## Retractable state-finite automata without outputs\*

Attila Nagy<sup>†</sup>

## Abstract

A homomorphism of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  without outputs onto a subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a retract homomorphism if it leaves the elements of Bfixed. An automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a retractable automaton if, for every subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$ , there is a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ . In [1] and [3], special retractable automata are examined. The purpose of this paper is to give a construction for state-finite retractable automata without outputs.

In this paper, by an automaton we mean an automaton without outputs, that is, a system  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  consisting of a non-empty state set A, a non-empty input set X and a transition function  $\delta : A \times X \mapsto A$ . If A has only one element then the automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  will be called trivial. The function  $\delta$  is extended to  $A \times X^*$  ( $X^*$ denotes the free monoid over X) as follows. If a is an arbitrary state of  $\mathbf{A}$  then  $\delta(a, e) = a$  for the empty word e, and  $\delta(a, qx) = \delta(\delta(a, q), x)$  for every  $q \in X^*$ ,  $x \in X$ .

If B is a non-empty subset of the state-set of an automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$ such that  $\delta(b, x) \in B$  for every  $b \in B$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta_B)$  is an automaton, where  $\delta_B$  denotes the restriction of  $\delta$  to  $B \times X$ . This automaton is called a *subautomaton* (more precisely, an A-subautomaton) of  $\mathbf{A}$ . A subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a *proper subautomaton* of  $\mathbf{A}$  if B is a proper subset of A. A subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of an automaton A is said to be a *minimal subautomaton* of  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $\mathbf{B}$  has no proper subautomaton. If a subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of an automaton A has only one state then  $\mathbf{B}$  is minimal; the state of  $\mathbf{B}$  is called a *trap* of  $\mathbf{A}$ . If an automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  contains only one trap denoted by  $a_0$  then  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a *one-trap automaton* (or an OT-*automaton*). This fact will be denoted by  $(A, X, \delta; a_0)$ . If an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  has a subautomaton which is contained in every subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$  then it is called the *kernel* of  $\mathbf{A}$ . The kernel of  $\mathbf{A}$  is denoted by  $Ker\mathbf{A}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  be an automaton containing at most one trap. Let  $A^0$  denote the following set.  $A^0 = A$  if  $\mathbf{A}$  does not contain a trap or  $\mathbf{A}$  is trivial;  $A^0 = A - \{a_0\}$ if  $\mathbf{A}$  is a non-trivial OT-automaton and  $a_0$  is the trap of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Consider the mapping  $\delta^0 : A^0 \times X \mapsto A^0$  which is defined for a couple  $(a, x) \in A^0 \times X$  if and only if

<sup>\*</sup>Research supported by the Hungarian NFSR grant No T029525 and No T042481

 $<sup>^\</sup>dagger \mathrm{Department}$  of Algebra, Institute of Mathematics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics

 $\delta(a, x) \in A^0$ . In this case, let  $\delta^0(a, x) = \delta(a, x)$ .  $(A^0, X, \delta^0)$  is a partial automaton which will be denoted by  $\mathbf{A}^0$ .

An equivalence relation  $\alpha$  of the state set A of an automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  is called a *congruence* of  $\mathbf{A}$  if, for every  $a, b \in A$  and  $x \in X$ , the assumption  $(a, b) \in \alpha$ implies  $(\delta(a, x), \delta(b, x)) \in \alpha$ . It is easy to see that if  $\mathbf{B}$  is a subautomaton of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  then  $\rho_B = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : a = b \text{ or } a, b \in B\}$  is a congruence of  $\mathbf{A}$ , which is called the *Rees congruence* of  $\mathbf{A}$  induced by  $\mathbf{B}$ . The factor automaton  $\mathbf{A}/\rho_B$  is called the *Rees factor automaton* of  $\mathbf{A}$  modulo  $\mathbf{B}$ . If  $\mathbf{B}$  is a subautomaton of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  then we may describe the Rees factor  $\mathbf{A}/\rho_B$  as the result of collapsing B into a trap  $a_0$  of the Rees factor, while the elements of A outside of Bretain their identity. Sometimes we can identify these elements a  $(a \in A - B)$  with the one-element  $\rho_B$ -class [a], that is, we can suppose that the state set of the Rees factor is  $(A - B) \cup \{a_0\}$ .

If a is a state of an automaton **A**, then the smallest subautomaton  $\mathbf{R}(a)$  of **A** containing the state a is called the *principal subautomaton* of **A** generated by a. It is easy to see that  $R(a) = \delta(a, X^*) = \{\delta(a, p) : p \in X^*\}$ . Clearly, every minimal subautomaton of an automaton is principal.

The relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  defined by  $\mathcal{R} = \{(a, b) \in A \times A : R(a) = R(b)\}$  is an equivalence relation on A. The  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of A containing an element  $a \in A$  is denoted by  $R_a$ . The subset  $R(a) - R_a$  is denoted by R[a]. It is clear that R[a] is either empty or  $(R[a], X, \delta_{R[a]})$  is a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ . The factor automaton  $\mathbf{R}\{a\} = \mathbf{R}(a)/\rho_{R[a]}$  is called a *principal factor* of  $\mathbf{A}$ . We note that if  $\mathbf{R}[a] = \emptyset$  then  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is defined to be  $\mathbf{R}(a)$ . For example, if a is a trap then  $R(a) = \{a\}$  and so  $R[a] = \emptyset$ .

