method, the above mentioned results have been extended to arbitrary algebras in [1]. Due to the specific nature of unoids, all type-independent varieties of unoids have been described in [2]. No similar description is attainable for the general case of algebras at present.

The aim of this paper is to give similar results for top-down algebras, a less well-known type of algebraic structures originating from tree automata theory. Top-down algebras are elsewhere called root-to-frontier algebras or ascending algebras as eg. in [3] and [4], due to a converse visualization of trees. The whole treatment will be done parallel with [1].

1. Top-down algebras and general products

Let R be a nonvoid subset of the natural numbers $N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. R is called a rank type and will be fixed throughout the paper. A type of rank type R is a collection $F = \cup (F_n | n \in N)$ so that $F_n \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $n \in R$. In the sequel every type F is supposed to belong to the fixed rank type R . A top-down F -algebra is an ordered pair $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ with A a nonvoid set and a realization $f: A \rightarrow A^n$ for each operational symbol $f \in F_n$. The class of all top-down F -algebras is denoted \mathbf{K}_F . $\mathbf{K}_R = \cup (\mathbf{K}_F | F \text{ has rank type } R)$.

Suppose we are given two top-down F -algebras $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ and $\mathfrak{B} = (B, F)$. A mapping $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ is called a homomorphism $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ if $\varphi f p r_i = f p r_i \varphi$ for every $f \in F_n$ and $i \in [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, where $p r_i$ denotes the i -th projection and functional composition is written juxtaposition from left to right. If φ is onto, \mathfrak{B} is a homomorphic image of \mathfrak{A} . Further, \mathfrak{A} is called a subalgebra of \mathfrak{B} if $A \subseteq B$ and the natural inclusion is a homomorphism $\mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$.

Let \mathbf{K} be an arbitrary class of top-down algebras (of rank type R). Then $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{K})$

and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{K})$ will respectively denote the class of all homomorphic images and the class of all subalgebras of top-down algebras from \mathbf{K} .

Now we are going to introduce general products of top-down algebras. To this, take types F and F^i ($i \in I$) as well as top-down F^i -algebras $\mathfrak{A}_i = (A_i, F^i)$ ($i \in I$). Let φ be a family of feedback functions $\varphi_i: \Pi(A_i | i \in I) \times F \rightarrow F^i$. The φ_i 's must preserve the rank, i.e.,

$$(a, f)\varphi_i \in F_n^i$$

if $f \in F_n$, $a \in \Pi(A_i | i \in I)$. The general product of the \mathfrak{A}_i 's w.r.t. φ and F is that top-down F -algebra

$$\mathfrak{A} = (A, F) = \Pi(\mathfrak{A}_i | i \in I, F, \varphi)$$

satisfying

$$A = \Pi(A_i | i \in I),$$

$$afpr_j pr_i = apr_i f^i pr_j$$

where $a \in A$, $f \in F_n$, $i \in I$, $j \in [n]$ and $f^i = (a, f)\varphi_i$. A general product of a finite number of top-down algebras is denoted $\Pi(\mathfrak{A}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{A}_n | \varphi, F)$.

Two restricted forms of the general product will be of particular interest. These are the α_0 -product and the direct product. The general product defined above is an α_0 -product if the index set I is linearly ordered, and for every $i \in I$, the feedback function φ_i assigning value in F^i to $((a_i | i \in I), f) \in \Pi(A_i | i \in I) \times F$ is independent of the a_j 's with $j \cong i$. In case of an α_0 -product we shall indicate only those variables of φ_i on which it may depend. Index sets $[n]$ are supposed to have the natural ordering.

The concept of the direct product easily comes by specialization, too. A general product $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F) = \Pi(\mathfrak{A}_i | i \in I, \varphi, F)$ is a direct product if all factors \mathfrak{A}_i are top-down F -algebras and $(a, f)\varphi_i = f$, $i \in I$, $f \in F$, $a \in A$.

