

A note on axiomatizing flowchart schemes

V. E. Cazanescu * Gh. Ştefanescu†

Abstract

A biflow is an equationally presented algebraic structure which completely characterizes flowchart schemes from the algebraic point of view. Usually it is presented using summation, composition, (left or right) feedbackation, identities, and block transpositions. In the present paper we give a new presentation for the biflow structure, without making use of composition and block transpositions, but using an extended feedbackation.

1 Introduction

The axiomatization of flowchart schemes is a basic step toward an algebraic theory of computation (see [CS87b], for example). A series of papers [CU82 & CG84], [BE85], [St86b], [Ba87] and [CS87a & 88b] has lead to an algebraic structure, called biflow, which completely characterizes flowchart schemes from the algebraic point of view. This structure uses a new looping operation, called feedbackation (introduced in [St86a]), which is in some cases better than iteration or repetition, cf. [St86b], [CS88a].

The biflow structure has been introduced in [St86b], without axiomatizing bijections. The bijections were axiomatized in [Ba87] and [CS87a] leading to the actual presentation for the biflow structure.

A usual flowchart scheme is built up from some atomic schemes connected by arrows. Note that a natural structure of biflow may be given on the collection of the sets of arrows used to connect atomic elements in flowchart schemes. The main interest in the biflow structure comes from the following theorem [CS88b, Theorem 8.2] (also presented in Section 4 of [CS87b]):

"Flowchart schemes have a universal property similar to that of polynomials, i.e. the flowchart schemes obtained using elements in a biflow T , as connections, and elements in a double-ranked set X , as atomic schemes, form the biflow freely generated by adding X to T ."

Hence in our theory of program schemes the biflow of flowchart schemes have the same role as the ring of polynomials in classical algebra. In other words the axioms which define the biflow structure give a complete axiomatization for the concrete

*Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest, Str. Academiei 14, 70109 Bucharest, ROMANIA

†Institute of Mathematics, Romanian Academy of Sciences, Bd. Păcii 220, 79622 Bucharest, ROMANIA

Table 1: Axioms B1-16 define a biflow, while B1-5, B6a,b,c, B7a, B8-16 define a flow.

<p>B1 $f \cdot (g \cdot h) = (f \cdot g) \cdot h$</p> <p>B2 $I_a \cdot f = f = f \cdot I_b$</p> <p>B3 $f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h$</p> <p>B4 $I_0 + f = f = f + I_0$</p> <p>B5 $I_a + I_b = I_{ab}$</p>	<p>B6a $(f + I_b) \cdot (g + g') = f \cdot g + I_b \cdot g'$</p> <p>B6b $(f + f') \cdot (I_b + g') = f \cdot I_b + f' \cdot g'$</p> <p>B6c $(I_{ab} + f) \cdot ({}^a X^b + I_d) = I_{ab} \cdot {}^a X^b + f \cdot I_d$</p>
<p>B6 $(f + f') \cdot (g + g') = f \cdot g + f' \cdot g'$</p>	<p>B7a ${}^c X^a \cdot (f + I_c) \cdot {}^b X^c = I_c + f$</p>
<p>B7 ${}^c X^a \cdot (f + g) \cdot {}^b X^d = g + f$ for $f : a \rightarrow b, g : c \rightarrow d$</p>	<p>B7a ${}^c X^a \cdot (f + I_c) \cdot {}^b X^c = I_c + f$ for $f : a \rightarrow b$</p>
<p>B8 ${}^a X^0 = I_a$</p>	<p>B9 ${}^a X^{bc} = ({}^a X^b + I_c) \cdot (I_b + {}^a X^c)$</p>
<p>B10 $f \cdot g \uparrow^a = ((f + I_a) \cdot g) \uparrow^a$</p>	<p>B11 $f \uparrow^a \cdot g = (f \cdot (g + I_a)) \uparrow^a$</p>
<p>B12 $f + g \uparrow^a = (f + g) \uparrow^a$</p>	<p>B13 $(f \cdot (I_d + {}^a X^b)) \uparrow^{ba} = ((I_c + {}^a X^b) \cdot f) \uparrow^{ab}$</p>
<p>B14 $f \uparrow^{ab} = f \uparrow^{ba}$</p>	<p>B15 $I_a \uparrow^a = I_0$</p>
<p>B16 ${}^a X^a \uparrow^a = I_a$</p>	<p>B16 ${}^a X^a \uparrow^a = I_a$</p>

flowchart schemes in the context of Manysorted Equational Logic. An element in an abstract biflow may be regarded as an abstract flowchart scheme.

A comparison between feedbackation, Elgot's iteration and Kleene's repetition is given in [CS88a]. As a by-product there are given certain axiom systems for defining the concept of "biflow over an algebraic theory", in terms of iteration, and the concept of "biflow over a matrix theory", in terms of repetition.

The aim of this paper is to give an axiom system for defining the biflow structure, without making use of composition and block transpositions, but using an extended feedbackation, i.e. we allow a feedback to connect an arbitrary output with an arbitrary, compatible input. (In the previous papers we have used only the right and the left feedbackation.) Consequently the operations we use in this paper are: *summation*, (extended) *feedbackation*, and *identities*.

The inspiration for the present note came from a reading of Parrow's axiomatization [Pa87] for a certain kind of nets, called synchronization flow networks. A comparison between the present axiom system for biflow and Parrow's axiom system is given [CS89].

* * *

Let $(M, +, 0)$ be a free monoid. We agree to omit the writing of "+", that is we write, for example, ab instead of $a + b$. The letters a, b, c, d, e, u, v, w will denote elements of M .