A mapping  $\phi$  (acting on the left) of the state set A of an automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta_A)$  into the state set B of an automaton  $\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta_B)$  is called a *homo-morphism* of  $\mathbf{A}$  into  $\mathbf{B}$  if  $\phi(\delta_A(a, x)) = \delta_B(\phi(a), x)$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ .

A mapping  $\phi$  (acting on the left) of  $A^0$  into  $B^0$  is called a *partial homomorphism* of a partial automaton  $\mathbf{A}^0 = (A^0, X, \delta^0_A)$  into a partial automaton  $\mathbf{B}^0 = (B^0, X, \delta^0_B)$ if, for every  $a \in A^0$ ,  $x \in X$ , the assumption  $\delta_A(a, x) \in A^0$  implies  $\delta_B(\phi(a), x) \in B^0$ and  $\delta_B(\phi(a), x) = \phi(\delta_A(a, x))$ .

**Definition 1.** A subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be a retract subautomaton if there is a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$  which leaves the elements of B fixed. Such a homomorphism is called a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ .

**Definition 2.** An automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is called a retractable automaton if every subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$  is retract.

Lemma 1. Every subautomaton of a retractable automaton is retractable.

*Proof.* As a subautomaton  $\mathbf{C}$  of a subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is also a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ , and the retriction of a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{C}$  to  $\mathbf{B}$  is a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{B}$  onto  $\mathbf{C}$ , our assertion is obvious.

**Lemma 2.** If **A** is a retractable automaton and  $\{a_i : i \in I\}$  are elements of *A* such that  $R(a_i) \subseteq R(b)$  for an element *b* of *A* then there is an index  $j \in I$  such that  $R(a_i) \subseteq R(a_j)$  for every  $i \in I$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  be a retractable automaton and  $\{a_i : i \in I\}$  be arbitrary elements of A such that  $R(a_i) \subseteq R(b)$  for an element b of A. Let  $R = \bigcup_{i \in I} R(a_i)$ . As  $\mathbf{R} = (R, X, \delta_R)$  is a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ , there is a retract homomorphism  $\lambda_R$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$ . As  $\lambda_R(b) \in R$ , there is an index  $j \in I$  such that  $\lambda_R(b) \in R(a_j)$ . Then  $\lambda_R(\delta(b, p)) = \delta(\lambda_R(b), p) \in R(a_j)$  for every  $p \in X^*$ , and so  $\lambda_R(R(b)) \subseteq R(a_j)$ . As  $R(a_i) \subseteq R \cap R(b)$   $(i \in I)$ , we get  $R(a_i) = \lambda_R(R(a_i)) \subseteq R(a_j)$  for every  $i \in I$ .

**Corollary 1.** Every subautomaton of a principal subautomaton of a retractable automaton is principal. In particular, for every state a of a retractable automaton  $\mathbf{A}$ , R[a] is either empty or  $\mathbf{R}[a]$  is a principal subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

*Proof.* Let **B** be a subautomaton of a principal subautomaton  $\mathbf{R}(b)$  of a retractable automaton **A**. Then  $R(a) \subseteq R(b)$  for every  $a \in B$ . By Lemma 2, there is an element  $c \in B$  such that  $R(a) \subseteq R(c)$  for every  $a \in B$ . As  $B = \bigcup_{a \in B} R(a)$ , we get B = R(c).

Let T be a set with a partial ordering  $\leq$  such that every two-element subset of T has a lower bound in T and every non-empty subset of T having an upper bound in T contains a greatest element. Then T is a semilattice under multiplication \* by letting a \* b  $(a, b \in T)$  be the (necessarily unique) greatest lower bound of a and b in T. A semilattice which can be constructed as above is called a *tree* ([4]).

**Corollary 2.** A state-finite retractable automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  contains a kernel if and only if the principal subautomata of  $\mathbf{A}$  form a tree with respect to inclusion.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a state-finite retractable automaton. The inclusion (the inclusion of the state-sets) is a partial ordering on the set T of all principal subautomata of  $\mathbf{A}$ . By Lemma 2, every non-empty subset of T having an upper bound in T contains a greatest element. As every finite tree has a least element, T (which is finite) is a tree if and only if it has a least element. As the least element of T is the kernel of  $\mathbf{A}$ , our proof is complete.

**Lemma 3.** Every principal subautomaton of a state-finite retractable automaton contains exactly one minimal subautomaton.

*Proof.* From the finiteness of the state set, it follows that every principal subautomaton contains a minimal subautomaton. As a minimal subautomaton is a principal subautomaton, our assertion follows from Lemma 2.

**Lemma 4.** If  $a_1, a_2$  are states of a state-finite retractable automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$ such that  $B_1 \subseteq R(a_1), B_2 \subseteq R(a_2)$  for distinct minimal subautomata  $\mathbf{B}_1$  and  $\mathbf{B}_2$ of  $\mathbf{A}$  then  $R(a_1) \cap R(a_2) = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* If  $c \in R(a_1) \cap R(a_2)$  then, by Lemma 3, there is a minimal subautomaton **B** of **A** such that  $B \subseteq R(c) \subseteq R(a_1) \cap R(a_2)$ . Using again Lemma 3, we get  $B_1 = B = B_2$  which is a contradiction.