Take a class \mathbf{K} of top-down algebras. The operators \mathcal{P}_g , \mathcal{P}_{α_0} , \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{P}_{f\alpha_0}$ are defined by the following list:

- $\mathcal{P}_g(\mathbf{K})$: all general products of factors from \mathbf{K} ,
- $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{K})$: all α_0 -products of factors from \mathbf{K} ,
- $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{K})$: all direct products of factors from \mathbf{K} ,
- $\mathcal{P}_{f\alpha_0}(\mathbf{K})$: all α_0 -product of finitely many factors from \mathbf{K} .

According to the universal algebraic analogy (see also the next section), classes $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_F$ closed under the operators \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{P} are called varieties. However, the main interest will be in type-independent varieties. By definition, a type-independent variety is a class $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_R$ closed under the operators \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{P}_g .

2. Varieties of top-down algebras

Top-down algebras of rank type $R = \{1\}$ will be called unoids. Since in a unoid (A, F) every operation is a function $f: A \rightarrow A$, unoids are ordinary algebras.

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A, F)$ be a top-down F -algebra. There is a simple way to associate a unoid $\mathfrak{A}^u = (A, F^u)$ with \mathfrak{A} : put $a(f, i) = afpr_i$ for every $a \in A$ and $(f, i) \in F^u$. Here the unary type F^u consists of all pairs (f, i) with $f \in F_n$ and $i \in [n]$. It is obvious that every homomorphism from a top-down F -algebra \mathfrak{A} into a top-down F -algebra

\mathfrak{B} becomes a homomorphism $\mathfrak{U}^u \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^u$, and the resulting functor is an isomorphism of the category of all top-down F -algebras onto the category of all F^u -unoids. Varieties are preserved under this transition, if $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_F$ is a variety, then so is $\mathbf{V}^u = \{\mathfrak{U}^u | \mathfrak{U} \in \mathbf{V}\}$, and conversely. Anyway, this simple transition allows us to adapt well-known concepts and facts from universal algebra to our top-down algebras, e.g., for any class $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_F$, $\mathcal{HSP}(\mathbf{K})$ is least variety containing \mathbf{K} .

The concept of an identity also extends to our case in an obvious way. An F -identity is either a formal equation $xp=xq$ or $xp=yq$, where x and y are different variables, p and q are words over the alphabet F^u , i.e., $p, q \in (F^u)^*$. Expressions zp with $z \in \{x, y\}$ and $p \in (F^u)^*$ are called polynomial symbols. The number of letters appearing in zp is called the length of zp and is denoted $|zp|$. The set $\text{pre}(zp)$ is defined by $\text{pre}(zp) = \{zq | |zq| < |zp|, \exists r \text{ } qr=p\}$. An F -identity is satisfied by an F -algebra \mathfrak{U} if it is satisfied by the unoid \mathfrak{U}^u in the ordinary sense. In this case we also say the F -identity holds in \mathfrak{U} .

For a class $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_F$, $\text{Id}(\mathbf{K})$ denotes the set of all identities satisfied by every $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathbf{K}$. Further, if Σ is a set of F -identities, then $\text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ is the class of all top-down F -algebras satisfying every F -identity in Σ . We write $\Sigma] = \Delta$ to mean that $\text{Mod}(\Sigma) \subseteq \subseteq \text{Mod}(\Delta)$.

With these concepts in mind one can easily reformulate Birkhoff's Theorem for top-down algebras. A class $\mathbf{K} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_F$ is a variety if and only if $\mathbf{K} = \text{Mod}(\Sigma)$ for a set of F -identities Σ . Σ can be chosen $\text{Id}(\mathbf{K})$. Consequently, $\mathcal{HSP}(\mathbf{K}) = \text{Mod}(\text{Id}(\mathbf{K}))$ for any class \mathbf{K} .