Definition 1 An M -biflow (resp. M -flow) B is an abstract structure given by:

- a family of sets $\{B(a, b)\}_{a, b \in M}$;

Table 2: These axioms define a BIFLOW, while the subset of all the axioms denoted by S.. or F.. define a FLOW

	$S1 \quad f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h$	
$S2 \quad f + I_0 = f$		$S2^0 \quad f = I_0 + f$
	$S3 \quad I_a + I_b = I_{ab}$	
	$F0a \quad f \uparrow_{c(0)d}^{a(0)b} = f$	
$F0b \quad f \uparrow_{c(uv)d}^{a(uv)b} = f \uparrow_{c(u)vd}^{a(u)vb} \uparrow_{c(v)d}^{a(v)b}$		$F0b^0 \quad f \uparrow_{dv(u)c}^{bv(u)a} \uparrow_{d(v)c}^{b(v)a} = f \uparrow_{d(vu)c}^{b(vu)a}$
$F1 \quad f \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b} + g = (f + g) \uparrow_{c(u)dc'}^{a(u)ba'}$		$F1^0 \quad (g + f) \uparrow_{c'd(u)c}^{a'b(u)a} = g + f \uparrow_{d(u)c}^{b(u)a}$
	$F2a \quad f \uparrow_{a'(u)b'vc'}^{a(u)bvc} \uparrow_{a'(v)c'}^{ab(v)c} = f \uparrow_{a'ub'(v)c'}^{aub(v)c} \uparrow_{a'(v)b'c'}^{a(u)bc}$	
	$F2b \quad f \uparrow_{a'vb'(u)c'}^{a(u)bvc} \uparrow_{a'(v)b'c'}^{ab(v)c} = f \uparrow_{a'(v)ub'c'}^{aub(v)c} \uparrow_{a'(v)b'c'}^{a(u)bc}$	
$F3 \quad (I_a + f) \uparrow_{0(a)b}^{a(a)0} = f$		$F3^0 \quad f = (f + I_a) \uparrow_{b(a)0}^{0(a)a}$
$F3_0 \quad (I_a + f) \uparrow_{a(a)0}^{0(a)b} = f$		$F3_0^0 \quad f = (f + I_a) \uparrow_{0(a)0}^{b(a)0}$
	$F4 \quad I_a \uparrow_{0(a)0}^{0(a)0} = I_0$	
	$P \quad (f + g) \uparrow_{0(b)c}^{a(b)0} = (g + f) \uparrow_{c(b)0}^{0(b)a}$	

- two kinds of constants:

Identities $I_a \in B(a, a)$ for $a \in M$ and

Block Transpositions ${}^aX^b \in B(ab, ba)$ for $a, b \in M$;

- three operations:

Summation $+: B(a, b) \times B(c, d) \rightarrow B(ac, bd)$ for $a, b, c, d \in M$,

Composition $\cdot: B(a, b) \times B(b, c) \rightarrow B(a, c)$ for $a, b, c \in M$, and

(Right) Feedbackation $\uparrow^a: B(ba, ca) \rightarrow B(b, c)$ for $a, b, c \in M$

and fulfilling axioms B1-16 (resp. B1-5, B6a,b,c, B7a and B8-16) in Table 1¹. \square

We declare that feedbackation has the strongest binding power, then composition, then summation. For instance, $f \cdot g + f' \cdot g'$ means $(f \cdot g) + (f' \cdot g')$, while $f + g \uparrow^a$ means $f + (g \uparrow^a)$. The sign of composition " \cdot " is usually omitted.

In an M -(bi)flow B , defined as above, one may define an extended feedbackation $\uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}$ by

$$f \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b} = [(I_a + {}^bX^u) \cdot f \cdot (I_c + {}^uX^d)] \uparrow^u \quad \text{for } f \in B(aub, cud). \quad (1)$$

Definition 2 Let us say that B is an M -BIFLOW (resp. M -FLOW) if it is given by a family of sets $\{B(a, b)\}_{a,b \in M}$, a summation, identities, and an

Extended Feedbackation $\uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}: B(aub, cud) \rightarrow B(ab, cd)$ for $a, b, c, d, u \in M$

and fulfilling the axioms in Table 2 (resp. all the axioms in Table 2 denoted by strings starting with letter " S " or " F "). \square

¹The algebra $Fl_{\Sigma, Pfn}$ of representations of Σ -flowcharts over Pfn in [CS87a] is an example of flow which is not a biflow (provided $\Sigma \neq 0$).

Consequently an M -BIFLOW is an M -FLOW which fulfills axiom (P).
 In an M -(BI)FLOW B one may define a composition "o" by

$$f \circ g = (f + g) \uparrow_{0(b)c}^{a(b)0} \text{ for } f \in B(a, b), g \in B(b, c), \tag{2}$$

block transpositions ${}^aX^b$ by

$${}^aX^b = (I_a + I_b + I_a) \uparrow_{0(a)ba}^{ab(a)0} \text{ for } a, b \in M \tag{3}$$

and a (right) feedbackation $- \uparrow^a$ by

$$f \uparrow^a = f \uparrow_{c(a)0}^{b(a)0} \text{ for } f \in B(ba, ca). \tag{4}$$

The aim of this note is to prove that the concept of M -biflow coincides with the concept of M -BIFLOW, i.e. the above definitions give two different presentations of the same algebraic structure. As a by-product we also get the equivalence of the concepts of M -flow and M -FLOW.