If  $\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i, X, \delta_i)$ ,  $i \in I$  are automata such that  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for every  $i \neq j$ , then  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  is an automaton, where  $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$  and  $\delta(a, x) = \delta_i(a, x)$  for every  $a \in A_i$  and  $x \in X$ . The automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is called the *direct sum* of the automata  $\mathbf{A}_i$ ,  $i \in I$ .

**Definition 3.** We say that an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  is a strong direct sum of a family of subautomata  $\mathbf{A}_i$ ,  $i \in I$  if  $\mathbf{A}$  is a direct sum of  $\mathbf{A}_i$ ,  $i \in I$  and, for every couple  $(i, j) \in I \times I$ , there is a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}_i$  into  $\mathbf{A}_j$ .

**Theorem 1.** A strong direct sum of retractable automata is retractable.

Proof. Assume that an automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  is a strong direct sum of automata  $\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i, X, \delta_i), i \in I$ . Let  $\phi_{i,j}$  be the corresponding homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}_i$  into  $\mathbf{A}_j$   $(i, j \in I)$ . Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be an arbitrary subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let  $R_i = R \cap A_i$ . It is clear that  $R_i$  is either empty or  $\mathbf{R}_i = (R_i, X, \delta_{R_i})$  is a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}_i$ . Let  $\lambda_{R_i}$  denote a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}_i$  onto  $\mathbf{R}_i$  if  $R_i \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $i_0$  denote a fixed index, for which  $R_{i_0} \neq \emptyset$ . We define a mapping  $\lambda_R$  of A onto R as follows. If  $a \in A_i$  and  $R_i = \emptyset$ , then let  $\lambda_R(a) = \lambda_{R_{i_0}}(\phi_{i,i_0}(a))$ ; if  $a \in A_i$  and  $R_i \neq \emptyset$ , then let  $\lambda_R(a) = \lambda_{R_i}(a)$ . It is clear that  $\lambda_R$  mapps A onto R and leaves the elements of R fixed. To prove that  $\lambda_R$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$ , let  $i \in I$ ,  $a \in A_i$ ,  $x \in X$  be arbitrary elements. In case  $R_i = \emptyset$ ,

$$\lambda_R(\delta(a, x)) = \lambda_{R_{i_0}}(\phi_{i, i_0}(\delta_i(a, x))) = \lambda_{R_{i_0}}(\delta_{i_0}(\phi_{i, i_0}(a), x)) =$$
$$= \delta_{i_0}(\lambda_{R_{i_0}}(\phi_{i, i_0}(a)), x) = \delta(\lambda_R(a), x),$$

and, in case  $R_i \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\lambda_R(\delta(a, x)) = \lambda_{R_i}(\delta_i(a, x)) = \delta_i(\lambda_{R_i}(a), x) = \delta(\lambda_R(a), x),$$

because  $a, \delta(a, x) \in A_i$ . Hence  $\lambda_R$  is a retract homomorphism of **A** onto **R**. Thus the theorem is proved.

**Theorem 2.** For a state-finite automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$ , the following assertions are equivalent:

(i)  $\mathbf{A}$  is retractable;

(ii)  $\mathbf{A}$  is a direct sum of finite many state-finite retractable automata containing kernels being isomorphic to each other.

(iii)  $\mathbf{A}$  is a strong direct sum of finite many state-finite retractable automata containing kernels.

*Proof.* (i) implies (ii): Assume that **A** is retractable. As **A** is finite, it has a minimal subautomaton. Let  $\{\mathbf{B}_i, i = 1, 2, ..., r\}$  be the set of all distinct minimal subautomata of **A**. Let  $A_i = \bigcup_{a \in A} \{R(a) : B_i \subseteq R(a)\}, i = 1, 2, ..., r$ . It is clear that  $\mathbf{A}_i$  is a subautomaton of **A** and  $\mathbf{B}_i$  is the kernel of  $\mathbf{A}_i$  for every i = 1, ..., r. By Lemma 3, for every principal subautomaton  $\mathbf{R}(a)$  of **A**, there is a unique index i such that  $B_i \subseteq R(a)$ . Thus  $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ . By Lemma 4,  $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$  for every

 $i \neq j$ . Hence **A** is a direct sum of the automata  $\mathbf{A}_i$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, r$ . By Lemma 1, every automaton  $\mathbf{A}_i$  is retractable. Let  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$  be arbitrary. As  $\mathbf{B}_i$  is a minimal subautomaton of **A**, the retract homomorphism  $\lambda_{B_i}$  of **A** onto  $\mathbf{B}_i$  maps  $\mathbf{B}_j$  onto  $\mathbf{B}_i$ . Thus  $|B_j| \geq |B_i|$ . Similarly,  $|B_i| \geq |B_j|$ . Thus  $|B_i| = |B_j|$  and the restriction of  $\lambda_{B_j}$  to  $B_i$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathbf{B}_i$  onto  $\mathbf{B}_j$ . Thus (ii) is satisfied.