A crucial point in the universal algebraic proof of Birkhoff's Theorem is the existence of all free algebras in a variety. Free algebras exist in varieties of top-down algebras, too. If $\mathbf{V} \subseteq \mathbf{K}_F$ is a variety of top-down algebras then a free algebra $\mathfrak{U} = (A, F) \in \mathbf{V}$ with free generator $a \in A$ has the following property. An F -identity $xp=xq$ holds in \mathbf{V} if and only if $ap=aq$. Similarly, if $\mathfrak{U} \in \mathbf{V}$ is freely generated by $a_1, a_2 \in A$, an F -identity $xp=yq$ belongs to $\text{Id}(\mathbf{V})$ if and only if $a_1p=a_2q$.

3. Type-independent varieties

In this section we are going to develop a theory of type-independent varieties of top-down algebras similar to the theory of varieties exhibited in the previous one. To start with notice

Statement 1. For every class \mathbf{K} , $\mathcal{HSP}_g(\mathbf{K})$ is the least type-independent variety containing \mathbf{K} .

To show that type-independent equational classes also have equational characterizations we now introduce the notion of a p -identity. There are 3 types of p -identities, namely

- (i) $(u, v) = (u, w)$,
- (ii) $(u, z_1, v) = (u, z_2, w)$,
- (iii) $v = w$

where u, v, w are possibly void words in $\{(n, i) | n \in R, i \in [n]\}^*$, and $z_1, z_2 \in \{(n, i) | n \in R, i \in [n]\}$. In more detail, say $u = (l_1, i_1) \dots (l_r, i_r)$, $v = (m_1, j_1) \dots (m_s, j_s)$, $w = (n_1, k_1) \dots$

... (n_i, k_i) , $z_1=(d, i)$ and $z_2=(d, j)$. It is required that $i \neq j$. Given a type F , each of these p -identities induces a set of F -identities. These are given by the formulae below:

- (i') $xpq_1 = xpq_2$,
- (ii') $xp(f, i)q_1 = xp(f, j)q_2$,
- (iii') $xq_1 = yq_2$

where $p=(f_1, i_1) \dots (f_r, i_r)$, $q_1=(g_1, j_1) \dots (g_s, j_s)$, $q_2=(h_1, k_1) \dots (h_t, k_t)$, further, $f_1 \in F_{i_1}, \dots, f_r \in F_{i_r}$, $g_1 \in F_{m_1}, \dots, g_s \in F_{m_s}$, $h_1 \in F_{n_1}, \dots, h_t \in F_{n_t}$, and finally, $f \in F_d$. A p -identity is said to be satisfied by a top-down F -algebra \mathfrak{A} if all its induced F -identities are satisfied by \mathfrak{A} . Alternatively, this is expressed by saying the p -identity holds in \mathfrak{A} .

Let Ω be a set of p -identities. The set of F -identities induced by p -identities from Ω is denoted Ω_F . Ω^* denotes the class of all top-down algebras satisfying every member of Ω . Further, if \mathbf{K} is an arbitrary class of top-down algebras, \mathbf{K}^* is the set of all p -identities which hold in every $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathbf{K}$.

The following proposition easily comes from the definitions.

Statement 2. $(\mathcal{HSP}_g(\mathbf{K}))^* = \mathbf{K}^*$ holds for every class \mathbf{K} .

The clue in our treatment is

Lemma 1. If \mathbf{K} is a type-independent variety then $\text{Id } \mathbf{K}_F^* | = \text{Id } (\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{K}_F)$.

Proof. Assume to the contrary there exists an F -identity in $\text{Id } (\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{K}_F)$ which is not a consequence of \mathbf{K}_F^* . Among these there is one having minimum weight. The weight of on F -identity $xp=yq$ is defined $\text{card}(\text{pre}(xp) \cup \text{pre}(xq))$. Similarly, the weight of $xp=yq$ is just $\text{card}(\text{pre}(xp) \cup \text{pre}(yq))$. We shall restrict ourselves to the case this minimum weight F -identity is $xp=xq$. The other case can be handled likewise.