More precisely, let us consider the following transformations

$$B = (B, +, \cdot, \uparrow^a, I_a, {}^aX^b) \mapsto \alpha(B) = (B, +, \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}, I_a),$$

where $\uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}$ is that defined in B by formula (1) and

$$C = (C, +, \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}, I_a) \mapsto \beta(C) = (C, +, \circ, \uparrow^a, I_a, {}^aX^b)$$

where $\circ, {}^aX^b$, and \uparrow^a are those defined in C by formulas (2), (3), (4), respectively. We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (i) *If B is an M -biflow, then $\alpha(B)$ is an M -BIFLOW.*

(ii) *If C is an M -BIFLOW, then $\beta(C)$ is an M -biflow.*

(iii) *If B is an M -biflow then $\beta(\alpha(B)) = B$, and if C is an M -BIFLOW then $\alpha(\beta(C)) = C$.*

The proof of this theorem is based on the analogous theorem for flows which is stated below.

Theorem 2 (i) *If B is an M -flow, then $\alpha(B)$ is an M -FLOW.*

(ii) *If C is an M -FLOW, then $\beta(C)$ is an M -flow.*

(iii) *If B is an M -flow then $\beta(\alpha(B)) = B$, and if C is an M -FLOW then $\alpha(\beta(C)) = C$.*

The difficult part of the proof is the passing from (BI)FLOWS to (bi)flows. In order to make the proof easier to understand we insert a section with deductions of certain identities that are valid in a (BI)FLOW.

In the sequel we shall use two types of duality, briefly presented here.

Duality. We denote by a° the opposite of the word $a \in M$. Let $t \in B(a, b)$ be a term written with sum, extended feedback and identities.

The $^\circ$ -dual term $t^\circ \in B(a^\circ, b^\circ)$ is obtained by using the following inductive procedure:

the $^\circ$ -dual term of a variable $x \in B(a, b)$ is another variable $x^\circ \in B(a^\circ, b^\circ)$, and

$$(f + g)^\circ = g^\circ + f^\circ; (f \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b})^\circ = f^\circ \uparrow_{d^\circ(u^\circ)c^\circ}^{b^\circ(u^\circ)a^\circ}; (I_a)^\circ = I_{a^\circ}$$

The $^\circ$ -dual term $t_\circ \in B(b, a)$ is obtained by using the rules:

the $^\circ$ -dual term of a variable $x \in B(a, b)$ is another variable $x_\circ \in B(b, a)$, and

$$(f + g)_\circ = f_\circ + g_\circ; (f \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b})_\circ = f_\circ \uparrow_{a(u)b}^{c(u)d}; (I_a)_\circ = I_a$$

Lemma 1 ($^\circ$ -duality). *If E is an identity which is valid in every M -FLOW, then E° is an identity which is valid in every M -FLOW.*

($^\circ$ -duality) *If E is an identity which is valid in every M -FLOW, then E_\circ is an identity which is valid in every M -FLOW.*

Proof. It is enough to see that the $^\circ$ -dual (resp. $^\circ$ -dual) identity corresponding to a FLOW-axiom in Table 2 is also in Table 2, and the rules for deduction of valid identities (i.e. Mansorted Equational Logic) are invariant under $^\circ$ -duality (resp. under $^\circ$ -duality). \square

Clearly, for every E we have $(E^\circ)_\circ = (E_\circ)^\circ$; in the sequel we shall denote it simply by E°_\circ . From Lemma 3 it follows that:

Corollary ($^\circ$ -duality). *If E is an identity which is valid in every M -FLOW, then E°_\circ is an identity which is valid in every M -FLOW.* \square

In order to simplify the calculation we shall use the following convention.

Convention. The writing of 0, which denotes the neutral element of the underlying monoid M , is usually omitted.

If we are given two strings of letters, namely $\alpha = a_0(u_{\sigma(1)})a_1 \dots a_{n-1}(u_{\sigma(n)})a_n$ and $\beta = b_0(u_1)b_1 \dots b_{n-1}(u_n)b_n$ where each letter denotes an element in M , if moreover $\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n]^2$ is a bijection and u_1, \dots, u_n are all *distinct* letters, then by \uparrow_β^α we mean the multiple feedbackation computed, say, from right to left with respect to β . (By axioms (F2a) & (F2b) the order in which the feedbacks are computed is without importance.) For instance

$$f \uparrow_{(a)(u)b(v)c}^{(a)(v)b'(u)c'} (= f \uparrow_{0(a)0(u)b(v)c}^{0(a)0(v)b'(u)c'}) \text{ means } f \uparrow_{aub(v)c}^{a(v)b'uc'} \uparrow_{a(u)bc}^{ab'(u)c'} \uparrow_{(a)bc}^{(a)b'c'}$$

This rule is ambiguous when some letters in u_1, \dots, u_n are equal. In that case we use numbers to indicate the correct feedbacks (and *not* the order in which the feedbacks are computed, which is without importance). For instance

$$f \uparrow_{(1,u)d(2,u)c}^{(2,u)b(1,u)a} \text{ means } f \uparrow_{ud(u)c}^{(u)buab(u)a} \uparrow_{(u)dc}^{(u)ba}$$

\square

With this convention the axioms (F0b) and (F0b $^\circ$), which are equivalent in the presence of (F2a), may be easily written as $f \uparrow_{c(uv)d}^{a(uv)b} = f \uparrow_{c(u)(v)d}^{a(u)(v)b}$.