(ii) implies (iii): Assume that **A** is a direct sum of the state-finite retractable automata  $\mathbf{A}_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., r such that each of  $\mathbf{A}_i$  contains a kernel  $\mathbf{B}_i$ , and, for every  $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ , there is an isomorphism  $\phi_{i,j}$  of  $\mathbf{B}_i$  onto  $\mathbf{B}_j$ . It is easy to see that  $\Phi_{i,j}$  defined by

$$\Phi_{i,j}(a) = \phi_{i,j}(\lambda_{B_i}(a)), \ a \in A_i$$

is a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}_i$  into  $\mathbf{A}_j$ , where  $\lambda_{B_i}$  denotes a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}_i$  onto  $\mathbf{B}_i$ . Thus  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies (iii).

(iii) implies (i): By Theorem 1, it is obvious.

By the previous theorem, we concentrate our attention to state-finite retractable automata containing a kernel. These automata will be described by Corollary 3 and Theorem 7. First consider some results and notions which will be needed for us.

## **Lemma 5.** Every principal factor of an automaton can contain at most one trap.

*Proof.* If  $R[a] = \emptyset$  for a state *a* then the principal factor  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  has a trap only that case when *a* is a trap of **A**, that is, the principal factor is trivial. If  $R[a] \neq \emptyset$  then R(b) = R(a) for every  $b \in R_a = R(a) - R[a]$ , and so  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  contains only one trap, namely the  $\rho_{R[a]}$ -class R[a] of  $\mathbf{R}(a)$ .

**Definition 4.** An automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  is called strongly connected if, for every couple  $(a, b) \in A \times A$ , there is a word  $p \in X^+$  ( $X^+$  denotes the free semigroup over X) such that  $b = \delta(a, p)$ .

We note that every strongly connected automaton can contain only one subautomaton, namely itself. We also note that if an automaton is trivial (has only one state which is a trap) then it is strongly connected. If an automaton has at least two state and has a trap then it is not strongly connected.

**Definition 5.** A non-trivial OT-automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta; a_0)$  is called strongly trap-connected if, for every couple  $(a, b) \in A \times A$ ,  $a \neq a_0$ , there is a word  $p \in X^+$  such that  $b = \delta(a, p)$ .

We note that every strongly trap-connected automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta; a_0)$  contains only two subautomaton, namely itself and  $(\{a_0\}, X, \delta_{\{a_0\}})$ . Moreover, for every state  $a \neq a_0$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  there is a word  $p \in X^+$  such that  $a = \delta(a, p)$ .

**Definition 6.** We say that a non-trivial OT-automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta; a_0)$  is strongly trapped if  $\delta(a, x) = a_0$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 3.** Every principal factor of an automaton is either strongly connected or strongly trap-connected or strongly trapped.

Proof. If  $R[a] = \emptyset$  then  $\mathbf{R}\{a\} = \mathbf{R}(a)$  is strongly connected. If  $R[a] \neq \emptyset$  then, by Lemma 5,  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is a non-trivial OT-automaton. Let  $a_0$  denote the trap of  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$ . If  $|R_a| = 1$ , that is,  $R\{a\} = \{a, a_0\}$ , then  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is either strongly trapped (if  $\delta(a, x) \in R[a]$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is,  $\delta(a, x) = a_0$  in  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  for every  $x \in X$ ) or strongly trap-connected (if  $a = \delta(a, x)$  for some  $x \in X$ ). If  $|R_a| > 1$  then, for every elements b, c of  $R_a, c = \delta(b, p)$  for some  $p \in X^+$ . Moreover, for every  $b \in R_a$ , there is a word  $p \in X^+$  such that  $\delta(b, p) \in R[a]$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ , that is,  $\delta(b, p) = a_0$  in  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$ . Hence  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is strongly trap-connected.

**Definition 7.** An automaton **A** is called semiconnected if every principal factor of **A** is either strongly connected or strongly trap-connected.

**Theorem 4.** An automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  is semiconnected if and only if every subautomaton  $\mathbf{B}$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the following: for every  $a \in B$  there are elements  $b \in B$  and  $p \in X^+$  such that  $a = \delta(b, p)$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  be a semiconnected automaton and  $\mathbf{B}$  be a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Let a be an arbitrary element of B. Then  $R(a) \subseteq B$ . If a is a trap then  $a = \delta(a, x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Consider the case when a is not a trap. Then  $|R(a)| \geq 2$ . If  $R[a] = \emptyset$  then, by Theorem 3,  $\mathbf{R}(a) = \mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is strongly connected which means that, for every  $b \in R(a)$  there is a word  $p \in X^+$  such that  $a = \delta(b, p)$ . If  $R[a] \neq \emptyset$  then, by Theorem 3,  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is strongly trap-connected and so, for every element  $b \in R_a$ , there is a word  $p \in X^+$  such that  $a = \delta(b, p)$ . Thus, in all cases, there is a state  $b \in B$  and a word  $p \in X^+$  such that  $a = \delta(b, p)$ .

