

On isomorphic realization of automata with α_0 -products

Z. ÉSIK

1. Notions and notations

In this section we give a brief summary of some basic concepts to be used in the sequel.

An *automaton* is a triplet $A=(A, X, \delta)$ with finite *state set* A , finite *input set* X and *transition* $\delta: A \times X \rightarrow A$. The sets A and X are nonempty. The transition is also treated in the extended sense, i.e., as a mapping $A \times X^* \rightarrow A$, where X^* is the free monoid generated by X . Take a word $p \in X^*$. The *transition induced by* p is the state map $\delta_p: A \rightarrow A$ with $\delta_p(a) = \delta(a, p)$ ($a \in A$). The collection of these transitions forms a monoid $S(A)$ under composition of mappings. We call $S(A)$ the *characteristic monoid* of A .

The concepts as *subautomaton*, *homomorphism*, *congruence relation* and *isomorphism* are used with their usual meaning. Given an automaton $A=(A, X, \delta)$ and a state $a \in A$, the *subautomaton generated by* a has state set $\{\delta(a, p) | p \in X^*\}$. An automaton (B, Y, δ') is an *X-subautomaton* of an automaton (A, X, δ) if $B \subseteq A$, $Y \subseteq X$ and δ' is the restriction of δ to $B \times Y$. The *factor automaton* of an automaton A with respect to a congruence relation θ of A is denoted A/θ . We write $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ to mean that θ_1 is a refinement of θ_2 and $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$. An automaton is called *simple* if it has only the trivial congruence relations ω (identity relation) and ι (total relation). Thus *trivial* (i.e., one-state) automata are simple.

Let $A_i=(A_i, X_i, \delta_i)$ ($i=1, \dots, n, n \geq 0$) be automata. Take a finite nonempty set X and a family of *feedback functions* $\varphi_i: A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \times X \rightarrow X_i$ ($i=1, \dots, n$). By the *product* $A_1 \times \dots \times A_n[X, \varphi]$ we mean the automaton $(A_1 \times \dots \times A_n, X, \delta)$, where

$$\delta((a_1, \dots, a_n), x) = (\delta_1(a_1, x_1), \dots, \delta_n(a_n, x_n))$$

with

$$x_i = \varphi_i(a_1, \dots, a_n, x) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_1 \times \dots \times A_n$ and $x \in X$. The integer n is referred to as the length of the product. If, for every i , φ_i is independent of the state variables a_i, \dots, a_n , we speak about an α_0 -*product*. In an α_0 -product a feedback function φ_i is alternatively treated as a mapping $A_1 \times \dots \times A_{i-1} \times X \rightarrow X_i$. Moreover, φ_i extends to a mapping $A_1 \times \dots \times A_{i-1} \times X^* \rightarrow X_i^*$ in a natural way.

Let \mathcal{K} be a (possibly empty) class of automata. We will use the following notations:

- $\mathbf{P}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$:= all α_0 -products of automata from \mathcal{K} ;
- $\mathbf{P}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$:= all α_0 -products with length at most 1 of automata from \mathcal{K} ;
- $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K})$:= all subautomata of automata from \mathcal{K} ;
- $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{K})$:= all homomorphic images of automata from \mathcal{K} ;
- $\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{K})$:= all isomorphic images of automata from \mathcal{K} ;
- \mathcal{K}^* := the collection of all automata $\mathbf{A}=(A, X, \delta)$ such that there is an automaton $\mathbf{B}=(A, Y, \delta') \in \mathcal{K}$ with the following properties: (i) \mathbf{B} is an X -subautomaton of \mathbf{A} ; (ii) for every sign $x \in X$ there is a word $p \in Y^*$ inducing the same transition as p , i.e., $\delta'_p = \delta_x$. (Note that we have $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{B})$.)

We call a class \mathcal{K} of automata an α_0 -variety if it is closed under \mathbf{H} , \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{P}_{α_0} . An α_0 -variety is never empty. An α_0^* -variety is an α_0 -variety \mathcal{K} with $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. For later use we note that $\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ ($\mathbf{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}^*)$) is the smallest α_0 -variety (α_0^* -variety) containing a class \mathcal{K} . Similarly, $\mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ is the smallest class containing \mathcal{K} and closed under \mathbf{I} , \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{P}_{α_0} . It is worth noting that $\mathbf{SP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ contains all X -subautomata of automata in \mathcal{K} .