Lemma 2 *In the presence of (F0-2) the axioms (F3) & (F3 $^\circ$) are equivalent to (F3 $_\circ$) & (F3 $^\circ_\circ$).*

$^2[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

Table 3: These identities are valid in a FLOW

$$\begin{array}{ll}
F5 \ (I_a + f) \uparrow_{(a)c}^{a(b)} = f & F5^\circ \ f = (f + I_a) \uparrow_{c(a)}^{b(a)} \\
F5_0 \ (I_a + f) \uparrow_{(a)b}^{(a)c} = f & F5_0^\circ \ f = (f + I_a) \uparrow_{b(a)}^{c(a)} \\
F6 \ (I_u + f) \uparrow_{(1,u)d(2,u)c}^{(2,u)b(1,u)a} = f \uparrow_{d(u)c}^{b(u)a} & F6^\circ \ f \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b} = (f + I_u) \uparrow_{c(2,u)d(1,u)}^{a(1,u)b(2,u)} \\
F7 \ (= F7_0^\circ)(f + I_u + g) \uparrow_{b(1,u)c(2,u)d}^{a(1,u)v(2,u)w} = (f + g) \uparrow_{b(u)cd}^{av(u)w} \text{ for } f : a \rightarrow buc, g : vuw \rightarrow d & \\
F7^\circ \ (= F7_0)(g + f) \uparrow_{dc(u)b}^{w(u)va} = (g + I_u + f) \uparrow_{d(2,u)c(1,u)b}^{w(2,u)v(1,u)a} \text{ for } f : a \rightarrow cub, g : wuv \rightarrow d & \\
F8 \ (I_b + f) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{ba(b)} = (f + I_a) \uparrow_{c(a)}^{(a)ba} & \\
F8_0 \ (I_b + f) \uparrow_{ba(b)}^{(b)c} = (f + I_a) \uparrow_{(a)ba}^{c(a)} &
\end{array}$$

Proof. Suppose (F3) and (F3₀[°]) hold. Then (F3[°]) may be proved as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(f + I_a) \uparrow_{b(a)}^{(a)a} &= (\text{by } F3_0^\circ, \text{ then } F3)(I_a + ((f + I_a) \uparrow_{b(a)}^{(a)a} + I_b) \uparrow_{(b)b}^{(b)b}) \uparrow_{(a)b}^{(a)a} \\
&= (I_a + f + I_{ab}) \uparrow_{(2,a)(b)(1,a)b}^{a(1,a)(2,a)(b)} = (I_a + f + I_{ab}) \uparrow_{(ab)ab}^{aa(ab)} \uparrow_{(a)b}^{(a)a} = (\text{by } F3_0^\circ, \text{ then } F3) f.
\end{aligned}$$

We have proved that

$$(x) \ (F3) \ \& \ F3_0^\circ \implies F3^\circ.$$

By applying \circ -duality to (x) it follows that (F3₀[°]) & (F3) \implies F3₀. By applying \circ -duality to (x) it follows that (F3[°]) & (F3₀[°]) \implies (F3), and by applying \circ -duality to (x) it follows that (F3₀[°]) & (F3[°]) \implies (F3₀[°]). \square

Lemma 3 *The identities in Table 3 are valid in a FLOW.*

Proof. Proof of (F5):

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_a + f) \uparrow_{(a)c}^{a(b)} &= (\text{by } F3^\circ, \text{ and } F3_0)(I_c + ((I_a + f) \uparrow_{(a)c}^{a(b)} + I_{ab}) \uparrow_{c(ab)}^{(ab)ab}) \uparrow_{c(c)}^{(c)ab} \\
&= (I_{ca} + f + I_{ab}) \uparrow_{c(1,a)(c)(2,a)(b)}^{(c)(2,a)(1,a)(b)ab} = [(I_{ca} + f + I_a) \uparrow_{ca(ca)}^{(ca)aba} + I_b] \uparrow_{c(ab)}^{(ab)ab} \\
&= (\text{by } F3_0 \text{ and } F3^\circ) f.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (F6):

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_u + f) \uparrow_{(1,u)d(2,u)c}^{(2,u)b(1,u)a} &= (\text{by } F5)(I_b + (I_u + f) \uparrow_{(1,u)d(2,u)c}^{(2,u)b(1,u)a}) \uparrow_{(b)dc}^{b(b)a} \\
&= (I_{bu} + f) \uparrow_{(b)(1,u)d(2,u)c}^{b(2,u)(b)(1,u)a} = (I_{bu} + f) \uparrow_{(bu)duc}^{bu(bu)a} \uparrow_{d(u)c}^{b(u)a} = (\text{by } F5) f \uparrow_{d(u)c}^{b(u)a}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (F7):

$$\begin{aligned}
(f + I_u + g) \uparrow_{b(1,u)c(2,u)d}^{a(1,u)v(2,u)w} &= (\text{by } F6^\circ)(f + I_u + g + I_u) \uparrow_{b(4,u)c(2,u)d(3,u)}^{a(3,u)v(2,u)w(4,u)} \\
&= (f + (I_u + g + I_u) \uparrow_{(2,u)d(3,u)}^{(3,u)v(2,u)wu}) \uparrow_{b(4,u)cd}^{avw(4,u)} \\
&= (\text{by } F6)(f + (g + I_u) \uparrow_{d(5,u)}^{v(5,u)wu}) \uparrow_{b(4,u)cd}^{avw(4,u)} \\
&= (f + g + I_u) \uparrow_{b(4,u)cd(5,u)}^{av(5,u)w(4,u)} = (\text{by } F6^\circ)(f + g) \uparrow_{b(u)cd}^{av(u)w}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of (F8):