Conversely, assume that every subautomaton of an automaton  $\mathbf{A}$  satisfies the condition of the theorem. We show that  $\mathbf{A}$  is semiconnected. Let a be an arbitrary element of A. If a is a trap of  $\mathbf{A}$  then the principal factor  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is trivial (and so it is strongly connected). Consider the case when a is not a trap of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then a is an element of  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  (and is not the trap of  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$ ). By Theorem 3, it is sufficient to show that the principal factor  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is not strongly trapped. As  $\mathbf{R}(a)$  is a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ , by the condition of the theorem, there are elements  $b \in R(a) \ p \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $a = \delta(b, px) = \delta(\delta(b, p), x)$  in  $\mathbf{A}$ . It is clear that  $b' = \delta(b, p) \notin R[a]$  and so  $a = \delta(b', x)$  in  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$ . Thus  $\mathbf{R}\{a\}$  is not strongly trapped.

**Definition 8.** Let  $\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta_B)$  be a subautomaton of an automaton  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$ . We say that  $\mathbf{A}$  is a dilation of  $\mathbf{B}$  if there is a mapping  $\phi$  of A onto B which leaves the elements of B fixed and  $\delta(a, x) = \delta_B(\phi(a), x)$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 5.** Every dilation of a retractable automaton is retractable.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  be a dilation of a retractable subautomaton  $\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta_B)$ . Then there is a mapping  $\phi$  of A onto B which leaves the elements of B fixed and  $\delta(a, x) = \delta_B(\phi(a), x)$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then, for every  $c \in R$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\delta(c, x) \in R \cap B$ . Let  $\lambda_{R \cap B}$  denote

a retract homomorphism of **B** onto the subautomaton  $\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{B}$ . Define a mapping  $\lambda_R$  of A onto R as follows. Let  $\lambda_R(a) = a$  if  $a \in R$ , and let  $\lambda_R(a) = \lambda_{R \cap B}(\phi(a))$  if  $a \notin R$ . We show that  $\lambda_R$  is a homomorphism of **A** onto **R**. Let  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$  be arbitrary elements. If  $a \in R$  then

$$\delta(\lambda_R(a), x) = \delta(a, x) = \lambda_R(\delta(a, x)).$$

Assume  $a \notin R$ . Then

$$\delta(\lambda_R(a), x) = \delta_B(\lambda_{R \cap B}(\phi(a)), x) =$$
$$= \lambda_{R \cap B}(\delta_B(\phi(a), x)) = \lambda_R(\delta(a, x)),$$

because  $\lambda_R(a), \delta(a, x) \in B$  and the restriction of  $\lambda_R$  to B equals  $\lambda_{R \cap B}$ . Hence  $\lambda_R$  is a homomorphism of **A** onto **R**. As  $\lambda_R$  leaves the elements of R fixed, it is a retract homomorphism of **A** onto **R**. Consequently, **A** is a retractable automaton.

**Theorem 6.** Every retractable automaton is a dilation of a semiconnected retractable automaton.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta)$  be a retractable automaton and let  $B = \delta(A, X)$ . Then  $\mathbf{B} = (B, X, \delta_B)$  is a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$  and so there is a retract homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ . Let  $a \in A, x \in X$  be arbitrary elements. Then  $\delta(a, x) = \phi(\delta(a, x)) = \delta_B(\phi(a), x)$ . Hence  $\mathbf{A}$  is a dilation of  $\mathbf{B}$ . By Lemma 1,  $\mathbf{B}$  is retractable. Let  $\mathbf{R}$  be an arbitrary subautomaton of  $\mathbf{B}$ . If  $c \in R$  is an arbitrary element, then  $c = \delta(a, x)$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . Let  $\lambda_R$  denote the retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\lambda_R(a) \in R$  and

$$c = \lambda_R(c) = \lambda_R(\delta(a, x)) = \delta(\lambda_R(a), x).$$

Thus, by Theorem 4, **B** is semiconnected.

**Corollary 3.** An automaton is retractable if and only if it is a dilation of a semiconnected retractable automaton.

*Proof.* By the previous two theorems, it is evident.

Theorem 2 shows that the state-finite retractable automata are exactly the direct sums of finite many state-finite retractable automata such that each component in a mentioned direct sum contains a kernel, and these kernels are isomorphic with each other. Corollary 3 and the remark after Theorem 2 show that every component in a direct sum is a dilation of a state-finite semiconnected retractable automaton containing a kernel. Theorem 7 will show how we can construct the state-finite semiconnected retractable automata containing a kernel. These results togethet give a complete description of state-finite retractable automata.

**Construction.** Let T be a finite tree (under partial ordering  $\leq$ ) with the least element  $i_0$ . Let  $i \succ j$   $(i, j \in T)$  denote the fact that i > j and, for every  $k \in T$ ,  $i \geq k \geq j$  implies i = k or j = k.

Let  $\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i, X, \delta_i), i \in T$  be a family of disjunct automata such that

(i)  $\mathbf{A}_{i_0}$  is strongly connected and  $\mathbf{A}_i$  is a strongly trap-connected OT-automaton for every  $i \in T$  with  $i \neq i_0$ .

(ii) Let  $\phi_{i,i}$  denote the identity mapping of  $\mathbf{A}_i$ , and assume that, for every  $i, j \in T$  with  $i \succ j$ , there is a partial homomorphism  $\phi_{i,j}$  of  $\mathbf{A}_i^0$  into  $\mathbf{A}_j^0$  such that

(iii) for every  $i \succ j$  there are elements  $a \in A_i^0$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $\delta_i(a, x) \notin A_i^0$ and  $\delta_j(\phi_{i,j}(a), x) \in A_j^0$ .