A class \mathcal{K}_0 is said to be *isomorphically α_0 -complete* for \mathcal{K} if $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. The following statement is a direct consequence of results in [5] (see also [3], [4]):

Proposition 1.1. If \mathcal{K}_0 is isomorphically α_0 -complete for \mathcal{K} and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$ is a simple automaton then $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$.

Thus, any isomorphically α_0 -complete class for \mathcal{K} must "essentially" contain all simple automata in \mathcal{K} . The converse fails in general, yet it holds for some important classes: the class of all automata and the classes of permutation automata, monotone automata and definite automata are equally good examples (see [2], [3], [6], [7], [9]). Isomorphically α_0 -complete classes for the class of all commutative automata essentially consist of automata very close to simple commutative automata (cf. [7]). In a sense there is a unique nontrivial simple nilpotent automaton. On the other hand no finite subclass of nilpotent automata is isomorphically α_0 -complete for the class of all nilpotent automata. Thus, the class of nilpotent automata is a counterexample. Isomorphically α_0 -complete classes for nilpotent automata are studied in [8].

Some more notation. The cardinality of a set A is denoted $|A|$. The symbol \mathbf{E} denotes the automaton $(\{0, 1\}, \{x_0, x_1\}, \delta)$ with $\delta(0, x_0) = 0$, $\delta(0, x_1) = \delta(1, x_0) = \delta(1, x_1) = 1$. We call \mathbf{E} the *elevator*.

The relation of the α_0 -product to other product concepts is explained in [3]. The Krohn—Rhodes Decomposition Theorem gives a basis for studying α_0 -products. For this, see [1], [3], [4].

2. Preliminary results

Let $\mathbf{A}=(A, X, \delta)$ be an automaton. As usual, we say that \mathbf{A} is *strongly connected* if it is generated by any state $a \in A$. Further, \mathbf{A} is called a *cone* if there is a state $a_0 \in A$ with the following properties:

- (i) $\delta(a_0, x) = a_0$, for all $x \in X$,
- (ii) $A - \{a_0\}$ is nonempty and every state $a \in A - \{a_0\}$ generates \mathbf{A} .

Obviously, the state a_0 with the above properties is unique, whence it will be referred to as the *apex* of \mathbf{A} . The set $A - \{a_0\}$ constitutes the *base* of \mathbf{A} . It should be noted that every simple automaton is either a strongly connected automaton or a cone or an automaton $(\{a_1, a_2\}, X, \delta)$ with $\delta(a_i, x) = a_i, i=1, 2, x \in X$.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{K} be a class of automata with $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}, \mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. If $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{K}$ then for an arbitrary class $\mathcal{K}_0, \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ if and only if every strongly connected automaton and every cone belonging to \mathcal{K} is in $\mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$.

Proof. The necessity of the statement is trivial. For the sufficiency let $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$ be an automaton in \mathcal{K} . We are going to apply induction on $|A|$ to show that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. Since $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ is closed under X -subautomata, it can be assumed that for every word $p \in X^*$ there is a sign $\bar{p} \in X$ inducing the same transition as p , i.e., $\delta(a, \bar{p}) = \delta(a, p)$ for all $a \in A$.

If $|A|=1$ then \mathbf{A} is strongly connected and $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. Suppose that $|A|>1$. If \mathbf{A} is strongly connected or a cone then $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ by assumption. Otherwise two cases arise.

Case 1: \mathbf{A} contains a nontrivial proper subautomaton $\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta)$ generated by a state $b_0 \in B$. Let $\varrho \subseteq A \times A$ be the relation defined by $a\varrho b$ if and only if $a=b$ or $a, b \in B$. A straightforward computation proves that ϱ is a congruence relation of \mathbf{A} . For every state $b \in B$ fix an $x_b \in X$ with $\delta(b_0, x_b) = b$. Take the α_0 -product

$$\mathbf{C} = (C, X, \delta') = \mathbf{A}/\varrho \times \mathbf{B}[X, \varphi],$$

where $\varphi_1(x) = x$,

$$\varphi_2(\{a\}, x) = \begin{cases} x_{b_0} & \text{if } \delta(a, x) \notin B, \\ x_b & \text{if } \delta(a, x) = b \in B \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi_2(B, x) = x$ for every $x \in X$ and $a \in A - B$. Set

$$\mathbf{C}' = \{(\{a\}, b_0) \mid a \in A - B\} \cup \{(B, b) \mid b \in B\}.$$

It is immediately seen that $\mathbf{C}' = (C', X, \delta')$ is a subautomaton of \mathbf{C} isomorphic to \mathbf{A} . Since both \mathbf{A}/ϱ and \mathbf{B} are in \mathcal{K} and have fewer states than \mathbf{A} , we have $\mathbf{A}/\varrho, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ from the induction hypothesis. The result follows by the fact that $\mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ is closed under \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{S} and \mathbf{P}_{α_0} .