$$\begin{aligned}
(I_b + f) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{ba(b)} &= (\text{by } F5^\circ)((I_b + f) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{ba(b)} + I_a) \uparrow_{c(a)}^{b(a)a} = (I_b + f + I_a) \uparrow_{(b)c(a)}^{b(a)(b)a} \\
&= (I_b + (f + I_a) \uparrow_{c(a)}^{(a)ba}) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{b(b)a} = (\text{by } F5)(f + I_a) \uparrow_{c(a)}^{(a)ba}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of the remaining identities in Table 3 may be obtained from these ones by using \circ -duality, \circ -duality, or \circ -duality. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.(i) (resp. of Theorem 2.(i)). Clearly the identities in Table 2 hold in the algebras of flowchart schemes (resp. of flowchart scheme representations), hence by Theorem 8.2 in [CS88b] (resp. by Theorem 2.b.5. in [CS87a]) they hold in every biflow (resp. flow). Hence every biflow (resp. flow) is a BIFLOW (resp. FLOW). Direct deductions are given in [CS89]. \square

Lemma 4 *The following identities hold in a FLOW.*

$$(T1) \quad f \circ (I_b + {}^cX^d) = (f + I_c) \uparrow_{b(c)dc}^{a(c)}$$

$$(T1^\circ) \quad (I_c + f) \uparrow_{(c)a}^{cd(c)b} = ({}_dX_c + I_b) \circ f \text{ for } f : dcb \rightarrow a$$

$$(T2) \quad f \circ ({}^bX^c + I_d) = (I_c + f) \uparrow_{cb(c)d}^{(c)a}$$

$$(T2^\circ) \quad (f + I_c) \uparrow_{a(c)}^{d(c)bc} = (I_d + {}_cX_b) \circ f \text{ for } f : dcb \rightarrow a$$

" \circ " and " X " are those defined by formulas (2) and (3), respectively; ${}_aX_b := {}^bX^a$.

Proof. First we prove (T1):

$$f \circ (I_b + {}^cX^d) = (f + I_{bcd}) \uparrow_{(bcd)b(c)dc}^{a(bcd)(c)} = ((f + I_{bcd}) \uparrow_{(bcd)bcd}^{a(bcd)} + I_c) \uparrow_{b(c)dc}^{a(c)}$$

$$= (\text{by } F3^\circ)(f + I_c) \uparrow_{b(c)dc}^{a(c)}.$$

For (T2) note that

$$f \circ ({}^bX^c + I_d) = (f + I_{bcd}) \uparrow_{(bc)(d)(b)cba}^{a(bc)(b)(d)} = (\text{by } F7)(f + I_{cbd}) \uparrow_{(b)(c)(d)cba}^{a(c)(b)(d)}$$

$$= (\text{by } F5^\circ)(I_c + (f + I_{cbd}) \uparrow_{(b)(c)(d)cba}^{a(c)(b)(d)}) \uparrow_{c(c)bd}^{(c)a}$$

$$= ((I_c + f + I_c) \uparrow_{cb(2,c)d(1,c)}^{(1,c)a(2,c)} + I_{bd}) \uparrow_{c(bd)bd}^{a(bd)}$$

$$= (\text{by } F6^\circ \text{ and } F5^\circ)(I_c + f) \uparrow_{cb(c)d}^{(c)a}.$$

The other identities (T1 $^\circ$) and (T2 $^\circ$) follows by using $^\circ$ -duality. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. (ii). Let $C = (C, +, \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}, I_a)$ be an M -FLOW. We have to show that $(C, +, \circ, \uparrow_a, {}^aX^b)$ is an M -flow, where " \circ ", " ${}^aX^b$ ", and " \uparrow_a " are those defined in C by formulas (2), (3), and (4), respectively. That is, we have to verify the validity of the axioms (B1-5), (B6a,b,c), (B7a), (B8-16) in Table 1.

By using (F1), (F1 $^\circ$), and (F2a) one may easily see that (B1) is valid, and by using (F3) and (F3 $^\circ$) it follows that (B2) is valid. (B3-5) are common axioms. For (B6a) suppose $f : a \rightarrow b, g : b \rightarrow c$ and $g' : b' \rightarrow c'$. Then

$$(f + I_{b'}) \circ (g + g') = (f + I_{b'} + g + g') \uparrow_{(bb')cc'}^{ab'(bb')}$$

$$= (\text{by } F3^\circ)((f + I_{b'} + g + g') \uparrow_{(bb')cc'}^{ab'(bb')} + I_{ab'}) \uparrow_{cc'(ab')}^{(ab')ab'}$$

$$= (f + (I_{b'} + g + g' + I_{ab'}) \uparrow_{(2,b')cc'a(1,b')}^{(1,b')b(2,b')ab'}) \uparrow_{(b)cc'(a)}^{(a)(b)ab'}$$

$$= (\text{by } F6)(f + (g + g' + I_{ab'}) \uparrow_{cc'a(b')}^{b(b')ab'}) \uparrow_{(b)cc'(a)}^{(a)(b)ab'}$$

$$= [(f + g) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{a(b)} + g'] + I_{ab'}) \uparrow_{cc'(ab')}^{(ab')ab'} = (\text{by } F3^\circ) f \circ g + g' = f \circ g + I_{b'} \circ g'.$$

A proof of (F6b) may be obtained from the above proof of (F6a) by using $^\circ$ -duality.