For arbitrary elements  $i, j \in T$  with  $i \geq j$ , define a partial homomorphism  $\Phi_{i,j}$ of  $\mathbf{A}_i^0$  into  $\mathbf{A}_j^0$  as follows.  $\Phi_{i,i} = \phi_{i,i}$  and, if i > j such that  $i \succ k_1 \succ \ldots k_n \succ j$ then let

$$\Phi_{i,j} = \phi_{k_n,j} \circ \phi_{k_{n-1},k_n} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{k_1,k_2} \circ \phi_{i,k_1}.$$

(We note that if  $i \ge j \ge k$  are arbitrary elements of T then  $\Phi_{i,k} = \Phi_{j,k} \circ \Phi_{i,j}$ .)

Let  $A = \bigcup_{i \in T} A_i^0$ . Define a transition function  $\delta' : A \times X \to A$  as follows. If  $a \in A_i^0$  and  $x \in X$  then let  $\delta'(a, x) = \delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a), x)$ , where i'[a,x] denotes the greatest element of the set  $\{j \in T : \delta_j(\Phi_{i,j}(a), x) \in A_j^0\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathbf{A} = (A, X, \delta')$  is an automaton which will be denoted by  $(A_i, X, \delta_i; \phi_{i,j}, T)$ .

**Theorem 7.** A finite automaton is a semiconnected retractable automaton containing a kernel if and only if it is isomorphic to an automaton  $(A_i, X, \delta_i; \phi_{i,j}, T)$ constructed as above.

Proof. Let **R** be a subautomaton of an automaton  $(A_i, X, \delta_i; \phi_{i,j}, T)$ . As every automaton  $\mathbf{A}_i$   $(i \in T - \{i_0\})$  is strongly trap-connected and  $\mathbf{A}_{i_0}$  is strongly connected, it follows that  $R = \bigcup_{j \in \Gamma} A_j^0$  for some non-empty subset  $\Gamma$  of T. We show that  $\Gamma$  is an ideal of T, that is,  $i \in \Gamma$  and  $j \leq i$  together imply  $j \in \Gamma$  for all  $i, j \in T$ . Let i be an arbitrary element of T such that  $i \in \Gamma$ ,  $i \neq i_0$ . If  $j \in T$  with  $i \succ j$  then, by (iii), there are elements  $a \in A_i^0$  and  $x \in X$  such that  $\delta_i(a, x) \notin A_i^0$  and  $\delta_j(\phi_{i,j}(a), x) \in A_j^0$ . Then  $\delta'(a, x) \in A_j^0$ . Hence  $A_j^0 \cap R \neq \emptyset$  which implies that  $A_i^0 \subseteq R$  and so  $j \in \Gamma$ . This implies that  $\Gamma$  is an ideal of T. As T is a tree,

$$\pi: i \mapsto \max\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \le i\}$$

is a well-defined mapping of T onto  $\Gamma$  which leaves the elements of  $\Gamma$  fixed (in fact,  $\pi$  is a retract homomorphism of the semigroup T onto the ideal  $\Gamma$  of T (see [4])). We define a retract homomorphism  $\lambda_R$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$ . For an arbitrary element  $a \in A$ , let

$$\lambda_R(a) = \Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a)$$

if  $a \in A_i^0$ . It is easy to see that  $\lambda_R$  leaves the elements of R fixed. We prove that  $\lambda_R$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $x \in X$ ,  $a \in A_i^0$  be arbitrary elements. Using  $\delta'(a, x) = \delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a), x) \in A_{i'[a,x]}^0$  and the fact that  $\Phi_{i'[a,x],\pi(i'[a,x])}$  is a partial homomorphism, we get

$$\lambda_R(\delta'(a,x)) = \lambda_R(\delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a),x)) =$$
  
=  $\Phi_{i'[a,x],\pi(i'[a,x])}(\delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a),x)) =$ 

$$= \delta_{\pi(i'[a,x])}(\Phi_{i,\pi(i'[a,x])}(a), x) \in A^0_{\pi(i'[a,x])}$$

Using  $\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a) \in A^0_{\pi(i)}$ , we have

$$\delta'(\lambda_R(a), x) = \delta'(\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x) =$$
  
=  $\delta_{(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x]}(\Phi_{\pi(i),(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x]}(\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a)), x) =$   
=  $\delta_{(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x]}(\Phi_{i,(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x]}(a), x) \in A^0_{(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x]}.$ 

To prove that  $\lambda_R(\delta'(a, x)) = \delta'(\lambda_R(a), x)$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a),x] = \pi(i'[a,x]).$$

First, assume  $i'[a, x] \geq \pi(i)$  (and so  $\pi(i'[a, x]) = \pi(i)$ ). As  $\phi_{i'[a, x], \pi(i)}$  is a partial homomorphism of  $A^0_{i'[a, x]}$  into  $A^0_{\pi(i)}$  and  $\delta_{i'[a, x]}(\Phi_{i, i'[a, x]}(a), x) \in A^0_{i'[a, x]}$ , we get

$$\delta_{\pi(i)}(\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a),x) = \delta_{\pi(i)}(\Phi_{i'[a,x],\pi(i)}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a)),x) =$$
$$= \Phi_{i'[a,x],\pi(i)}(\delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a),x)) \in A^0_{\pi(i)}$$

and so

$$(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a),x] = \pi(i) = \pi(i'[a,x]).$$