Case 2: There are distinct states $a_1, a_2 \in A$ with $\delta(a_i, x) = a_i, i=1, 2, x \in X$. Define $\varrho \subseteq A \times A$ by $a\varrho b$ if and only if $a=b$ or $a, b \in \{a_1, a_2\}$. Again, ϱ is a congruence relation of \mathbf{A} . Let

$$\mathbf{C} = (C, X, \delta') = \mathbf{A}/\varrho \times \mathbf{E}[X, \varphi]$$

be the α_0 -product with $\varphi_1(x) = x$,

$$\varphi_2(\{a\}, x) = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{if } \delta(a, x) = a_2, \\ x_0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $\varphi_2(\{a_1, a_2\}, x) = x_0$, where $x \in X$ and $a \in A - \{a_1, a_2\}$. It follows that $\mathbf{C}' = (C', X, \delta')$ with

$$\mathbf{C}' = \{(\{a\}, 0) \mid a \in A - \{a_1, a_2\}\} \cup \{(\{a_1, a_2\}, 0), (\{a_1, a_2\}, 1)\}$$

is a subautomaton of \mathbf{C} isomorphic to \mathbf{A} . Since \mathcal{K} is closed under homomorphic images and \mathbf{A}/ϱ has fewer states than \mathbf{A} we have $\mathbf{A}/\varrho \in \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ from the induction hypothesis. On the other hand, $\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{K}$ and \mathbf{E} is a cone. Thus $\mathbf{E} \in \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ and we conclude $\mathbf{A} \in \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$.

Remark. Let \mathcal{K} be a class as in Theorem 2.1, i.e. $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{H}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbf{S}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Assuming $\mathbf{E} \notin \mathcal{K}$ it follows that \mathcal{K} consists of permutation automata. (See the last section for the definition of permutation automata.) Every permutation automaton is the disjoint sum of strongly connected permutation automata. Now obviously, if \mathcal{K} contains a nontrivial strongly connected automaton then $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ for a class \mathcal{K}_0 if and only if $\mathbf{A} \in \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ for every strongly connected permutation automaton $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}$. (Or even, the same holds if α_0 -product is replaced by the so-called quasi-direct product.) If in addition \mathcal{K} is closed under X -subautomata then, as we shall see later, $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ if and only if every simple strongly connected permutation automaton in \mathcal{K} is already contained by $\text{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. Suppose now that every strongly connected automaton in \mathcal{K} is trivial. Then, if \mathcal{K} contains a nontrivial automaton, we have $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ if and only if $(\{0, 1\}, \{x\}, \delta) \in \text{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ with $\delta(0, x) = 0$ and $\delta(1, x) = 1$. Further, $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ holds for every \mathcal{K}_0 if \mathcal{K} consists of trivial automata.

The following two lemmas establish some simple facts about homomorphic realization of cones and strongly connected automata in the presence of \mathbf{E} .

Lemma 2.2. Let $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$ be a cone in $\text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K} \cup \{\mathbf{E}\})$. There exist an automaton $\mathbf{D} \in \mathbf{P}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ and an α_0 -product $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E}[X, \varphi]$ containing a subautomaton that can be mapped homomorphically onto \mathbf{A} .

Proof. Let $\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta') = \mathbf{B}_1 \times \dots \times \mathbf{B}_n[X, \psi]$ be an α_0 -product with $\mathbf{B}_t \in \mathcal{K} \cup \{\mathbf{E}\}$, $t = 1, \dots, n$. Let $\mathbf{C} = (C, X, \delta')$ be a subautomaton of \mathbf{B} and $h: C \rightarrow A$ a homomorphism of \mathbf{C} onto \mathbf{A} . We may assume \mathbf{C} to be in a sense minimal: no proper subautomaton of \mathbf{C} is mapped homomorphically onto \mathbf{A} .

Denote by a_0 the apex and by A_0 the base of \mathbf{A} . Set $C_0 = h^{-1}(A_0)$, $C_1 = h^{-1}(\{a_0\})$. Clearly then $\mathbf{C}_1 = (C, X, \delta')$ is a subautomaton of \mathbf{C} , and \mathbf{C} is generated by any state $a \in C_0$.