Take a free algebra $\mathfrak{A}=(A, F)$ in the variety $\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{K}_F$ with free generator a . We are going to show that whenever $xr, xs \in \text{pre}(xp) \cup \text{pre}(xq)$ and $ar=as$, then xr and xs coincide. Thus, suppose $xr, xs \in \text{pre}(xp) \cup \text{pre}(xq)$ and $ar=as$. We may choose xr and xs so that $|xr| \equiv |xt| \equiv |xs|$ provided that $xt \in \text{pre}(xp) \cup \text{pre}(xq)$ and $ar=at (=as)$. Let us substitute xr for xs if $xs \in \text{pre}(xp)$, and apply the same substitution for xq . Denote the resulting polynomial symbols by $x\bar{p}$ and $x\bar{q}$, respectively. If xr is different from xs then both F -identities $x\bar{p}=x\bar{q}$ and $xr=xs$ have weight strictly less than that of $xp=xq$. On the other hand, $ar=as$ and $a\bar{p}=ap = aq=a\bar{q}$ yield $xr=xs$, $x\bar{p}=x\bar{q} \in \text{Id } (\mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{K}_F)$. By the choice of $xp=xq$ we have $\mathbf{K}_F^* | = \{xr=xs, x\bar{p}=x\bar{q}\}$, while $\{xr=xs, x\bar{p}=x\bar{q}\} | = \{xp=xq\}$ follows via the construction. Therefore, $\mathbf{K}_F^* | = \{xp=xq\}$. This contradiction arose from the assumption xr is different from xs , hence, xr and xs coincide.

Write xp and xq in more detail as

$$xp = x(f_1, i_1) \dots (f_r, i_r)(g_1, j_1) \dots (g_s, j_s),$$

$$xq = x(f_1, i_1) \dots (f_r, i_r)(h_1, k_1) \dots (h_t, k_t),$$

where $f_1 \in F_{i_1}, \dots, f_r \in F_{i_r}$, $g_1 \in F_{m_1}, \dots, g_s \in F_{m_s}$, $h_1 \in F_{n_1}, \dots, h_t \in F_{n_t}$ and $(g_1, j_1) \neq$

$\neq (h_1, k_1)$ if $s, t > 0$. First suppose that $g_1 \neq h_1$ if $s, t > 0$. Since $\mathbf{K}_F^* = \{xp = xq\}$, $xp = xq \notin \mathbf{K}_F^*$. Consequently, the p -identity

$$((l_1, i_1) \dots (l_r, i_r), (m_1, j_1) \dots (m_s, j_s)) = ((l_1, i_1) \dots (l_r, i_r), (n_1, k_1) \dots (n_t, k_t))$$

is not in \mathbf{K}^* . This means that there exist a top-down F' -algebra $\mathfrak{B} = (B, F') \in \mathbf{K}$, operational symbols $f'_1 \in F'_{i_1}, \dots, f'_r \in F'_{i_r}, g'_1 \in F'_{m_1}, \dots, g'_s \in F'_{m_s}, h'_1 \in F'_{n_1}, \dots, h'_t \in F'_{n_t}$, and an element $b \in B$ with

$$\begin{aligned} bp' &= b(f'_1, i_1) \dots (f'_r, i_r)(g'_1, j_1) \dots (g'_s, j_s) \neq \\ &\neq b(f'_1, i_1) \dots (f'_r, i_r)(h'_1, k_1) \dots (h'_t, k_t) = bq'. \end{aligned}$$

Now define an α_0 -product $\mathfrak{Q} = \Pi(\mathfrak{Q}, \mathfrak{B} | \varphi, F)$ so that $\varphi_1: F \rightarrow F$ is the identity function and $\varphi_2: A \times F \rightarrow F'$ is any function with

$$\begin{aligned} (a(f_1, i_1) \dots (f_u, i_u), f_{u+1})\varphi_2 &= f'_{u+1}, \quad u = 0, \dots, r-1, \\ (a(f_1, i_1) \dots (f_r, i_r)(g_1, j_1) \dots (g_u, j_u), g_{u+1})\varphi_2 &= g'_{u+1}, \\ &u = 0, \dots, s-1, \\ (a(f_1, i_1) \dots (f_r, i_r)(h_1, k_1) \dots (h_u, k_u), h_{u+1})\varphi_2 &= h'_{u+1}, \\ &u = 0, \dots, t-1. \end{aligned}$$