In order to prove the axioms for block transpositions we use the identities (T1), (T1 $^\circ$) and (T2) in Lemma 6. For (B6c) note that

$$(I_{ab} + f) \circ ({}^aX^b + I_d) = (\text{by } T2)(I_b + I_{ab} + f) \uparrow_{ba(b)d}^{(b)abc}$$

$$= I_{bab} \uparrow_{ba(b)}^{(b)ab} + f = (\text{by } F8) I_{aba} \uparrow_{(a)ba}^{ab(a)} + f = {}^aX^b + f = I_{ab} \circ {}^aX^b + f \circ I_d.$$

For (B7a) note that

$$\begin{aligned} {}^cX^a \circ (f + I_c) \circ {}^bX^c &= (\text{by } T1) {}^cX^a \circ (f + I_c + I_b) \uparrow_{(b)cb}^{ac(b)} \\ &= (\text{by } T1^{\circ}) (I_c + (f + I_{cb}) \uparrow_{(b)cb}^{ac(b)}) \uparrow_{(c)cb}^{ca(c)} = ((I_c + f) + I_{cb}) \uparrow_{(cb)cb}^{ca(cb)} = (\text{by } F3^{\circ}) I_c + f. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (B8) is obvious. For (B9) note that

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^aX^b + I_c) \circ (I_b + {}^aX^c) &= (\text{by } T1) ({}^aX^b + I_c + I_a) \uparrow_{b(a)ca}^{abc(a)} \\ &= (I_{aba} + I_{ca}) \uparrow_{(1,a)b(2,a)ca}^{ab(1,a)c(2,a)} = (\text{by } F7) I_{abca} \uparrow_{(a)bca}^{abc(a)} = {}^aX^{bc}. \end{aligned}$$

The right feedback $- \uparrow^a$ is defined by formula (4), i.e.

$$f \uparrow^a = f \uparrow_{c(a)}^{b(a)} \text{ for } f : ba \longrightarrow ca.$$

Axiom (B10) is valid. Indeed, if $f : d \longrightarrow b$ and $g : ba \longrightarrow ca$, then

$$\begin{aligned} ((f + I_a) \circ g) \uparrow^a &= (f + I_a + g) \uparrow_{(ba)ca}^{da(ba)} \uparrow_{c(a)}^{d(a)} = (f + (I_a + g) \uparrow_{(1,a)c(2,a)}^{(2,a)b(1,a)}) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{d(b)} \\ &= (\text{by } F6) (f + g \uparrow_{c(a)}^{b(a)}) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{d(b)} = f \circ (g \uparrow^a). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (B11) is similar. Axiom (B12) is a particular case of $(F1^{\circ})$. For (B13) suppose $f : cba \longrightarrow dab$. Then

$$(f \circ (I_d + {}^aX^b)) \uparrow^{ba} = (\text{by } T1) (f + I_a) \uparrow_{d(a)ba}^{cba(a)} \uparrow_{d(ba)}^{c(ba)} = (\text{by } F6^{\circ}) f \uparrow_{d(a)(b)}^{c(b)(a)},$$

and similarly

$$((I_c + {}^aX^b) \circ f) \uparrow^{ab} = (\text{by } T2^{\circ}) (f + I_b) \uparrow_{dab(b)}^{c(b)ab} \uparrow_{d(ab)}^{c(ab)} = (\text{by } F6^{\circ}) f \uparrow_{d(a)(b)}^{c(b)(a)},$$

hence (B13) is valid. Axiom (B14) is a particular case of $(F0b^{\circ})$ and (B15) is $(F4)$. For (B16) note that

$${}^aX^a \uparrow^a = I_{aaa} \uparrow_{(a)aa}^{aa(a)} \uparrow_{(a)a}^{a(a)} = (I_{aa} + I_a) \uparrow_{(2,a)a(1,a)}^{a(1,a)(2,a)} = (\text{by } F6^{\circ}) I_{aa} \uparrow_{(a)a}^{a(a)} = (\text{by } F3) I_a. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 2. (iii). Suppose $\mathbf{B} = (B, +, \cdot, \uparrow^a, I_a, {}^aX^b)$ is an M -flow. The new composition " \circ " in $\beta(\alpha(\mathbf{B}))$ acts as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ g &= (f + g) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{a(b)} = [(I_a + {}^0X^b) \cdot (f + g) \cdot (I_0 + {}^bX^c)] \uparrow^b \\ &= ((f + g) \cdot {}^bX^c) \uparrow^b = f \cdot [(I_b + g) \cdot {}^bX^c] \uparrow^b = f \cdot ({}^bX^b \cdot (g + I_b)) \uparrow^b \\ &= f \cdot ({}^bX^b \uparrow^b) \cdot g = f \cdot I_b \cdot g = f \cdot g. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f \circ g = f \cdot g$.

The new block transposition ${}^aX^b$ in $\beta(\alpha(\mathbf{B}))$ is

$$\begin{aligned} {}^aX^b &= I_{aba} \uparrow_{(a)ba}^{ab(a)} = [(I_{ab} + {}^0X^a) \cdot I_{aba} \cdot (I_0 + {}^aX^{ba})] \uparrow^a \\ &= {}^aX^{ba} \uparrow^a = [({}^aX^b + I_a) \cdot (I_b + {}^aX^a)] \uparrow^a = {}^aX^b \cdot (I_b + {}^aX^a \uparrow^a) = {}^aX^b \cdot (I_b + I_a) = {}^aX^b. \end{aligned}$$

Hence ${}^aX^b = {}^aX^b$.