Let $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$ be all the indices $t = 1, \dots, n$ with $\mathbf{B}_t \in \mathcal{K}$. If $(a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in C_0$, we have $a_t = b_t$ whenever $t \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ for otherwise \mathbf{C} would not be generated by every state in C_0 . Let $j_1, \dots, j_s \in \{1, \dots, n\} - \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$ be those indices t such that for any $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in C_0$, $a_t = 0$ if and only if $t \in \{j_1, \dots, j_s\}$. For every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in B_{i_1} \times \dots \times B_{i_r}$ put $\bar{a} = (\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n) \in B$ with $\bar{a}_{i_1} = a_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{i_r} = a_r, \bar{a}_{j_1} = \dots = \bar{a}_{j_s} = 0$ and $\bar{a}_t = 1$ otherwise.

To end the proof we give an α_0 -product $\mathbf{B}' = \mathbf{B}_{i_1} \times \dots \times \mathbf{B}_{i_r} \times \mathbf{E}[X, \psi']$ and a subautomaton $\mathbf{C}' = (C', X, \delta'')$ of \mathbf{B}' such that \mathbf{A} is a homomorphic image of \mathbf{C}' . For every $a \in B_{i_1} \times \dots \times B_{i_r}$, $i = 0, 1$, $x \in X$ and $j = 1, \dots, r$, define

$$\psi'_j(a, i, x) = \psi_{i_j}(\bar{a}, x),$$

$$\psi'_{r+1}(a, i, x) = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{if } \delta'(\bar{a}, x) \in C_1, \\ x_0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let C' be the subautomaton generated by the set

$$C'_0 = \{(a, 0) \mid a \in B_{i_1} \times \dots \times B_{i_r}, \bar{a} \in C_0\}.$$

Set $C'_1 = C' - C'_0$. It is clear from the construction that states in C'_1 have 1 as their last components. Therefore, C'_1 is the state set of a subautomaton of C' . Moreover, for every $(a, 0), (b, 0) \in C'_0$ and $x \in X$ we have $\delta''((a, 0), x) = (b, 0)$ if and only if $\delta'(\bar{a}, x) = \bar{b}$, while $\delta''((a, 0), x) \in C'_1$ if and only if $\delta'(\bar{a}, x) \in C_1$. It follows that A is a homomorphic image of C' , a homomorphism being the map that takes each state in C'_1 to a_0 and each state $(a, 0) \in C'_0$ to $h(\bar{a})$.

If A were strongly connected we would not need the last factor of the α_0 -product B' either. This gives the following:

Lemma 2.3. Every strongly connected automaton in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K} \cup \{E\})$ is contained in $HSP_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$.

Let $A = (A, X, \delta)$ be a cone with apex a_0 and base A_0 . Suppose that the relation $\varrho \subseteq A \times A$ defined by $a\varrho b$ if and only if $a = b = a_0$ or $a, b \in A_0$ is a congruence relation of A , which is to say that for every $x \in X$ either $\delta(A_0, x) \subseteq A_0$ or $\delta(A_0, x) = \{a_0\}$. Set $X_0 = \{x \in X \mid \delta(A_0, x) \subseteq A_0\}$. Assuming $X_0 \neq \emptyset$, the automaton $A_0 = (A_0, X_0, \delta)$ is a strongly connected X -subautomaton of A , which is guaranteed if $|A_0| > 1$. By definition, we call A a 0-simple cone if and only if $X_0 \neq \emptyset$ and A_0 is simple. Thus, E is both a simple cone and a 0-simple cone. Given a strongly connected automaton $A_0 = (A_0, X_0, \delta_0)$, there is a natural way to imbed A_0 into a 0-simple cone A_0^c : define $A_0^c = (A \cup \{a_0\}, X_0 \cup \{x_0\}, \delta)$ where $a_0 \notin A_0, x_0 \notin X_0, \delta(a, x_0) = a_0$ for every $a \in A_0 \cup \{a_0\}$ and $\delta(a_0, x) = a_0, \delta(a, x) = \delta_0(a, x)$ if $a \in A_0, x \in X_0$. Obviously, A_0^c is 0-simple if and only if A_0 is simple.

If A is a simple cone (i.e., a simple automaton that is a cone) then $A \in ISP_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ for a class \mathcal{K} if and only if $A \in ISP_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$. In the next statement we investigate what can be said about \mathcal{K} if $ISP_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ contains a 0-simple cone.

Lemma 2.4. If a 0-simple cone $A = A_0^c$ is in $ISP_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ then either $A \in ISP_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ or $E \in ISP_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ and there is an automaton $D \in \mathcal{K}$ such that A is isomorphic to a subautomaton of an α_0 -product of E with D .