The first part of the proof guarantees the existence of such an α_0 -product. It is easy to check that

$$(a, b)p_{pr_2} = bp' \neq bq' = (a, b)q_{pr_2},$$

thus $xp = xq \notin \text{Id}(\{\mathcal{L}\})$. Since $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbf{K} \cap \mathbf{K}_F$, this gives a contradiction.

The second case, i.e. when $s, t > 0$ and $g_1 = h_1$ yields a similar contradiction just take the p -identity

$$\begin{aligned} ((l_1, i_1) \dots (l_r, i_r), (m_1, j_1), (m_2, j_2) \dots (m_s, j_s)) &= \\ &= ((l_1, i_1) \dots (l_r, j_r), (n_1, k_1), (n_2, k_2) \dots (n_t, k_t)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Since only α_0 -products were used in the previous proof, the statement of Lemma 1 holds even if closure under \mathcal{P}_{α_0} is supposed instead of closure under \mathcal{P}_g . Furthermore, closure under \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{L} is not strictly required.

Lemma 2. $\text{Id}(\mathcal{P}_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{K}) \cap \mathbf{K}_F) = \text{Id}(\mathcal{P}_{f_{\alpha_0}}(\mathbf{K}) \cap \mathbf{K}_F)$ holds for every class \mathbf{K} and type F .

Proof. This statement has been proved for ordinary algebras in [1]. The same idea applies here. However, it should be noted that [4] also contains the proof.

We are ready to prove the main result:

Theorem. For any class \mathbf{K} of top-down algebras, $\mathbf{K}^{**} = \mathcal{HSP}_g(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{HSP}_{f_{\alpha_0}}(\mathbf{K})$.

Proof. $\mathbf{K}^{**} \supseteq \mathcal{HSP}_g(\mathbf{K})$ is valid by Statement 2. Inclusions $\mathcal{HSP}_g(\mathbf{K}) \supseteq \mathcal{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{K}) \supseteq \mathcal{HSP}_{f_{\alpha_0}}(\mathbf{K})$ are trivial. $\mathcal{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{K}) \supseteq \mathbf{K}^{**}$ follows by Lemma 1 and the Remark. Finally, $\mathcal{HSP}_{f_{\alpha_0}}(\mathbf{K}) \supseteq \mathcal{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathbf{K})$ is valid by Lemma 2.

Corollary. The following three statements are equivalent for every class \mathbf{K} :

- (i) \mathbf{K} is a type independent equational class,
- (ii) $\mathbf{K} = \Omega^*$ for a set Ω of p -identities,
- (iii) $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{K}^{**}$.

Note. Equations $\mathcal{HSP}_p(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{HSP}_{a_0}(\mathbf{K}) = \mathcal{HSP}_{f_{a_0}}(\mathbf{K})$ have already been established in [4].

A. JÓZSEF UNIVERSITY
BOLYAI INSTITUTE
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1
SZEGED, HUNGARY
H-6720

References

- [1] Z. ÉSIK, On identities preserved by general products of algebras, Acta Cybernet., 6 (1983), 285—289.
- [2] Z. ÉSIK and F. GÉCSEG, General products and equational classes of automata, Acta Cybernet., 6 (1983), 281—284.
- [3] F. GÉCSEG, On a representation of deterministic uniform root-to-frontier tree transformations, Acta Cybernet., 6 (1983), 173—180.
- [4] F. GÉCSEG, Metric equivalence of tree automata, Acta Sci. Math., 48 (1985), 163—171.

(Received Febr. 6, 1985)