The new right feedbackation $- \uparrow^a$ in $\beta(\alpha(\mathbf{B}))$ acts as follows. For $f : ba \rightarrow ca$, $f \uparrow^a = [(I_b + {}^0X^a) \cdot f \cdot (I_c + {}^aX^0)] \uparrow^a = f \uparrow^a$.

Hence $- \uparrow^a = - \uparrow^a$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathbf{C} = (C, +, \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}, I_a)$ is an M -FLOW. The new extended feedbackation $\uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}$ in $\alpha(\beta(\mathbf{C}))$ acts as follows. For $f : aub \rightarrow cud$

$$\begin{aligned} f \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b} &= [(I_a + {}^bX^u) \circ f \circ (I_c + {}^uX^d)] \uparrow^u \\ &= (\text{by } T1) [(I_a + {}^bX^u) \circ (f + I_u) \uparrow_{c(u)du}^{aub(u)}] \uparrow^u \\ &= (\text{by } T2^{\circ}) [(f + I_u) \uparrow_{c(u)du}^{aub(u)} + I_u] \uparrow_{cdu(u)}^{a(u)bu} \uparrow_{cd(u)}^{ab(u)} \\ &= (\text{by } F6^{\circ}) (f + I_u) \uparrow_{c(u)du}^{aub(u)} \uparrow_{cd(u)}^{a(u)b} = (\text{by } F6^{\circ}) f \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b} = \uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}$. □

Table 4: These axioms define a scalar BIFLOW, while the subset of all the axioms denoted by SS.. or SF.. define a scalar FLOW

$SS1$	$f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h$	
$SS2$	$f + I_s \uparrow_1^1 = f$	$SS2^o$ $f = I_s \uparrow_1^1 + f$
$SF1$	$f \uparrow_j^i + g = (f + g) \uparrow_j^i$	$SF1^o$ $(g + f) \uparrow_{ d +j}^{ c +i} = g + f \uparrow_j^i$ for $g : c \rightarrow d$
$SF2a$	$f \uparrow_{ a' +1}^{ a +1} \uparrow_{ a'b' +1}^{ ab +1} = f \uparrow_{ a'b' +2}^{ ab +2} \uparrow_{ a' +1}^{ a +1}$	for $f : asbtc \rightarrow a'sb'tc'$
$SF2b$	$f \uparrow_{ a'b' +2}^{ a +1} \uparrow_{ a' +1}^{ ab +1} = f \uparrow_{ a' +1}^{ ab +2} \uparrow_{ a'b' +1}^{ a +1}$	for $f : asbtc \rightarrow a'tb'sc'$
$SF3$	$(I_{s_1} + \dots + I_{s_n} + f) \uparrow_n^{n+n} \uparrow_{n-1}^{n+n-1} \dots \uparrow_1^{n+1} = f$	for $f : s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow a$
$SF3^o$	$f = (f + I_{s_n} + \dots + I_{s_1}) \uparrow_n^{ a +n} \uparrow_{n-1}^{ a +n-1} \dots \uparrow_1^{ a +1}$	for $f : a \rightarrow s_n \dots s_1$
SP	$(f + g) \uparrow_n^{ a +n} \uparrow_{n-1}^{ a +n-1} \dots \uparrow_1^{ a +1} = (g + f) \uparrow_{ b +n}^n \uparrow_{ b +n-1}^{n-1} \dots \uparrow_{ b +1}^1$	for $f : a \rightarrow s_1 \dots s_n, g : s_1 \dots s_n \rightarrow b$

It has to be noted that a biflow is a flow fulfilling (B7). Indeed, (B6) may be proved by using (B7) as follows: if $f' : a' \rightarrow b'$ and $g : b \rightarrow c$, then

$$g + f' = (\text{by } B7) {}^b X^{a'} (f' + g) {}^b X^c = (\text{by } B6a) {}^b X^{a'} (f' + I_b) (I_{b'} + g) {}^b X^c$$

$$= (\text{by } B7a) (I_b + f') {}^b X^{b'} {}^{b'} X^b (g + I_{b'}) = (\text{by } B7a) (I_b + f') (g + I_{b'})$$

therefore if moreover $f : a \rightarrow b$ and $g' : b' \rightarrow c'$, then

$$(f + f') (g + g') = (\text{by } B6a) (f + I_{a'}) (I_b + f') (g + I_{b'}) (I_c + g')$$

$$= (f + I_a) (g + f') (I_c + g') = (\text{by } B6a) (fg + f') (I_c + g') = (\text{by } B6b) fg + f'g'$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of this theorem is based on Theorem 2 and we shall use the notation in the above proof of Theorem 2. Suppose \mathbf{B} is an M -biflow. We still have to show that $\uparrow_{c(u)d}^{a(u)b}$ fulfils axiom (P). Indeed, if $f : a \rightarrow b$ and $g : b \rightarrow c$ then

$$(f + g) \uparrow_{(b)c}^{(b)} = [(I_a + {}^0 X^b)(f + g)(I_0 + {}^b X^c)] \uparrow^b = ((f + g) {}^b X^c) \uparrow^b$$

$$= (\text{by } B7) ({}^a X^b (g + f)) \uparrow^b = [(I_0 + {}^a X^b)(g + f)(I_c + {}^b X^0)] \uparrow^b = (g + f) \uparrow_{c(b)}^{(b)a}$$