Proof. Let $A_0 = (A_0, X_0, \delta_0)$ and $A = (A, X, \delta)$ so that $A = A_0 \cup \{a_0\}, X = X_0 \cup \{x_0\}$ where $a_0 \notin A_0, x_0 \notin X_0, \delta(a, x_0) = a_0 (a \in A), \delta(a_0, x) = a_0$ and $\delta(a, x) = \delta_0(a, x) (a \in A_0, x \in X_0)$. Since $A \in ISP_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ there exist an α_0 -product $B = (B, X, \delta') = B_1 \times \dots \times B_n [X, \varphi] (B_t \in \mathcal{K}, t = 1, \dots, n)$ and a subautomaton $C = (C, X, \delta')$ of B such that A is isomorphic to C under a mapping $h: A \rightarrow C$. We may assume that n is minimal, i.e., whenever an α_0 -product of automata from \mathcal{K} contains a subautomaton isomorphic to A , the length of that product is at least n .

Suppose that $A \notin ISP_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$. We then have $n > 1$. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be arbitrary states in C . For every $t = 1, \dots, n$, put $a\theta_t b$ if and only if $a_1 = b_1, \dots, a_t = b_t$. Further, let $a\varrho b$ if and only if $a = b = h(a_0)$ or $a, b \in h(A_0)$. Each of these relations is a congruence relation of C , and since n is minimal, $\theta_1 > \dots > \theta_n (= \omega)$ and $\theta_1 \neq i$. Since A is 0-simple this leaves $n = 2, \theta_1 = \varrho$ and $\theta_2 = \omega$. It then follows that E is isomorphic to a subautomaton of an α_0 -product of B_1 with a single factor and A is isomorphic to a subautomaton of an α_0 -product of E with B_2 .

Let $A_0^\delta = (A_0 \cup \{a_0\}, X_0 \cup \{x_0\}, \delta)$ be a 0-simple cone with $A_0 = (A_0, X_0, \delta_0)$, and take an arbitrary automaton $B = (B, Y, \delta')$. It is not difficult to give a necessary and sufficient condition ensuring that A_0^δ is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of B with A_0 . Clearly this can happen if and only if there are a pair of functions $h: A_0 \rightarrow B$, $\varphi: X_0 \rightarrow Y$, a state $b_0 \in B$ and two not necessarily distinct signs $y_0, y_1 \in Y$ such that:

- (i) h is injective;
- (ii) for every $a_1, a_2 \in A_0$ and $x \in X_0$ we have $\delta_0(a_1, x) = a_2$ if and only if $\delta'(h(a_1), \varphi(x)) = h(a_2)$;
- (iii) $\delta'(h(A_0), y_0) = \{b_0\}$, $\delta'(b_0, y_1) = b_0$.

If also $b_0 \notin h(A_0)$ and $y_0 = y_1$ then A_0^δ is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of B with a single factor.

3. The main result

An automaton $A = (A, X, \delta)$ is called *permutation automaton* if δ_x is a permutation of the state set for every $x \in X$. This is equivalent to saying that δ_p is a permutation for every $p \in X^*$ or that $S(A)$ is a group. Let \mathcal{K}_p denote the class of all permutation automata. It is known that \mathcal{K}_p is an α_0^* -variety, see [1]. Moreover, from the Krohn—Rhodes Decomposition Theorem we have $\mathcal{K}_p = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\{A(G) \mid G \text{ is a simple group}\})$ where the group-like automaton $A(G)$ on a (finite) group G is defined to be the automaton (G, G, δ) with $\delta(g, h) = gh$, $g, h \in G$.

Another class of automata we shall be dealing with is the class \mathcal{K}_m of all monotone automata. By definition, an automaton $A = (A, X, \delta)$ is *monotone* if $\delta(a, pq) = a$ implies $\delta(a, p) = a$, for all $a \in A$ and $p, q \in X^*$. This is equivalent to requiring the existence of an ordering \leq on A such that $a \leq \delta(a, p)$ for all $a \in A$ and $p \in X^*$ (or $a \leq \delta(a, x)$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in X$). The class \mathcal{K}_m is known to be an α_0^* -variety. Further, it is the α_0 -variety generated by \mathbf{E} , i.e. $\mathcal{K}_m = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\{\mathbf{E}\})$ (see [1], [10], [11]).