Next, consider the case when  $i'[a, x] < \pi(i)$  (and so  $\pi(i'[a, x]) = i'[a, x]$ ). If  $j \in T$  with  $\pi(i) \ge j > i'[a, x]$  then we have

$$\delta_j(\Phi_{\pi(i),j}(\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a)), x) = \delta_j(\Phi_{i,j}(a), x) \notin A_j^0.$$

Then

$$(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x] \le i'[a, x].$$

 $\mathbf{As}$ 

$$\delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{\pi(i),i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a)),x) = \delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a),x) \in A^0_{i'[a,x]},x)$$

we get

$$(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x] \ge i'[a, x].$$

Hence

$$(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a),x] = i'[a,x] = \pi(i'[a,x]).$$

Consequently,  $(\pi(i))'[\Phi_{i,\pi(i)}(a), x] = \pi(i'[a, x])$  in both cases. Hence  $\lambda_R$  is a (retract) homomorphism of **A** onto **R**. Thus  $\mathbf{A} = (A_i, X, \delta_i; \phi_{i,j}, T)$  is a retractable automaton.

We show that **A** is semiconnected. If **R** is an arbitrary subautomaton of **A**, then there is an ideal  $\Gamma$  of T such that  $R = \bigcup_{j \in \Gamma} A_j^0$  (see above). Let  $a \in R$  be an arbitrary element. Then  $a \in A_k^0$  for some  $k \in \Gamma$ . As  $A_k$  is strongly connected or strongly trap-connected, there are elements  $b \in A_k^0$  and  $p \in X^+$  such that  $a = \delta_k(b, p) = \delta'(b, p)$ . By Theorem 4, it means that **A** is semiconnected. As  $i_0$  is contained in every ideal of T,  $\mathbf{A}_{i_0}$  is the kernel of  $(A_i, X, \delta_i; \phi_{i,j}, T)$ . Conversely, let **A** be a finite semiconnected retractable automaton containing a kernel. Let Prf(A) denote the set of all principal factors of **A**. By Corollary 2, Prf(A) is a (finite) tree under partial ordering  $\leq$  defined by  $\mathbf{R}\{a\} \leq \mathbf{R}\{b\}$  if and only if  $R(a) \subseteq R(b)$ . As **A** is semiconnected, the least element of Prf(A) is strongly connected, the other ones are strongly trap-connected.

Let T be a set with |T| = |Prf(A)|. Denote a bijection of T onto Prf(A) by f. Define a partial ordering  $\leq$  on T by  $i \leq j$   $(i, j \in T)$  if and only if  $f(i) \leq f(j)$ . Let  $i_0$  denote the least element of T. Clearly, T is a finite tree with the least element  $i_0$ . For every element  $i \in T$ , fix an element  $a_i$  in A such that  $f(i) = \mathbf{R}\{a_i\}$ . (We note that  $\mathbf{R}\{a_i\} = \mathbf{R}\{a_j\}$  iff  $a_i = a_j$  iff i = j). As  $\mathbf{R}\{a_{i_0}\}$  is strongly connected and  $\mathbf{R}\{a_i\}$  is strongly trap-connected if  $i \neq i_0$ , condition (i) of the Construction is satisfied.

Let  $\lambda_{R(a_j)}$   $(j \in T)$  denote a fix retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{R}(a_j)$ . For every  $i, j \in T$  with  $i \succeq j$ , let  $\lambda_{i,j}$  denote the restriction of  $\lambda_{R(a_j)}$  to  $R(a_i)$ . It is obvious that  $\lambda_{i,j}$  is a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}(a_i)$  onto  $\mathbf{R}(a_j)$  for every  $i \succeq j$ ,  $(i, j \in T)$ . Moreover,  $\lambda_{i,i}$  is the identity mapping of  $\mathbf{R}(a_i)$ , for every  $i \in T$ . We show that  $\lambda_{i,j}$  maps  $R_{a_i}$  into  $R_{a_j}$ . Let  $a \in R_{a_i}$  be an arbitrary element (so  $R(a) = R(a_i)$ ). Then, for every  $p \in X^*, \lambda_{i,j}(\delta(a, p)) = \delta(\lambda_{i,j}(a), p)$ . If  $\lambda_{i,j}(a)$  was in  $R[a_j]$  then we would have  $\lambda_{i,j}(\delta(a, p)) \in R[a_j]$  for every  $p \in X^*$ , because  $\mathbf{R}[a_j]$  is a subautomaton of  $\mathbf{A}$ . This would imply that  $\lambda_{i,j}(R(a_i)) \subseteq R[a_j]$  which is impossible, because  $\lambda_{i,j}$  maps  $R(a_i)$  onto  $R(a_j) = R_{a_j} \cup R[a_j] \supset R[a_j]$ . Hence  $\lambda_{i,j}$  maps  $R_{a_i}$ into  $R_{a_j}$  and so  $\lambda_{i,j}$  can be considered as a mapping of  $R^0\{a_i\}$  into  $R^0\{a_j\}$ . If  $\delta(a, x) \in R_{a_i}$  for some  $a \in R_{a_i}$  and  $x \in X$  then  $\delta(\lambda_{i,j}(a), x) = \lambda_{i,j}(\delta(a, x)) \in R_{a_j}$ . Hence  $\lambda_{i,j}$  is a partial homomorphism of the partial automaton  $\mathbf{R}^0\{a_i\}$  into the partial automaton  $\mathbf{R}^0\{a_j\}$ . Thus condition (ii) of the Construction is satisfied (for  $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{R}\{a_i\}, \phi_{i,j} = \lambda_{i,j}$ ).