(ii) Suppose \mathbf{C} is an M -BIFLOW. We still have to show that axiom (B7) holds. Indeed, if $f : a \rightarrow b$ and $g : c \rightarrow d$ then

$${}^c X^a \circ (f + g) \circ {}^b X^d = (\text{by } T1 \text{ and } T1^o) (I_c + f + g + I_b) \uparrow_{(c)(b)db}^{ca(c)(b)}$$

$$= [(I_c + f) + (g + I_b)] \uparrow_{(cb)db}^{ca(cb)} = (\text{by } P) [(g + I_b) + (I_c + f)] \uparrow_{db(cb)}^{(cb)ca}$$

$$= (\text{by } F3^o) (g + I_{bc} + f + I_{ca}) \uparrow_{db(cb)(ca)}^{(cb)(ca)ca} = (g + (I_{bc} + f + I_{ca})) \uparrow_{bc(bc)a}^{(bc)aca} \uparrow_{db(ca)}^{(ca)ca}$$

$$= (\text{by } F5_o) (g + f + I_{ca}) \uparrow_{db(ca)}^{(ca)ca} = (\text{by } F3^o) g + f. \quad \square$$

Since the monoid M is a free monoid S^* , feedbackation may be restricted to letters. More precisely a

Scalar Extended Feedbackation is a family of operations

$$\uparrow_j^i : B(s_1 \dots s_m, t_1 \dots t_n) \rightarrow B(s_1 \dots s_{i-1} s_{i+1} \dots s_m, t_1 \dots t_{j-1} t_{j+1} \dots t_n),$$

where $s_1, \dots, s_m, t_1, \dots, t_n \in S, i \in [m], j \in [n]$ are such that $s_i = t_j$.

The resulting axiom system is presented in Table 4. In this table the letters s and t range over S , while the others over S^* , and $|a|$ denotes the length of a word $a \in S^*$. Axioms (SS1) and (SS2 & SS2^o) show the sum is associative with neutral element $I_s \uparrow_1^1$. Axioms (SF1 & SF1^o) show the feedback commutes with

sum. Axioms (SF_3 & SF_3^o) show identities behave in a natural way, and finally axiom (SP) is a permutability axiom.

References

- [Ba87] BARTHA M., A finite axiomatization of flowchart schemes, *Acta Cybernetica* 8 (1987), 203-217.
- [BEW80a] BLOOM S. L., ELGOT C. C. and WRIGHT J. B., Solution of the iteration equation and extensions of the scalar iteration operation. *SIAM Journal of Computing*, 9, 1(1980), 26-45.
- [BE85] BLOOM S.L. AND ÉSIK Z., Axiomatizing schemes and their behaviours, *J. Comput. System Sci.* 31 (1985), 375- 393.
- [CG84] CAZANESCU V.E. AND GRAMA S., "On the definition of M-flowcharts", Preprint Series in Mathematics No. 56/1984; also in: *An. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iasi, Mat XXXIII*, 4 (1987), 311-320.
- [CS87a] CAZANESCU V.E. AND STEFANESCU GH., " A Formal Representation of Flowchart Schemes," Preprint Series in Mathematics No. 22/June 1987, INCREST, Bucharest; also in: *An.Univ. Bucuresti, Mat.-Inf. XXXVII*, 2 (1988), 33-51.
- [CS87b] CAZANESCU V.E. AND STEFANESCU GH., "Towards a New Algebraic Foundation of Flowchart Scheme Theory," Preprint Series in Mathematics No. 43/December 1987, INCREST, Bucharest; *Fundamenta Informaticae XIII* (1990), 171-210.
- [CS88a] CAZANESCU V.E. AND STEFANESCU GH., "Feedback, Iteration and Repetition," Preprint Series in Mathematics No. 42/August 1988, INCREST, Bucharest.
- [CS88b] CAZANESCU V.E. AND STEFANESCU GH., "A Formal Representation of Flowchart Schemes II," Preprint Series in Mathematics No.60/November 1988, INCREST, Bucharest; also in: *Stud. Cerc. Mat* 41 (1989), 151-167.
- [CS89] CAZANESCU V.E. AND STEFANESCU GH., "An axiom system for biflow using summation, (extended) feedbackation, and identities", Preprint Series in Mathematics No. 19/May 1989, INCREST, Bucharest.
- [CU82] CAZANESCU V.E. AND UNGUREANU C., " Again on advice on structure compilers and proving them correct", Preprint Series in Mathematics No. 75 November 1982, INCREST, Bucharest; published in two parts in *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 33 (1988), 561-573 and 34 (1989), 281-302.
- [El75] ELGOT C.C., Monadic computation and iterative algebraic theories. J. C. Shepherson, editor, *Logic Colloquium 1973*, Studies in Logic, volume 80. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [És80] ÉSIK Z. Identities in iterative and rational theories. *Computational Linguistics and Computer Languages*, 14(1980), 183-207.

- [Pa87] PARROW J., "Synchronisation flow algebra," Report ECS-LFCS-87-35, Computer Science Department, University of Edinburgh, August 1987.
- [St86a] STEFANESCU GH., An algebraic theory of flowchart schemes (extended abstract), in: Proceedings CAAP'86 (ed. P. Franchi-Zanettacci), LNCS 214, Springer Verlag 1986, 60-73.
- [St86b] STEFANESCU GH., "Feedback Theories (A Calculus for Isomorphism Classes of Flowchart Schemes)," Preprint Series in Mathematics No. 24/April 1986, INCREST, Bucharest; Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. Vol. 35, No. 1(1990), 73-79.

(Received October 17, 1989)