Having defined the classes \mathcal{K}_p and \mathcal{K}_m , put $\mathcal{K}_{pm} = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_p \cup \mathcal{K}_m) = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_p \cup \{\mathbf{E}\}) = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\{A(G) \mid G \text{ is a simple group}\} \cup \{\mathbf{E}\})$. It follows from Stiffler's switching rules that $A \in \mathcal{K}_{pm}$ if and only if there is an α_0 -product B of a permutation automaton with a monotone automaton such that $A \in \text{HS}(\{B\})$. For this and other characterizations of the class \mathcal{K}_{pm} , see [1] and [10]. It is immediate from our definition that \mathcal{K}_{pm} is an α_0 -variety. Or even, it is an α_0^* -variety.

Lemma 3.1. Let A be a strongly connected automaton. Then $A \in \mathcal{K}_{pm}$ if and only if $A \in \mathcal{K}_p$.

Proof. Use Lemma 2.3.

Corollary. If $A = A_0^\delta$ is a cone in \mathcal{K}_{pm} then A_0 a strongly connected permutation automaton.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A = (A, X, \delta) \in \mathcal{K}_{pm}$ be a cone with apex a_0 and base A_0 . If $\delta(a, p) = \delta(b, p) \in A_0$ holds for some $a, b \in A_0$ and $p \in X^*$ then $a = b$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.2 it follows that A is a homomorphic image of a sub-automaton $C = (C, X, \delta')$ of an α_0 -product $B \times E[X, \varphi]$ where B is a permutation

automaton, say $\mathbf{B}=(B, X_1, \delta_1)$. Denote by h an onto homomorphism $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$. Set $C_0=h^{-1}(A_0)$. We may assume that every state in C_0 is a generator of \mathbf{C} . Each state in C_0 must have 0 as its second component since otherwise we would have $C \subseteq B \times \{1\}$, and this would yield that \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{A} are permutation automata.

Let $(a_1, 0), (b_1, 0) \in C_0$ with $h(a_1, 0)=a, h(b_1, 0)=b$. Take a word $q \in X^*$ with $\delta(a, pq)=a$. We have $\delta(a, (pq)^n)=\delta(b, (pq)^n)=a$, and hence $\delta'((a_1, 0), (pq)^n), \delta'((b_1, 0), (pq)^n) \in C_0$, for all $n \geq 1$. Define $r = \varphi_1(pq)$. For every integer $n \geq 1$ we have $\delta'((a_1, 0), (pq)^n) = (\delta_1(a_1, r^n), 0)$ and $\delta'((b_1, 0), (pq)^n) = (\delta_1(b_1, r^n), 0)$. Since \mathbf{B} is a permutation automaton, there is an $n \geq 1$ with $a_1 = \delta_1(a_1, r^n)$ and $b_1 = \delta_1(b_1, r^n)$. Thus we obtain $a = h(a_1, 0) = h(\delta'((a_1, 0), (pq)^n)) = h(\delta'((b_1, 0), (pq)^n)) = h(b_1, 0) = b$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{pm}$ be a class containing \mathbf{E} , closed under X -subautomata and homomorphic images and such that $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. A class \mathcal{K}_0 is isomorphically α_0 -complete for \mathcal{K} if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (i) every simple cone and every simple strongly connected permutation automaton belonging to \mathcal{K} is in $\mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$,
- (ii) for every 0-simple cone $\mathbf{A}_\delta \in \mathcal{K}$ there is a $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}_0$ such that \mathbf{A}_δ is isomorphic to a subautomaton of an α_0 -product of \mathbf{E} with \mathbf{B} .

Proof. The necessity of (i) comes from Proposition 1.1 while (ii) is necessary in virtue of Lemma 2.4.

For the converse recall that \mathcal{K} satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that every strongly connected automaton and every cone belonging to \mathcal{K} is contained by $\mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$.

Let $\mathbf{A}=(A, X, \delta) \in \mathcal{K}$ be a cone with base A_0 and apex a_0 . Since $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ is closed under X -subautomata, we may assume that for every $p \in X^*$ there is a $\bar{p} \in X$ inducing the same transition as p . If \mathbf{A} is simple then $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$ by (i). If \mathbf{A} is 0-simple then \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to an α_0 -product $\mathbf{A}_\delta[X, \varphi]$ with a single factor where $\mathbf{A}_\delta \in \mathcal{K}$ is a 0-simple cone. (Recall that \mathcal{K} is closed under X -subautomata.) Therefore, we may assume that \mathbf{A} is of the form \mathbf{A}_δ . Now, by (ii), \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to a subautomaton of an α_0 -product of \mathbf{E} with \mathbf{B} where $\mathbf{B} \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Since \mathbf{E} is a simple cone we have $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. It follows that $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbf{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$. Suppose that \mathbf{A} is neither simple nor 0-simple. We proceed by induction on $|A|$. If $|A|=2$ our statement holds vacantly. Let $|A| > 2$. There exists a congruence relation $\theta \neq \omega$ of \mathbf{A} such that $a\theta b$ implies $a=b$ or $a, b \in A_0$, and such that A_0 contains at least two blocks of the partition induced by θ .