Assume  $i \succ j$ . Let  $b \in R_{a_j}$  be an arbitrary element. Then  $a_i \neq b \in R(a_i)$  and so there is a word  $p = x_1 x_2 \dots x_n \in X^+$   $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X)$  such that  $b = \delta(a_i, p)$ . Let m be the least index such that  $\delta(a_i, x_1 \dots x_m) \in R_{a_j}$ . Consider an element aof  $R_{a_i}$  (or of  $\mathbf{R}^0\{a_i\}$ ) as follows. Let  $a = a_i$  if m = 1. Let  $a = \delta(a_i, x_1 \dots x_{m-1})$  if m > 1. Then  $\delta(a, x_m) \notin R_{a_i}$  (or  $\delta(a, x_m) \notin \mathbf{R}^0\{a_i\}$ ). On the other hand,

$$\delta(\lambda_{i,j}(a), x_m) = \lambda_{i,j}(\delta(a, x_m)) = \delta(a, x_m) \in R_{a_j} = R^0\{a_j\},$$

because  $\lambda_{i,j}$  leaves the elements of  $R(a_j)$  fixed. Thus (iii) of the Construction is satisfied (for  $\phi_{i,j} = \lambda_{i,j}, x = x_m$ ).

For arbitrary elements  $i, j \in T$  with  $i \geq j$ , define the mapping  $\Phi_{i,j}$  as follows. Let  $\Phi_{i,i} = \lambda_{i,i}$  and, if i > j with  $i \succ k_1 \succ k_2 \succ \ldots k_n \succ j$  then let

$$\Phi_{i,j} = \lambda_{k_n,j} \circ \ldots \circ \lambda_{i,k_1}.$$

It is clear that  $\Phi_{i,j}$  is a retract homomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}(a_i)$  onto  $\mathbf{R}(a_j)$  such that it maps  $R_{a_i}$  into  $R_{a_j}$ . Thus  $\Phi_{i,j}$  can be considered as a partial homomorphism of  $\mathbf{R}^0\{a_i\}$  into  $\mathbf{R}^0\{a_j\}$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_{i,k} = \Phi_{j,k} \circ \Phi_{i,j}$  for every  $i, j, k \in T$  with  $i \geq j \geq k$ .

Construct the automaton  $\mathbf{R} = (R\{a_i\}, X, \delta_i; \lambda_{i,j}, T)$ , where  $\delta_i$  is the transitive function of the factor automaton  $\mathbf{R}\{a_i\}$  induced by  $\delta$ . It is clear that the state sets

of the automata **R** and **A** are the same. We show that the transitive functions  $\delta$  of **A** equals the transitive function  $\delta'$  of **R**. Let  $i \in T$ ,  $a \in R_{a_i} = R^0\{a_i\}$ ,  $x \in X$  be arbitrary elements. Assume  $\delta(a, x) \in R_{a_j}$   $(i \geq j)$ . Let  $k \in T$  with  $i \geq k > j$ . Then  $\delta(a, x) \in R[a_k] \subset R(a_k)$  and so

$$\delta(\Phi_{i,k}(a), x) = \Phi_{i,k}(\delta(a, x)) = \delta(a, x) \notin R_{a_k} = R^0\{a_k\},$$

because  $\Phi_{i,k}$  leaves the elements of  $R(a_k)$  fixed. If  $j \ge k$  then

$$\delta(\Phi_{i,k}(a), x) = \Phi_{i,k}(\delta(a, x)) =$$

$$= \Phi_{j,k} \circ \Phi_{i,j}(\delta(a,x)) = \Phi_{j,k}(\delta(a,x)) \in R_{a_k} = R^0\{a_k\},$$

because  $\Phi_{i,j}$  leaves the element  $\delta(a, x) \in R_{a_j} = R^0\{a_j\}$  fixed, and  $\Phi_{j,k}$  maps  $R_{a_j}$  into  $R_{a_k}$ . Consequently i'[a, x] = j. Hence

$$\delta(a, x) = \Phi_{i,j}(\delta(a, x)) = \delta(\Phi_{i,j}(a), x) = \delta_j(\Phi_{i,j}(a), x) =$$
$$= \delta_{i'[a,x]}(\Phi_{i,i'[a,x]}(a), x) = \delta'(a, x).$$

Thus the theorem is proved.

## References

- Babcsányi, I. and A. Nagy, Boolean-type retractable automata, Publicationes Mathematicae, Debrecen, 48/3-4 (1996), 193-200.
- [2] Gécseg, F. and I. Peák, Algebraic Theory of Automata, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1972.
- [3] Nagy, A., Boolean-type retractable automata with traps, Acta Cybernetica, Szeged, Tom. 10, Fasc. 1-2 (1991), 53-64.
- [4] Tully, E.J., Semigroups in which each ideal is a retract, J. Austral Math. Soc., 9 (1969), 239-245.

Received February, 2003