Let $C_0 = \{a_0\}, C_1, \dots, C_n$ ($n \geq 2, |C_1| > 1$) be the blocks of θ . Since \mathbf{A} is generated by any state in A_0 , from Lemma 3.2 we have the following: for every $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there exists a word $p \in X^*$ with $\delta(C_i, p) = C_j$. Consequently, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there is a pair of words (p_i, q_i) with $\delta(C_1, p_i) = C_i, \delta(C_i, q_i) = C_1$ and such that $p_i q_i$ induces the identity map on C_1 while $q_i p_i$ induces the identity map on C_i .

Set $X' = \{x \in X \mid \delta(C_1, x) \subseteq C_0 \cup C_1\}, \mathbf{C} = (C_0 \cup C_1, X', \delta')$, where $\delta'(c, x) = \delta(c, x)$ for all $c \in C_0 \cup C_1$ and $x \in X'$. Obviously, both \mathbf{A}/θ and \mathbf{C} are cones in \mathcal{K} . Fix a sign $x_0 \in X'$ with $\delta'(C_1, x_0) = C_0$. Take the α_0 -product

$$\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta'') = \mathbf{A}/\theta \times \mathbf{C}[X, \varphi]$$

where $\varphi_1(x) = x$ and

$$\varphi_2(C_i, x) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } \delta(C_i, x) = C_0 \\ \overline{p_i x q_j} & \text{if } \delta(C_i, x) = C_j \text{ and } i, j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that $B' = (B', X, \delta')$ is a subautomaton of B where

$$B' = \{(C_0, a_0)\} \cup \{(C_i, a) \mid i = 1, \dots, n, a \in C_1\}.$$

Further, the map $(C_0, a_0) \mapsto a_0, (C_i, a) \mapsto \delta(a, p_i) (i = 1, \dots, n, a \in C_1)$ is an isomorphism of B' onto A . Hence the result follows from the induction hypothesis.

Suppose now that $A = (A, X, \delta) \in \mathcal{K}$ is a strongly connected automaton. From Lemma 3.1 we know that A is a permutation automaton. Just as before, we may assume that for every $p \in X^*$ there is a sign $\overline{p} \in X$ with $\delta_p = \delta_{\overline{p}}$. If A is simple then $A \in \text{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}) \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$. Otherwise let θ be a congruence relation of A different from ω and ι . Denote by $C_1, \dots, C_n (n \geq 2, |C_1| > 1)$ the blocks of the partition induced by θ . Set $X' = \{x \in X \mid \delta(C_1, x) = C_1\}$. One shows that A is isomorphic to an α_0 -product of A/θ with C , where $C = (C_1, X', \delta'), \delta'(c, x) = \delta(c, x) (c \in C_1, x \in X')$.

We note that a substantial part of the above proof as well as the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 follow well-known ideas (see [1], [4], [5]).

Corollary. Let $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_p$ be closed under X -subautomata and homomorphic images and suppose that $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. If \mathcal{K} contains a nontrivial strongly connected automaton then a class \mathcal{K}_0 is isomorphically α_0 -complete for \mathcal{K} if and only if $A \in \text{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ holds for every simple strongly connected automaton A in \mathcal{K} .

Let \mathcal{G} be a nonempty class of (finite) simple groups closed under division. (Recall that G_1 divides G_2 for groups G_1 and G_2 , written $G_1 \mid G_2$, if and only if G_1 is a homomorphic image of a subgroup of G_2 .) Denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G})$ the class $\text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\{A(G) \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\})$; $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G})$ is an α_0^* -variety contained in \mathcal{K}_p . It follows from the Krohn—Rhodes Decomposition Theorem that every α_0^* -variety of permutation automata is of the form $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G})$ except for the α_0^* -variety consisting of all automata (A, X, δ) such that δ_x is the identity map for each $x \in X$. Moreover, if \mathcal{G} contains a nontrivial simple group then for every permutation automaton A we have $A \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G})$ if and only if $G \mid S(A)$ implies $G \in \mathcal{G}$ for simple groups G . Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_p$, also $\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G}) = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G}) \cup \mathcal{K}_m) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{pm}$. We obviously have

$$\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G}) = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{G}) \cup \{E\}) = \text{HSP}_{\alpha_0}(\{A(G) \mid G \in \mathcal{G}\} \cup \{E\}).$$

Thus, $\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G})$ is an α_0 -variety in \mathcal{K}_{pm} , or even, it is an α_0^* -variety.

Corollary. $\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G}) \subseteq \text{ISP}_{\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K})$ if and only if the following hold:

- (i) for every simple cone $A \in \mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G})$ we have $A \in \text{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$,
- (ii) for every 0-simple cone $A_0 \in \mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G})$ there is a $B \in \mathcal{K}_0$ such that A_0 is isomorphic to a subautomaton of an α_0 -product of E with B .

Proof. Use Theorem 3.3 and the following fact: every simple strongly connected (permutation) automaton in $\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G})$ is isomorphic to an X -subautomaton of a 0-simple cone A_0 in $\mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G})$.

Corollary [2]. A class \mathcal{K}_0 is isomorphically α_0 -complete for \mathcal{K}_m if and only if $E \in \text{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be the class of trivial groups. We have $\mathcal{K}_m = \mathcal{K}_m(\mathcal{G})$. On the other hand, every cone in \mathcal{K}_m is similar to \mathbf{E} . More exactly, if $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}_m$ is a cone then \mathbf{A} is isomorphic to an α_0 -product in $\mathbf{P}_{1\alpha_0}(\{\mathbf{E}\})$.

An automaton $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$ is called *commutative* if $\delta(a, xy) = \delta(a, yx)$ for all $a \in A$ and $x, y \in X$, i.e., if $S(\mathbf{A})$ is commutative. Denote by \mathcal{K} the class of all commutative automata; \mathcal{K} is closed under X -subautomata and homomorphic images. Moreover, $\mathcal{K}^* \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{pm}$. For a prime $p > 1$ let \mathbf{C}_p be a fixed automaton of the form $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{Z}_p)^c$, where \mathbf{Z}_p is the cyclic group of order p . Every simple commutative automaton is in the class $\mathbf{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\{\mathbf{C}_p | p > 1 \text{ is a prime}\})$, and every 0-simple commutative cone is in $\mathbf{ISP}_{1\alpha_0}(\{\mathbf{C}_p^c | p > 1 \text{ is a prime}\})$.

Corollary [7]. A class \mathcal{K}_0 is isomorphically α_0 -complete for the class of all commutative automata if and only if the following hold:

- (i) $\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{HSP}_{1\alpha_0}(\mathcal{K}_0)$,
- (ii) for every prime $p > 1$ there is an $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{K}_0$ such that \mathbf{C}_p^c is isomorphic to a subautomaton of an α_0 -product of \mathbf{E} with \mathbf{A} .

Abstract

Every isomorphically α_0 -complete class for a class \mathcal{K} of automata must essentially contain all simple automata belonging to \mathcal{K} . In this paper we present some classes \mathcal{K} for which also the converse is true, or isomorphically α_0 -complete classes can be characterized by means of automata in \mathcal{K} close to simple automata.

BOLYAI INSTITUTE
A. JÓZSEF UNIVERSITY
ARADI VÉRTANÚK TERE 1
SZEGED, HUNGARY
H-6720

References

- [1] EILENBERG, S., Automata, languages, and machines, v. B, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [2] GÉCSEG, F., On products of abstract automata, Acta Sci. Math, 38 (1976), 21—43.
- [3] GÉCSEG, F., Products of automata, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [4] GINZBURG, A., Algebraic theory of automata, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [5] HARTMANIS, J. and R. E. STEARNS, Algebraic structure theory of sequential machines, Prentice-Hall, 1966.
- [6] IMREH, B., On α_0 -products of automata, Acta Cybernet., 3 (1978), 301—307.
- [7] IMREH, B., On isomorphic representations of commutative automata with respect to α_0 -products, Acta Cybernet., 5 (1980), 21—32.
- [8] IMREH, B., On finite nilpotent automata, Acta Cybernet., 5 (1981), 281—293.
- [9] IMREH, B., On finite definite automata, Acta Cybernet., 7 (1985), 61—65.
- [10] STIFFLER, P., Extension of the fundamental theorem of finite semigroups, Advances in Mathematics, 11 (1973), 159—209.
- [11] BRZOZOWSKI, J. A. and F. E. FICH, Languages of \mathcal{R} -trivial monoids, J. of Computer and System Sciences, 20 (1980), 32—49.

(Received Nov. 21, 1986)